In The Matter Of:

Aaron Petitt, et al. v. City of Cleveland

Jeff Follmer January 17, 2019

Fincun-Mancini, Inc. 1801 E. Ninth Street Suite 1720 Cleveland, Ohio 44114 (216) 696-2272

Min-U-Script® with Word Index

Case: 1:18-cv-01678-JG Doc #: 21 Filed: 01/28/19 2 of 24. PageID #: 222

Aaron Petitt, et al. v.

Jeff Follmer

City of Cleveland January 17, 2019 Page 1 Page 3 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT 1 1 INDEX 2 2 EASTERN DIVISION WITNESS: CROSS 3 3 Jeff Follmer 4 4 Aaron Petitt, et al, By Mr. Menzalora 5 5 Plaintiffs. 6 Case No: 1:18-CV-01678 6 vs. 7 City of Cleveland, EXHIBITS 8 Defendant. 8 Defendant's: Marked 9 9 H - CBA 15 10 10 I - grievance trail 17 11 11 Deposition of Jeff Follmer, a plaintiff J - CPPA Constitution/Bylaws 45 12 herein, called by the defendant for 12 13 13 cross-examination pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, taken before Constance Versagi, 14 14 15 15 Notary Public in and for the State of Ohio, at Burke Lakefront Airport, 1501 Marginal Road, Cleveland, 16 16 17 Ohio, on Thursday, January 17, 2019, commencing at 17 18 18 1:15 p.m. 19 19 20 20 21 21 22 22 23 23 24 24 25 25 Page 2 Page 4 APPEARANCES: JEFF FOLLMER On behalf of the Plaintiffs: of lawful age, being first duly sworn, as 3 Jared Klebanow, Esq. hereinafter certified, was examined and testified Klebanow Law LLC 701 City Club Building 850 Euclid Avenue Cleveland, Ohio 44114 216-621-8320 4 as follows: 5 **CROSS-EXAMINATION** 5 6 Jklebanow@klebanowlaw.com By Mr. Menzalora: 7 7 Q Good afternoon, Jeff. 8 On behalf of the Defendant: Α 8 9 William M. Menzalora, Esq. Michael Pike, Esq. City of Cleveland 9 O You've had your deposition taken before, 10 correct? 10 Law Department 601 Lakeside Avenue, Room 106 Cleveland, Ohio 44114 216-664-4285 11 Couple times, yes. 11 A 12 0 You've testified in hundreds of arbitrations 12 13 probably? 13 14 Correct. 14 A 15 15 O We're here, we have a court reporter obviously, so do your best not to give me 16 16 uh-huh or um-hum. Say yes or no to questions, 17 17 as opposed to um-hum, or uh-uh, or shaking 18 18 your head and everything. I'll remind you 19 19 20 because people frequently do that, even 20 notwithstanding instructions. I don't think 21 21 we will be too long today. 22 22 23 Can you give us for the record your 23 full name and spell your last name? 24 24 Jeff Follmer, F-O-L-L-M-E-R, Badge 654. 25 A 25

Case: 1:18-cv-01678-JG Doc #: 21 Filed: 01/28/19 3 of 24. PageID #: 223
Aaron Petitt, et al. v.
City of Cleveland

Jeff Follmer
January 17, 2019

0.11	T Cleveland	T	January 17, 2019
	Page 5		Page 7
1 Q	You are currently a detective with the City of	1	correct?
2	Cleveland, Division of Police, correct?	2 A	That's correct.
зА	Correct.	3 Q	You ran against him. You were CPPA President
4 Q	But your Civil Service rank is that of Patrol	4	from that puts it January 1, 2012 through
5	Officer; is that correct?	5	December 31, 2014; is that correct?
6 A	Correct.	6 A	Correct.
7 Q	How long have you been with the City?	7 Q	Who was your First Vice President your first
8 A	27 years in March.	8	time as CPPA President?
9 Q	What academy class does that put you in?	9 A	Steve Kinas, K-I-N-A-S.
10 A	106, 1992.	10 Q	Then you had one other officer on full-time
11 Q	I know it was a long time ago.	11	release designated to the CPPA, correct?
12 A	March of '92.	12 A	Correct.
13 Q	You are currently also the President of the	13 Q	You and Steve ran against each other, then
14	Cleveland Police Patrolmen's Association,	14	Steve prevailed, so Steve's second tenure as
15	correct?	15	CPPA President was from January 1, 2015
16 A	Correct.	16	through December 31, 2017, correct?
17 Q	Your current term as President is from	17 A	That's correct.
17 Q	January 1, 2018 through December 31, 2020; is	18 Q	His First Vice President who was designated to
19	that correct?	10 Q	the CPPA hall was William Gonzalez?
20 A	Correct.	20 A	Correct.
20 A 21 Q	This is your second tenure as CPPA President?	20 A 21 Q	Then there was another officer on full time
21 Q 22 A	It is.	22	designation from the Division of Police to the
23 Q	I'm not going to go back that far. After Bob	23	CPPA hall, correct?
23 Q 24	Beck retired from the City of Cleveland and	24 A	Correct.
25	stepped down as President of the Cleveland	25 Q	You and Steve ran against each other, that
23	stepped down as President of the eleveland	23 Q	Tou and Steve ran against each other, that
	Page 6		Page 8
1	•	1	-
1 2	Police Patrolmen's Association, from then to	1 2	Page 8 resulted in your current term as CPPA President?
	Police Patrolmen's Association, from then to the present am I accurate in saying the		resulted in your current term as CPPA
2	Police Patrolmen's Association, from then to the present am I accurate in saying the presidents were Steven Loomis, then you, then	2 3 A	resulted in your current term as CPPA President? Correct.
2	Police Patrolmen's Association, from then to the present am I accurate in saying the	2	resulted in your current term as CPPA President?
2 3 4	Police Patrolmen's Association, from then to the present am I accurate in saying the presidents were Steven Loomis, then you, then Steven Loomis, and now you again, correct?	2 3 A 4 Q	resulted in your current term as CPPA President? Correct. Then, David Medina, M-E-D-I-N-A, is your current First Vice President?
2 3 4 5 A	Police Patrolmen's Association, from then to the present am I accurate in saying the presidents were Steven Loomis, then you, then Steven Loomis, and now you again, correct? Correct.	2 3 A 4 Q 5	resulted in your current term as CPPA President? Correct. Then, David Medina, M-E-D-I-N-A, is your
2 3 4 5 A 6 Q	Police Patrolmen's Association, from then to the present am I accurate in saying the presidents were Steven Loomis, then you, then Steven Loomis, and now you again, correct? Correct. Steve Loomis' first tour of duty as President	2 3 A 4 Q 5 6 A	resulted in your current term as CPPA President? Correct. Then, David Medina, M-E-D-I-N-A, is your current First Vice President? Yes.
2 3 4 5 A 6 Q 7	Police Patrolmen's Association, from then to the present am I accurate in saying the presidents were Steven Loomis, then you, then Steven Loomis, and now you again, correct? Correct. Steve Loomis' first tour of duty as President of the CPPA would have been January 1, '09	2 3 A 4 Q 5 6 A 7 Q	resulted in your current term as CPPA President? Correct. Then, David Medina, M-E-D-I-N-A, is your current First Vice President? Yes. Who is the other current officer designated to
2 3 4 5 A 6 Q 7 8	Police Patrolmen's Association, from then to the present am I accurate in saying the presidents were Steven Loomis, then you, then Steven Loomis, and now you again, correct? Correct. Steve Loomis' first tour of duty as President of the CPPA would have been January 1, '09 through December 31, 2011, correct?	2 3 A 4 Q 5 6 A 7 Q 8	resulted in your current term as CPPA President? Correct. Then, David Medina, M-E-D-I-N-A, is your current First Vice President? Yes. Who is the other current officer designated to the CPPA on full time matters, for CPPA
2 3 4 5 A 6 Q 7 8 9 A	Police Patrolmen's Association, from then to the present am I accurate in saying the presidents were Steven Loomis, then you, then Steven Loomis, and now you again, correct? Correct. Steve Loomis' first tour of duty as President of the CPPA would have been January 1, '09 through December 31, 2011, correct? Correct.	2 3 A 4 Q 5 6 A 7 Q 8	resulted in your current term as CPPA President? Correct. Then, David Medina, M-E-D-I-N-A, is your current First Vice President? Yes. Who is the other current officer designated to the CPPA on full time matters, for CPPA matters?
2 3 4 5 A 6 Q 7 8 9 A 10 Q	Police Patrolmen's Association, from then to the present am I accurate in saying the presidents were Steven Loomis, then you, then Steven Loomis, and now you again, correct? Correct. Steve Loomis' first tour of duty as President of the CPPA would have been January 1, '09 through December 31, 2011, correct? Correct. You were his First Vice President, or you were	2 3 A 4 Q 5 6 A 7 Q 8 9	resulted in your current term as CPPA President? Correct. Then, David Medina, M-E-D-I-N-A, is your current First Vice President? Yes. Who is the other current officer designated to the CPPA on full time matters, for CPPA matters? Eric Flint, F-L-I-N-T.
2 3 4 5 A 6 Q 7 8 9 A 10 Q	Police Patrolmen's Association, from then to the present am I accurate in saying the presidents were Steven Loomis, then you, then Steven Loomis, and now you again, correct? Correct. Steve Loomis' first tour of duty as President of the CPPA would have been January 1, '09 through December 31, 2011, correct? Correct. You were his First Vice President, or you were the First Vice President of the CPPA? Correct, on his second term.	2 3 A 4 Q 5 6 A 7 Q 8 9 10 A 11 Q	resulted in your current term as CPPA President? Correct. Then, David Medina, M-E-D-I-N-A, is your current First Vice President? Yes. Who is the other current officer designated to the CPPA on full time matters, for CPPA matters? Eric Flint, F-L-I-N-T. Is he Second Vice President, or is he
2 3 4 5 A 6 Q 7 8 9 A 10 Q 11 12 A	Police Patrolmen's Association, from then to the present am I accurate in saying the presidents were Steven Loomis, then you, then Steven Loomis, and now you again, correct? Correct. Steve Loomis' first tour of duty as President of the CPPA would have been January 1, '09 through December 31, 2011, correct? Correct. You were his First Vice President, or you were the First Vice President of the CPPA? Correct, on his second term. His second term, or his first term?	2 3 A 4 Q 5 6 A 7 Q 8 9 10 A 11 Q 12 13 A	resulted in your current term as CPPA President? Correct. Then, David Medina, M-E-D-I-N-A, is your current First Vice President? Yes. Who is the other current officer designated to the CPPA on full time matters, for CPPA matters? Eric Flint, F-L-I-N-T. Is he Second Vice President, or is he Treasurer, I always forget?
2 3 4 5 A 6 Q 7 8 9 A 10 Q 11 12 A 13 Q 14 A	Police Patrolmen's Association, from then to the present am I accurate in saying the presidents were Steven Loomis, then you, then Steven Loomis, and now you again, correct? Correct. Steve Loomis' first tour of duty as President of the CPPA would have been January 1, '09 through December 31, 2011, correct? Correct. You were his First Vice President, or you were the First Vice President of the CPPA? Correct, on his second term. His second term, or his first term? No, his second term.	2 3 A 4 Q 5 6 A 7 Q 8 9 10 A 11 Q 12 13 A 14 Q	resulted in your current term as CPPA President? Correct. Then, David Medina, M-E-D-I-N-A, is your current First Vice President? Yes. Who is the other current officer designated to the CPPA on full time matters, for CPPA matters? Eric Flint, F-L-I-N-T. Is he Second Vice President, or is he Treasurer, I always forget? Treasurer. You don't have to have certain officers within
2 3 4 5 A 6 Q 7 8 9 A 10 Q 11 12 A 13 Q	Police Patrolmen's Association, from then to the present am I accurate in saying the presidents were Steven Loomis, then you, then Steven Loomis, and now you again, correct? Correct. Steve Loomis' first tour of duty as President of the CPPA would have been January 1, '09 through December 31, 2011, correct? Correct. You were his First Vice President, or you were the First Vice President of the CPPA? Correct, on his second term. His second term, or his first term? No, his second term. So, under the Collective Bargaining Agreement	2 3 A 4 Q 5 6 A 7 Q 8 9 10 A 11 Q 12 13 A 14 Q 15	resulted in your current term as CPPA President? Correct. Then, David Medina, M-E-D-I-N-A, is your current First Vice President? Yes. Who is the other current officer designated to the CPPA on full time matters, for CPPA matters? Eric Flint, F-L-I-N-T. Is he Second Vice President, or is he Treasurer, I always forget? Treasurer. You don't have to have certain officers within CPPA designated. CPPA gets to choose whomever
2 3 4 5 A 6 Q 7 8 9 A 10 Q 11 12 A 13 Q 14 A 15 Q	Police Patrolmen's Association, from then to the present am I accurate in saying the presidents were Steven Loomis, then you, then Steven Loomis, and now you again, correct? Correct. Steve Loomis' first tour of duty as President of the CPPA would have been January 1, '09 through December 31, 2011, correct? Correct. You were his First Vice President, or you were the First Vice President of the CPPA? Correct, on his second term. His second term, or his first term? No, his second term. So, under the Collective Bargaining Agreement between the City and the CPPA, three patrol	2 3 A 4 Q 5 6 A 7 Q 8 9 10 A 11 Q 12 13 A 14 Q	resulted in your current term as CPPA President? Correct. Then, David Medina, M-E-D-I-N-A, is your current First Vice President? Yes. Who is the other current officer designated to the CPPA on full time matters, for CPPA matters? Eric Flint, F-L-I-N-T. Is he Second Vice President, or is he Treasurer, I always forget? Treasurer. You don't have to have certain officers within
2 3 4 5 A 6 Q 7 8 9 A 10 Q 11 12 A 13 Q 14 A 15 Q	Police Patrolmen's Association, from then to the present am I accurate in saying the presidents were Steven Loomis, then you, then Steven Loomis, and now you again, correct? Correct. Steve Loomis' first tour of duty as President of the CPPA would have been January 1, '09 through December 31, 2011, correct? Correct. You were his First Vice President, or you were the First Vice President of the CPPA? Correct, on his second term. His second term, or his first term? No, his second term. So, under the Collective Bargaining Agreement between the City and the CPPA, three patrol officers are designated on full-time release	2 3 A 4 Q 5 6 A 7 Q 8 9 10 A 11 Q 12 13 A 14 Q 15 16 17 A	resulted in your current term as CPPA President? Correct. Then, David Medina, M-E-D-I-N-A, is your current First Vice President? Yes. Who is the other current officer designated to the CPPA on full time matters, for CPPA matters? Eric Flint, F-L-I-N-T. Is he Second Vice President, or is he Treasurer, I always forget? Treasurer. You don't have to have certain officers within CPPA designated. CPPA gets to choose whomever they want to designate?
2 3 4 5 A 6 Q 7 8 9 A 10 Q 11 12 A 13 Q 14 A 15 Q 16 17	Police Patrolmen's Association, from then to the present am I accurate in saying the presidents were Steven Loomis, then you, then Steven Loomis, and now you again, correct? Correct. Steve Loomis' first tour of duty as President of the CPPA would have been January 1, '09 through December 31, 2011, correct? Correct. You were his First Vice President, or you were the First Vice President of the CPPA? Correct, on his second term. His second term, or his first term? No, his second term. So, under the Collective Bargaining Agreement between the City and the CPPA, three patrol	2 3 A 4 Q 5 6 A 7 Q 8 9 10 A 11 Q 12 13 A 14 Q 15 16 17 A 18 Q	resulted in your current term as CPPA President? Correct. Then, David Medina, M-E-D-I-N-A, is your current First Vice President? Yes. Who is the other current officer designated to the CPPA on full time matters, for CPPA matters? Eric Flint, F-L-I-N-T. Is he Second Vice President, or is he Treasurer, I always forget? Treasurer. You don't have to have certain officers within CPPA designated. CPPA gets to choose whomever they want to designate? That's correct. Fair to characterize the Division of Police as
2 3 4 5 A 6 Q 7 8 9 A 10 Q 11 12 A 13 Q 14 A 15 Q 16 17 18 19 A	Police Patrolmen's Association, from then to the present am I accurate in saying the presidents were Steven Loomis, then you, then Steven Loomis, and now you again, correct? Correct. Steve Loomis' first tour of duty as President of the CPPA would have been January 1, '09 through December 31, 2011, correct? Correct. You were his First Vice President, or you were the First Vice President of the CPPA? Correct, on his second term. His second term, or his first term? No, his second term. So, under the Collective Bargaining Agreement between the City and the CPPA, three patrol officers are designated on full-time release to the CPPA hall, correct?	2 3 A 4 Q 5 6 A 7 Q 8 9 10 A 11 Q 12 13 A 14 Q 15 16 17 A	resulted in your current term as CPPA President? Correct. Then, David Medina, M-E-D-I-N-A, is your current First Vice President? Yes. Who is the other current officer designated to the CPPA on full time matters, for CPPA matters? Eric Flint, F-L-I-N-T. Is he Second Vice President, or is he Treasurer, I always forget? Treasurer. You don't have to have certain officers within CPPA designated. CPPA gets to choose whomever they want to designate? That's correct. Fair to characterize the Division of Police as a paramilitary organization, correct?
2 3 4 5 A 6 Q 7 8 9 A 10 Q 11 12 A 13 Q 14 A 15 Q 16 17 18 19 A 20 Q	Police Patrolmen's Association, from then to the present am I accurate in saying the presidents were Steven Loomis, then you, then Steven Loomis, and now you again, correct? Correct. Steve Loomis' first tour of duty as President of the CPPA would have been January 1, '09 through December 31, 2011, correct? Correct. You were his First Vice President, or you were the First Vice President of the CPPA? Correct, on his second term. His second term, or his first term? No, his second term. So, under the Collective Bargaining Agreement between the City and the CPPA, three patrol officers are designated on full-time release to the CPPA hall, correct? Correct. Is it three or is it up to three?	2 3 A 4 Q 5 6 A 7 Q 8 9 10 A 11 Q 12 13 A 14 Q 15 16 17 A 18 Q 19	resulted in your current term as CPPA President? Correct. Then, David Medina, M-E-D-I-N-A, is your current First Vice President? Yes. Who is the other current officer designated to the CPPA on full time matters, for CPPA matters? Eric Flint, F-L-I-N-T. Is he Second Vice President, or is he Treasurer, I always forget? Treasurer. You don't have to have certain officers within CPPA designated. CPPA gets to choose whomever they want to designate? That's correct. Fair to characterize the Division of Police as a paramilitary organization, correct? MR. KLEBANOW: Objection. Go ahead.
2 3 4 5 A 6 Q 7 8 9 A 10 Q 11 12 A 13 Q 14 A 15 Q 16 17 18 19 A 20 Q 21 A	Police Patrolmen's Association, from then to the present am I accurate in saying the presidents were Steven Loomis, then you, then Steven Loomis, and now you again, correct? Correct. Steve Loomis' first tour of duty as President of the CPPA would have been January 1, '09 through December 31, 2011, correct? Correct. You were his First Vice President, or you were the First Vice President of the CPPA? Correct, on his second term. His second term, or his first term? No, his second term. So, under the Collective Bargaining Agreement between the City and the CPPA, three patrol officers are designated on full-time release to the CPPA hall, correct? Correct. Is it three or is it up to three? Three.	2 3 A 4 Q 5 6 A 7 Q 8 9 10 A 11 Q 12 13 A 14 Q 15 16 17 A 18 Q 19 20 21 A	resulted in your current term as CPPA President? Correct. Then, David Medina, M-E-D-I-N-A, is your current First Vice President? Yes. Who is the other current officer designated to the CPPA on full time matters, for CPPA matters? Eric Flint, F-L-I-N-T. Is he Second Vice President, or is he Treasurer, I always forget? Treasurer. You don't have to have certain officers within CPPA designated. CPPA gets to choose whomever they want to designate? That's correct. Fair to characterize the Division of Police as a paramilitary organization, correct? MR. KLEBANOW: Objection. Go ahead. Correct.
2 3 4 5 A 6 Q 7 8 9 A 10 Q 11 12 A 13 Q 14 A 15 Q 16 17 18 19 A 20 Q	Police Patrolmen's Association, from then to the present am I accurate in saying the presidents were Steven Loomis, then you, then Steven Loomis, and now you again, correct? Correct. Steve Loomis' first tour of duty as President of the CPPA would have been January 1, '09 through December 31, 2011, correct? Correct. You were his First Vice President, or you were the First Vice President of the CPPA? Correct, on his second term. His second term, or his first term? No, his second term. So, under the Collective Bargaining Agreement between the City and the CPPA, three patrol officers are designated on full-time release to the CPPA hall, correct? Correct. Is it three or is it up to three? Three. So, during Steve Loomis' first tenure as CPPA	2 3 A 4 Q 5 6 A 7 Q 8 9 10 A 11 Q 12 13 A 14 Q 15 16 17 A 18 Q 19 20	resulted in your current term as CPPA President? Correct. Then, David Medina, M-E-D-I-N-A, is your current First Vice President? Yes. Who is the other current officer designated to the CPPA on full time matters, for CPPA matters? Eric Flint, F-L-I-N-T. Is he Second Vice President, or is he Treasurer, I always forget? Treasurer. You don't have to have certain officers within CPPA designated. CPPA gets to choose whomever they want to designate? That's correct. Fair to characterize the Division of Police as a paramilitary organization, correct? MR. KLEBANOW: Objection. Go ahead. Correct. It's not a military organization?
2 3 4 5 A 6 Q 7 8 9 A 10 Q 11 12 A 13 Q 14 A 15 Q 16 17 18 19 A 20 Q 21 A 22 Q	Police Patrolmen's Association, from then to the present am I accurate in saying the presidents were Steven Loomis, then you, then Steven Loomis, and now you again, correct? Correct. Steve Loomis' first tour of duty as President of the CPPA would have been January 1, '09 through December 31, 2011, correct? Correct. You were his First Vice President, or you were the First Vice President of the CPPA? Correct, on his second term. His second term, or his first term? No, his second term. So, under the Collective Bargaining Agreement between the City and the CPPA, three patrol officers are designated on full-time release to the CPPA hall, correct? Correct. Is it three or is it up to three? Three. So, during Steve Loomis' first tenure as CPPA President from '09 through '11, it would have	2 3 A 4 Q 5 6 A 7 Q 8 9 10 A 11 Q 12 13 A 14 Q 15 16 17 A 18 Q 19 20 21 A 22 Q 23 A	resulted in your current term as CPPA President? Correct. Then, David Medina, M-E-D-I-N-A, is your current First Vice President? Yes. Who is the other current officer designated to the CPPA on full time matters, for CPPA matters? Eric Flint, F-L-I-N-T. Is he Second Vice President, or is he Treasurer, I always forget? Treasurer. You don't have to have certain officers within CPPA designated. CPPA gets to choose whomever they want to designate? That's correct. Fair to characterize the Division of Police as a paramilitary organization, correct? MR. KLEBANOW: Objection. Go ahead. Correct. It's not a military organization? No, correct.
2 3 4 5 A 6 Q 7 8 9 A 10 Q 11 12 A 13 Q 14 A 15 Q 16 17 18 19 A 20 Q 21 A 22 Q 23	Police Patrolmen's Association, from then to the present am I accurate in saying the presidents were Steven Loomis, then you, then Steven Loomis, and now you again, correct? Correct. Steve Loomis' first tour of duty as President of the CPPA would have been January 1, '09 through December 31, 2011, correct? Correct. You were his First Vice President, or you were the First Vice President of the CPPA? Correct, on his second term. His second term, or his first term? No, his second term. So, under the Collective Bargaining Agreement between the City and the CPPA, three patrol officers are designated on full-time release to the CPPA hall, correct? Correct. Is it three or is it up to three? Three. So, during Steve Loomis' first tenure as CPPA	2 3 A 4 Q 5 6 A 7 Q 8 9 10 A 11 Q 12 13 A 14 Q 15 16 17 A 18 Q 19 20 21 A 22 Q	resulted in your current term as CPPA President? Correct. Then, David Medina, M-E-D-I-N-A, is your current First Vice President? Yes. Who is the other current officer designated to the CPPA on full time matters, for CPPA matters? Eric Flint, F-L-I-N-T. Is he Second Vice President, or is he Treasurer, I always forget? Treasurer. You don't have to have certain officers within CPPA designated. CPPA gets to choose whomever they want to designate? That's correct. Fair to characterize the Division of Police as a paramilitary organization, correct? MR. KLEBANOW: Objection. Go ahead. Correct. It's not a military organization?

Case: 1:18-cv-01678-JG Doc #: 21 Filed: 01/28/19 4 of 24. PageID #: 224

Aaron Petitt, et al. v.

City of Cleveland

Jeff Follmer

January 17, 2019

Oity 0	T Cleveland Page 9			January 17, 2019 Page 11
_				
1	Police Calvin Williams, correct?	1		Counts 1 and 2 up make three claims.
2 A	Yes.	2		One, a procedural due process claim.
3 Q	The rank down from Chief of Police is that of	3		One a substantive due process claim. Those
4	Deputy Chief of Police, correct?	4		are Count 1.
5 A	Yes.	5		Count 2 is the First Amendment freedom
6 Q	Currently there are four Deputy Chiefs of	6		of speech violation claim. Do you see those
7	Police?	7		in the Complaint?
8 A	Yes.	8 /	A	I do.
9 Q	Going down the rest of the hierarchy from		Q	So what is, in a general sense, explain to me,
10	Chief to the bottom it goes Chief, Deputy	10		I see for Count 3 it says procedural I'll
11	Chief, Commander, Captain, Lieutenant,	11		read it into the record. It says, procedural
12	Sergeant and then Patrol Officer; is that	12		and substantive due process and claims under
13	correct?	13		the 14th Amendment to the Constitution of the
14 A	Yes.	14		United States and First Amendment claims under
				the Constitution of the United States on
15 Q	We also have I would equate him as	15		
16	equivalent to the Commander level, we also	16		behalf of plaintiff, CPPA; did I read that
17	have somebody called Traffic Commissioner,	17		correctly?
18	correct?	18 /		You did.
19 A	Yes.		Q	That is on page 16 of the Complaint, which has
20 Q	Most of the promoted, not all, most of the	20		been marked for identification as Defendant's
21	promoted police officers in the Division of	21		Exhibit A, correct?
22	Police are represented by the Fraternal Order	22 /		Correct.
23	of Police Lodge 8, correct?	23 (Q	So, what First Amendment violations of the
24 A	Correct.	24		CPPA do you allege have been violated by the
25 Q	Your union, the CPPA, represents the rank of	25		City of Cleveland?
	Page 10			Page 12
1	Page 10 patrol officer, correct?	1 1	A	Page 12 It is the Union's position that the City went
1 2 A		1 1 2	A	•
	patrol officer, correct?		A	It is the Union's position that the City went
2 A	patrol officer, correct? Correct.	2	A	It is the Union's position that the City went outside the scope of the search warrant from Sean Gorman, into Petitt's personal text
2 A 3 Q	patrol officer, correct? Correct. Now, looking at what has been marked previously for identification as Defendant's	2	A	It is the Union's position that the City went outside the scope of the search warrant from Sean Gorman, into Petitt's personal text messaging, where he used words, through a
2 A 3 Q 4	patrol officer, correct? Correct. Now, looking at what has been marked previously for identification as Defendant's <u>Exhibit A</u> , can you take a quick minute to look	2 3 4 5	A	It is the Union's position that the City went outside the scope of the search warrant from Sean Gorman, into Petitt's personal text messaging, where he used words, through a private conversation, through his text
2 A 3 Q 4 5	patrol officer, correct? Correct. Now, looking at what has been marked previously for identification as Defendant's Exhibit A, can you take a quick minute to look at it since I understand you were not here for	2 3 4 5 6		It is the Union's position that the City went outside the scope of the search warrant from Sean Gorman, into Petitt's personal text messaging, where he used words, through a private conversation, through his text messaging.
2 A 3 Q 4 5 6 7	patrol officer, correct? Correct. Now, looking at what has been marked previously for identification as Defendant's Exhibit A, can you take a quick minute to look at it since I understand you were not here for Officer Petitt's deposition; is that correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7	A Q	It is the Union's position that the City went outside the scope of the search warrant from Sean Gorman, into Petitt's personal text messaging, where he used words, through a private conversation, through his text messaging. How does that violate the CPPA's First
2 A 3 Q 4 5 6 7 8 A	patrol officer, correct? Correct. Now, looking at what has been marked previously for identification as Defendant's Exhibit A, can you take a quick minute to look at it since I understand you were not here for Officer Petitt's deposition; is that correct? That is correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8		It is the Union's position that the City went outside the scope of the search warrant from Sean Gorman, into Petitt's personal text messaging, where he used words, through a private conversation, through his text messaging. How does that violate the CPPA's First Amendment rights?
2 A 3 Q 4 5 6 7 8 A 9 Q	patrol officer, correct? Correct. Now, looking at what has been marked previously for identification as Defendant's Exhibit A, can you take a quick minute to look at it since I understand you were not here for Officer Petitt's deposition; is that correct? That is correct. Is that a copy of the Complaint for which we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q	It is the Union's position that the City went outside the scope of the search warrant from Sean Gorman, into Petitt's personal text messaging, where he used words, through a private conversation, through his text messaging. How does that violate the CPPA's First Amendment rights? MR. KLEBANOW: Objection. Go ahead.
2 A 3 Q 4 5 6 7 8 A 9 Q	patrol officer, correct? Correct. Now, looking at what has been marked previously for identification as Defendant's Exhibit A, can you take a quick minute to look at it since I understand you were not here for Officer Petitt's deposition; is that correct? That is correct. Is that a copy of the Complaint for which we are here for your deposition today?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q A	It is the Union's position that the City went outside the scope of the search warrant from Sean Gorman, into Petitt's personal text messaging, where he used words, through a private conversation, through his text messaging. How does that violate the CPPA's First Amendment rights? MR. KLEBANOW: Objection. Go ahead. The CPPA's?
2 A 3 Q 4 5 6 7 8 A 9 Q 10 11 A	patrol officer, correct? Correct. Now, looking at what has been marked previously for identification as Defendant's Exhibit A, can you take a quick minute to look at it since I understand you were not here for Officer Petitt's deposition; is that correct? That is correct. Is that a copy of the Complaint for which we are here for your deposition today? It is.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 4	Q A Q	It is the Union's position that the City went outside the scope of the search warrant from Sean Gorman, into Petitt's personal text messaging, where he used words, through a private conversation, through his text messaging. How does that violate the CPPA's First Amendment rights? MR. KLEBANOW: Objection. Go ahead. The CPPA's? Yes.
2 A 3 Q 4 5 6 7 8 A 9 Q 10 11 A 12 Q	patrol officer, correct? Correct. Now, looking at what has been marked previously for identification as Defendant's Exhibit A, can you take a quick minute to look at it since I understand you were not here for Officer Petitt's deposition; is that correct? That is correct. Is that a copy of the Complaint for which we are here for your deposition today? It is. You can take a look through the Complaint. If	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 4 11 (12	Q A Q	It is the Union's position that the City went outside the scope of the search warrant from Sean Gorman, into Petitt's personal text messaging, where he used words, through a private conversation, through his text messaging. How does that violate the CPPA's First Amendment rights? MR. KLEBANOW: Objection. Go ahead. The CPPA's? Yes. It's my job to make sure that Constitutional
2 A 3 Q 4 5 6 7 8 A 9 Q 10 11 A 12 Q	patrol officer, correct? Correct. Now, looking at what has been marked previously for identification as Defendant's Exhibit A, can you take a quick minute to look at it since I understand you were not here for Officer Petitt's deposition; is that correct? That is correct. Is that a copy of the Complaint for which we are here for your deposition today? It is. You can take a look through the Complaint. If you go to page try to speed things along.	2 3 4 5 6 7 (8 9 10 4 11 (12 4	Q A Q	It is the Union's position that the City went outside the scope of the search warrant from Sean Gorman, into Petitt's personal text messaging, where he used words, through a private conversation, through his text messaging. How does that violate the CPPA's First Amendment rights? MR. KLEBANOW: Objection. Go ahead. The CPPA's? Yes. It's my job to make sure that Constitutional laws and contractual issues are all protected.
2 A 3 Q 4 5 6 7 8 A 9 Q 10 11 A 12 Q 13 14	patrol officer, correct? Correct. Now, looking at what has been marked previously for identification as Defendant's Exhibit A, can you take a quick minute to look at it since I understand you were not here for Officer Petitt's deposition; is that correct? That is correct. Is that a copy of the Complaint for which we are here for your deposition today? It is. You can take a look through the Complaint. If you go to page try to speed things along. There are three counts in this Complaint, am I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 (12 4 13 14	Q A Q	It is the Union's position that the City went outside the scope of the search warrant from Sean Gorman, into Petitt's personal text messaging, where he used words, through a private conversation, through his text messaging. How does that violate the CPPA's First Amendment rights? MR. KLEBANOW: Objection. Go ahead. The CPPA's? Yes. It's my job to make sure that Constitutional laws and contractual issues are all protected. We protect everybody's rights, our members'
2 A 3 Q 4 5 6 7 8 A 9 Q 10 11 A 12 Q 13 14	patrol officer, correct? Correct. Now, looking at what has been marked previously for identification as Defendant's Exhibit A, can you take a quick minute to look at it since I understand you were not here for Officer Petitt's deposition; is that correct? That is correct. Is that a copy of the Complaint for which we are here for your deposition today? It is. You can take a look through the Complaint. If you go to page try to speed things along. There are three counts in this Complaint, am I correct? Or at least three things that have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 (12 13 14 15	Q A Q A	It is the Union's position that the City went outside the scope of the search warrant from Sean Gorman, into Petitt's personal text messaging, where he used words, through a private conversation, through his text messaging. How does that violate the CPPA's First Amendment rights? MR. KLEBANOW: Objection. Go ahead. The CPPA's? Yes. It's my job to make sure that Constitutional laws and contractual issues are all protected. We protect everybody's rights, our members' rights.
2 A 3 Q 4 5 6 7 8 A 9 Q 10 11 A 12 Q 13 14 15 16	patrol officer, correct? Correct. Now, looking at what has been marked previously for identification as Defendant's Exhibit A, can you take a quick minute to look at it since I understand you were not here for Officer Petitt's deposition; is that correct? That is correct. Is that a copy of the Complaint for which we are here for your deposition today? It is. You can take a look through the Complaint. If you go to page try to speed things along. There are three counts in this Complaint, am I correct? Or at least three things that have been characterized as Count 1, Count 2, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 (12 13 14 15 16	Q A Q	It is the Union's position that the City went outside the scope of the search warrant from Sean Gorman, into Petitt's personal text messaging, where he used words, through a private conversation, through his text messaging. How does that violate the CPPA's First Amendment rights? MR. KLEBANOW: Objection. Go ahead. The CPPA's? Yes. It's my job to make sure that Constitutional laws and contractual issues are all protected. We protect everybody's rights, our members' rights. So if I understand you correctly, the CPPA is
2 A 3 Q 4 5 6 7 8 A 9 Q 10 11 A 12 Q 13 14 15 16 17	patrol officer, correct? Correct. Now, looking at what has been marked previously for identification as Defendant's Exhibit A, can you take a quick minute to look at it since I understand you were not here for Officer Petitt's deposition; is that correct? That is correct. Is that a copy of the Complaint for which we are here for your deposition today? It is. You can take a look through the Complaint. If you go to page try to speed things along. There are three counts in this Complaint, am I correct? Or at least three things that have been characterized as Count 1, Count 2, and Count 3? Take your time.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 (17	Q A Q A	It is the Union's position that the City went outside the scope of the search warrant from Sean Gorman, into Petitt's personal text messaging, where he used words, through a private conversation, through his text messaging. How does that violate the CPPA's First Amendment rights? MR. KLEBANOW: Objection. Go ahead. The CPPA's? Yes. It's my job to make sure that Constitutional laws and contractual issues are all protected. We protect everybody's rights, our members' rights. So if I understand you correctly, the CPPA is not claiming that their own First Amendment
2 A 3 Q 4 5 6 7 8 A 9 Q 10 11 A 12 Q 13 14 15 16 17 18 A	patrol officer, correct? Correct. Now, looking at what has been marked previously for identification as Defendant's Exhibit A, can you take a quick minute to look at it since I understand you were not here for Officer Petitt's deposition; is that correct? That is correct. Is that a copy of the Complaint for which we are here for your deposition today? It is. You can take a look through the Complaint. If you go to page try to speed things along. There are three counts in this Complaint, am I correct? Or at least three things that have been characterized as Count 1, Count 2, and Count 3? Take your time. Yes, I just got to Count 3, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 (12 13 14 15 16 (17 18	Q A Q A	It is the Union's position that the City went outside the scope of the search warrant from Sean Gorman, into Petitt's personal text messaging, where he used words, through a private conversation, through his text messaging. How does that violate the CPPA's First Amendment rights? MR. KLEBANOW: Objection. Go ahead. The CPPA's? Yes. It's my job to make sure that Constitutional laws and contractual issues are all protected. We protect everybody's rights, our members' rights. So if I understand you correctly, the CPPA is not claiming that their own First Amendment rights were violated. They are a separate
2 A 3 Q 4 5 6 7 8 A 9 Q 10 11 A 12 Q 13 14 15 16 17 18 A 19 Q	patrol officer, correct? Correct. Now, looking at what has been marked previously for identification as Defendant's Exhibit A, can you take a quick minute to look at it since I understand you were not here for Officer Petitt's deposition; is that correct? That is correct. Is that a copy of the Complaint for which we are here for your deposition today? It is. You can take a look through the Complaint. If you go to page try to speed things along. There are three counts in this Complaint, am I correct? Or at least three things that have been characterized as Count 1, Count 2, and Count 3? Take your time. Yes, I just got to Count 3, yes. CPPA pertains only to Count 3. Counts 1 and 2	2 3 4 5 6 7 (8 9 10 11 (12 4 13 14 15 16 (17 18 19	Q A Q A	It is the Union's position that the City went outside the scope of the search warrant from Sean Gorman, into Petitt's personal text messaging, where he used words, through a private conversation, through his text messaging. How does that violate the CPPA's First Amendment rights? MR. KLEBANOW: Objection. Go ahead. The CPPA's? Yes. It's my job to make sure that Constitutional laws and contractual issues are all protected. We protect everybody's rights, our members' rights. So if I understand you correctly, the CPPA is not claiming that their own First Amendment rights were violated. They are a separate party plaintiff on this case in an attempt to
2 A 3 Q 4 5 6 7 8 A 9 Q 10 11 A 12 Q 13 14 15 16 17 18 A 19 Q	patrol officer, correct? Correct. Now, looking at what has been marked previously for identification as Defendant's Exhibit A , can you take a quick minute to look at it since I understand you were not here for Officer Petitt's deposition; is that correct? That is correct. Is that a copy of the Complaint for which we are here for your deposition today? It is. You can take a look through the Complaint. If you go to page try to speed things along. There are three counts in this Complaint, am I correct? Or at least three things that have been characterized as Count 1, Count 2, and Count 3? Take your time. Yes, I just got to Count 3, yes. CPPA pertains only to Count 3. Counts 1 and 2 are on behalf of the other plaintiff, Patrol	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q A Q A	It is the Union's position that the City went outside the scope of the search warrant from Sean Gorman, into Petitt's personal text messaging, where he used words, through a private conversation, through his text messaging. How does that violate the CPPA's First Amendment rights? MR. KLEBANOW: Objection. Go ahead. The CPPA's? Yes. It's my job to make sure that Constitutional laws and contractual issues are all protected. We protect everybody's rights, our members' rights. So if I understand you correctly, the CPPA is not claiming that their own First Amendment rights were violated. They are a separate party plaintiff on this case in an attempt to assert Patrol Officer Aaron Petitt's First
2 A 3 Q 4 5 6 7 8 A 9 Q 10 11 A 12 Q 13 14 15 16 17 18 A 19 Q 20 21	patrol officer, correct? Correct. Now, looking at what has been marked previously for identification as Defendant's Exhibit A, can you take a quick minute to look at it since I understand you were not here for Officer Petitt's deposition; is that correct? That is correct. Is that a copy of the Complaint for which we are here for your deposition today? It is. You can take a look through the Complaint. If you go to page try to speed things along. There are three counts in this Complaint, am I correct? Or at least three things that have been characterized as Count 1, Count 2, and Count 3? Take your time. Yes, I just got to Count 3, yes. CPPA pertains only to Count 3. Counts 1 and 2 are on behalf of the other plaintiff, Patrol Officer Aaron Petitt; is that correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q A Q A	It is the Union's position that the City went outside the scope of the search warrant from Sean Gorman, into Petitt's personal text messaging, where he used words, through a private conversation, through his text messaging. How does that violate the CPPA's First Amendment rights? MR. KLEBANOW: Objection. Go ahead. The CPPA's? Yes. It's my job to make sure that Constitutional laws and contractual issues are all protected. We protect everybody's rights, our members' rights. So if I understand you correctly, the CPPA is not claiming that their own First Amendment rights were violated. They are a separate party plaintiff on this case in an attempt to assert Patrol Officer Aaron Petitt's First Amendment violations?
2 A 3 Q 4 5 6 7 8 A 9 Q 10 11 A 12 Q 13 14 15 16 17 18 A 19 Q 20 21 22 A	patrol officer, correct? Correct. Now, looking at what has been marked previously for identification as Defendant's Exhibit A, can you take a quick minute to look at it since I understand you were not here for Officer Petitt's deposition; is that correct? That is correct. Is that a copy of the Complaint for which we are here for your deposition today? It is. You can take a look through the Complaint. If you go to page try to speed things along. There are three counts in this Complaint, am I correct? Or at least three things that have been characterized as Count 1, Count 2, and Count 3? Take your time. Yes, I just got to Count 3, yes. CPPA pertains only to Count 3. Counts 1 and 2 are on behalf of the other plaintiff, Patrol Officer Aaron Petitt; is that correct? Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 4	Q A Q A	It is the Union's position that the City went outside the scope of the search warrant from Sean Gorman, into Petitt's personal text messaging, where he used words, through a private conversation, through his text messaging. How does that violate the CPPA's First Amendment rights? MR. KLEBANOW: Objection. Go ahead. The CPPA's? Yes. It's my job to make sure that Constitutional laws and contractual issues are all protected. We protect everybody's rights, our members' rights. So if I understand you correctly, the CPPA is not claiming that their own First Amendment rights were violated. They are a separate party plaintiff on this case in an attempt to assert Patrol Officer Aaron Petitt's First Amendment violations? A member of our bargaining unit's rights were
2 A 3 Q 4 5 6 7 8 A 9 Q 10 11 A 12 Q 13 14 15 16 17 18 A 19 Q 20 21	patrol officer, correct? Correct. Now, looking at what has been marked previously for identification as Defendant's Exhibit A, can you take a quick minute to look at it since I understand you were not here for Officer Petitt's deposition; is that correct? That is correct. Is that a copy of the Complaint for which we are here for your deposition today? It is. You can take a look through the Complaint. If you go to page try to speed things along. There are three counts in this Complaint, am I correct? Or at least three things that have been characterized as Count 1, Count 2, and Count 3? Take your time. Yes, I just got to Count 3, yes. CPPA pertains only to Count 3. Counts 1 and 2 are on behalf of the other plaintiff, Patrol Officer Aaron Petitt; is that correct? Correct. So is the CPPA in this case Counts 1 and 2,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 4	Q A Q A	It is the Union's position that the City went outside the scope of the search warrant from Sean Gorman, into Petitt's personal text messaging, where he used words, through a private conversation, through his text messaging. How does that violate the CPPA's First Amendment rights? MR. KLEBANOW: Objection. Go ahead. The CPPA's? Yes. It's my job to make sure that Constitutional laws and contractual issues are all protected. We protect everybody's rights, our members' rights. So if I understand you correctly, the CPPA is not claiming that their own First Amendment rights were violated. They are a separate party plaintiff on this case in an attempt to assert Patrol Officer Aaron Petitt's First Amendment violations? A member of our bargaining unit's rights were violated.
2 A 3 Q 4 5 6 7 8 A 9 Q 10 11 A 12 Q 13 14 15 16 17 18 A 19 Q 20 21 22 A	patrol officer, correct? Correct. Now, looking at what has been marked previously for identification as Defendant's Exhibit A, can you take a quick minute to look at it since I understand you were not here for Officer Petitt's deposition; is that correct? That is correct. Is that a copy of the Complaint for which we are here for your deposition today? It is. You can take a look through the Complaint. If you go to page try to speed things along. There are three counts in this Complaint, am I correct? Or at least three things that have been characterized as Count 1, Count 2, and Count 3? Take your time. Yes, I just got to Count 3, yes. CPPA pertains only to Count 3. Counts 1 and 2 are on behalf of the other plaintiff, Patrol Officer Aaron Petitt; is that correct? Correct. So is the CPPA in this case Counts 1 and 2, like I said, you weren't here for Officer	2 3 4 5 6 7 10 11 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q A Q A	It is the Union's position that the City went outside the scope of the search warrant from Sean Gorman, into Petitt's personal text messaging, where he used words, through a private conversation, through his text messaging. How does that violate the CPPA's First Amendment rights? MR. KLEBANOW: Objection. Go ahead. The CPPA's? Yes. It's my job to make sure that Constitutional laws and contractual issues are all protected. We protect everybody's rights, our members' rights. So if I understand you correctly, the CPPA is not claiming that their own First Amendment rights were violated. They are a separate party plaintiff on this case in an attempt to assert Patrol Officer Aaron Petitt's First Amendment violations? A member of our bargaining unit's rights were violated. That being Aaron Petitt, correct?
2 A 3 Q 4 5 6 7 8 A 9 Q 10 11 A 12 Q 13 14 15 16 17 18 A 19 Q 20 21 22 A 23 Q	patrol officer, correct? Correct. Now, looking at what has been marked previously for identification as Defendant's Exhibit A, can you take a quick minute to look at it since I understand you were not here for Officer Petitt's deposition; is that correct? That is correct. Is that a copy of the Complaint for which we are here for your deposition today? It is. You can take a look through the Complaint. If you go to page try to speed things along. There are three counts in this Complaint, am I correct? Or at least three things that have been characterized as Count 1, Count 2, and Count 3? Take your time. Yes, I just got to Count 3, yes. CPPA pertains only to Count 3. Counts 1 and 2 are on behalf of the other plaintiff, Patrol Officer Aaron Petitt; is that correct? Correct. So is the CPPA in this case Counts 1 and 2,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 4	Q A Q A	It is the Union's position that the City went outside the scope of the search warrant from Sean Gorman, into Petitt's personal text messaging, where he used words, through a private conversation, through his text messaging. How does that violate the CPPA's First Amendment rights? MR. KLEBANOW: Objection. Go ahead. The CPPA's? Yes. It's my job to make sure that Constitutional laws and contractual issues are all protected. We protect everybody's rights, our members' rights. So if I understand you correctly, the CPPA is not claiming that their own First Amendment rights were violated. They are a separate party plaintiff on this case in an attempt to assert Patrol Officer Aaron Petitt's First Amendment violations? A member of our bargaining unit's rights were violated.

Case: 1:18-cv-01678-JG Doc #: 21 Filed: 01/28/19 5 of 24. PageID #: 225
Aaron Petitt, et al. v.
City of Cleveland

Jeff Follmer
January 17, 2019

	i Cieveialiu	ı	January 17, 2019
	Page 13		Page 15
1 Q	So once again, so it's clear for the record,	1	the CPPA if it should arise again. So, kind
2	you are not claiming that the CPPA's First	2	of answering it the same way, Petitt is a
3	Amendment rights were violated; is that	3	member of our Union. So I have to protect his
4	correct? You're claiming that Aaron Petitt's	4	rights, to protect other officers' rights.
5	First Amendment rights were violated?		Q I get that. The City and the CPPA are parties
	I characterize him as a member of the CPPA, so		
6 A		6 7 A	to a Collective Bargaining Agreement, correct? A That's correct.
7	in worrying about other members, what happens		
8	in the future, I would categorize it as a	8 (
9	CPPA, affecting other members and Officer	9	years?
10	Petitt.	10 A	
11 Q	Officer Petitt, and other members, once again	11 (
12	I'm going to circle back to are you claiming	12	to March 31st of three years later, correct?
13	that any CPPA First Amendment rights were	13 A	
14	violated by Defendant City of Cleveland, or is	14 (
15	your role as plaintiff to bring First	15	March 31, 2019, correct?
16	Amendment claims on behalf of Aaron Petitt and	16 A	A Correct.
17	anybody else that may be similarly situated	17	(Defendant's Exhibit H marked for
18	down the road?	18	identification.)
19 A	I would agree with that, that my	19 (Q I'm going to hand you what I'm marking for
20	responsibility is to watch for the	20	identification purpose as Defendant's
21	Constitutional Amendment rights of Aaron	21	Exhibit H, and ask if you can identify it?
22	Petitt and other members.	22 A	A It's the Collective Bargaining Agreement
23 Q	So is it fair then to say you're not claiming	23	between the City of Cleveland and the
24	that the CPPA's own First Amendment rights	24	Cleveland Police Patrolmen's Association.
25	were violated by Defendant City of Cleveland?	25 (
	, ,		
	Page 14		Page 16
1 Δ		1	
1 A	I think we're going back and forth on this.	1	know if that is a true and accurate copy of
2	I think we're going back and forth on this. Aaron Petitt is part of the CPPA.	2	know if that is a true and accurate copy of the current Collective Bargaining Agreement
2 3 Q	I think we're going back and forth on this. Aaron Petitt is part of the CPPA. Correct.	2	know if that is a true and accurate copy of the current Collective Bargaining Agreement between the CPPA and the City of Cleveland.
2 3 Q 4 A	I think we're going back and forth on this. Aaron Petitt is part of the CPPA. Correct. To answer your question, I'm looking at him as	2 3 4	know if that is a true and accurate copy of the current Collective Bargaining Agreement between the CPPA and the City of Cleveland. Take your time.
2 3 Q 4 A 5	I think we're going back and forth on this. Aaron Petitt is part of the CPPA. Correct. To answer your question, I'm looking at him as a member, so I am looking at it as far as the	2 3 4 5 A	know if that is a true and accurate copy of the current Collective Bargaining Agreement between the CPPA and the City of Cleveland. Take your time. A Yes, it appears to be all here.
2 3 Q 4 A 5 6	I think we're going back and forth on this. Aaron Petitt is part of the CPPA. Correct. To answer your question, I'm looking at him as a member, so I am looking at it as far as the CPPA it was violated.	2 3 4 5 A 6 (know if that is a true and accurate copy of the current Collective Bargaining Agreement between the CPPA and the City of Cleveland. Take your time. A Yes, it appears to be all here. Q So, we can turn to Article 1. This is the
2 3 Q 4 A 5 6 7 Q	I think we're going back and forth on this. Aaron Petitt is part of the CPPA. Correct. To answer your question, I'm looking at him as a member, so I am looking at it as far as the CPPA it was violated. I understand. So let's talk about the other	2 3 4 5 A 6 (know if that is a true and accurate copy of the current Collective Bargaining Agreement between the CPPA and the City of Cleveland. Take your time. A Yes, it appears to be all here. Q So, we can turn to Article 1. This is the recognition clause. This states whom the CPPA
2 3 Q 4 A 5 6 7 Q 8	I think we're going back and forth on this. Aaron Petitt is part of the CPPA. Correct. To answer your question, I'm looking at him as a member, so I am looking at it as far as the CPPA it was violated. I understand. So let's talk about the other two claims. The procedural and substantive	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	know if that is a true and accurate copy of the current Collective Bargaining Agreement between the CPPA and the City of Cleveland. Take your time. A Yes, it appears to be all here. So, we can turn to Article 1. This is the recognition clause. This states whom the CPPA represents for Collective Bargaining Agreement
2 3 Q 4 A 5 6 7 Q 8	I think we're going back and forth on this. Aaron Petitt is part of the CPPA. Correct. To answer your question, I'm looking at him as a member, so I am looking at it as far as the CPPA it was violated. I understand. So let's talk about the other two claims. The procedural and substantive due process. Let's talk about the procedural	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	know if that is a true and accurate copy of the current Collective Bargaining Agreement between the CPPA and the City of Cleveland. Take your time. A Yes, it appears to be all here. So, we can turn to Article 1. This is the recognition clause. This states whom the CPPA represents for Collective Bargaining Agreement purposes; is that correct?
2 3 Q 4 A 5 6 7 Q 8 9	I think we're going back and forth on this. Aaron Petitt is part of the CPPA. Correct. To answer your question, I'm looking at him as a member, so I am looking at it as far as the CPPA it was violated. I understand. So let's talk about the other two claims. The procedural and substantive due process. Let's talk about the procedural due process.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	know if that is a true and accurate copy of the current Collective Bargaining Agreement between the CPPA and the City of Cleveland. Take your time. A Yes, it appears to be all here. Q So, we can turn to Article 1. This is the recognition clause. This states whom the CPPA represents for Collective Bargaining Agreement purposes; is that correct? A Correct.
2 3 Q 4 A 5 6 7 Q 8 9 10	I think we're going back and forth on this. Aaron Petitt is part of the CPPA. Correct. To answer your question, I'm looking at him as a member, so I am looking at it as far as the CPPA it was violated. I understand. So let's talk about the other two claims. The procedural and substantive due process. Let's talk about the procedural due process. How are you claiming, if you are, that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	know if that is a true and accurate copy of the current Collective Bargaining Agreement between the CPPA and the City of Cleveland. Take your time. A Yes, it appears to be all here. O So, we can turn to Article 1. This is the recognition clause. This states whom the CPPA represents for Collective Bargaining Agreement purposes; is that correct? A Correct. O This Collective Bargaining Agreement,
2 3 Q 4 A 5 6 7 Q 8 9 10 11 12	I think we're going back and forth on this. Aaron Petitt is part of the CPPA. Correct. To answer your question, I'm looking at him as a member, so I am looking at it as far as the CPPA it was violated. I understand. So let's talk about the other two claims. The procedural and substantive due process. Let's talk about the procedural due process. How are you claiming, if you are, that the CPPA's procedural due process rights were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 4 11 (know if that is a true and accurate copy of the current Collective Bargaining Agreement between the CPPA and the City of Cleveland. Take your time. A Yes, it appears to be all here. So, we can turn to Article 1. This is the recognition clause. This states whom the CPPA represents for Collective Bargaining Agreement purposes; is that correct? A Correct. O This Collective Bargaining Agreement, Defendant's Exhibit H, has a grievance
2 3 Q 4 A 5 6 7 Q 8 9 10 11 12	I think we're going back and forth on this. Aaron Petitt is part of the CPPA. Correct. To answer your question, I'm looking at him as a member, so I am looking at it as far as the CPPA it was violated. I understand. So let's talk about the other two claims. The procedural and substantive due process. Let's talk about the procedural due process. How are you claiming, if you are, that the CPPA's procedural due process rights were violated by Defendant City of Cleveland?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 4 11 (12 13	know if that is a true and accurate copy of the current Collective Bargaining Agreement between the CPPA and the City of Cleveland. Take your time. A Yes, it appears to be all here. So, we can turn to Article 1. This is the recognition clause. This states whom the CPPA represents for Collective Bargaining Agreement purposes; is that correct? A Correct. O This Collective Bargaining Agreement, Defendant's Exhibit H, has a grievance arbitration process, correct?
2 3 Q 4 A 5 6 7 Q 8 9 10 11 12 13	I think we're going back and forth on this. Aaron Petitt is part of the CPPA. Correct. To answer your question, I'm looking at him as a member, so I am looking at it as far as the CPPA it was violated. I understand. So let's talk about the other two claims. The procedural and substantive due process. Let's talk about the procedural due process. How are you claiming, if you are, that the CPPA's procedural due process rights were violated by Defendant City of Cleveland? MR. KLEBANOW: Objection. Go ahead.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 4 11 (12 13 14	know if that is a true and accurate copy of the current Collective Bargaining Agreement between the CPPA and the City of Cleveland. Take your time. A Yes, it appears to be all here. So, we can turn to Article 1. This is the recognition clause. This states whom the CPPA represents for Collective Bargaining Agreement purposes; is that correct? A Correct. This Collective Bargaining Agreement, Defendant's Exhibit H, has a grievance arbitration process, correct? A It does.
2 3 Q 4 A 5 6 7 Q 8 9 10 11 12	I think we're going back and forth on this. Aaron Petitt is part of the CPPA. Correct. To answer your question, I'm looking at him as a member, so I am looking at it as far as the CPPA it was violated. I understand. So let's talk about the other two claims. The procedural and substantive due process. Let's talk about the procedural due process. How are you claiming, if you are, that the CPPA's procedural due process rights were violated by Defendant City of Cleveland? MR. KLEBANOW: Objection. Go ahead. It's our position that his texts should not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 4 11 (12 13	know if that is a true and accurate copy of the current Collective Bargaining Agreement between the CPPA and the City of Cleveland. Take your time. A Yes, it appears to be all here. Q So, we can turn to Article 1. This is the recognition clause. This states whom the CPPA represents for Collective Bargaining Agreement purposes; is that correct? A Correct. Q This Collective Bargaining Agreement, Defendant's Exhibit H, has a grievance arbitration process, correct? A It does. Q Are you claiming that any of also has a
2 3 Q 4 A 5 6 7 Q 8 9 10 11 12 13	I think we're going back and forth on this. Aaron Petitt is part of the CPPA. Correct. To answer your question, I'm looking at him as a member, so I am looking at it as far as the CPPA it was violated. I understand. So let's talk about the other two claims. The procedural and substantive due process. Let's talk about the procedural due process. How are you claiming, if you are, that the CPPA's procedural due process rights were violated by Defendant City of Cleveland? MR. KLEBANOW: Objection. Go ahead. It's our position that his texts should not have made it into a discipline, administrative	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 4 11 (12 13 14	know if that is a true and accurate copy of the current Collective Bargaining Agreement between the CPPA and the City of Cleveland. Take your time. A Yes, it appears to be all here. So, we can turn to Article 1. This is the recognition clause. This states whom the CPPA represents for Collective Bargaining Agreement purposes; is that correct? A Correct. O This Collective Bargaining Agreement, Defendant's Exhibit H, has a grievance arbitration process, correct? A It does. O Are you claiming that any of also has a provision in the management rights article,
2 3 Q 4 A 5 6 7 Q 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 A	I think we're going back and forth on this. Aaron Petitt is part of the CPPA. Correct. To answer your question, I'm looking at him as a member, so I am looking at it as far as the CPPA it was violated. I understand. So let's talk about the other two claims. The procedural and substantive due process. Let's talk about the procedural due process. How are you claiming, if you are, that the CPPA's procedural due process rights were violated by Defendant City of Cleveland? MR. KLEBANOW: Objection. Go ahead. It's our position that his texts should not have made it into a discipline, administrative disciplinary hearing, or go into a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 4 11 (12 13 14 4	know if that is a true and accurate copy of the current Collective Bargaining Agreement between the CPPA and the City of Cleveland. Take your time. A Yes, it appears to be all here. So, we can turn to Article 1. This is the recognition clause. This states whom the CPPA represents for Collective Bargaining Agreement purposes; is that correct? A Correct. O This Collective Bargaining Agreement, Defendant's Exhibit H, has a grievance arbitration process, correct? A It does. O Are you claiming that any of also has a provision in the management rights article, Article 4, which indicates that discipline
2 3 Q 4 A 5 6 7 Q 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 A 16	I think we're going back and forth on this. Aaron Petitt is part of the CPPA. Correct. To answer your question, I'm looking at him as a member, so I am looking at it as far as the CPPA it was violated. I understand. So let's talk about the other two claims. The procedural and substantive due process. Let's talk about the procedural due process. How are you claiming, if you are, that the CPPA's procedural due process rights were violated by Defendant City of Cleveland? MR. KLEBANOW: Objection. Go ahead. It's our position that his texts should not have made it into a discipline, administrative	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 (12 13 14 15 (16	know if that is a true and accurate copy of the current Collective Bargaining Agreement between the CPPA and the City of Cleveland. Take your time. A Yes, it appears to be all here. So, we can turn to Article 1. This is the recognition clause. This states whom the CPPA represents for Collective Bargaining Agreement purposes; is that correct? A Correct. O This Collective Bargaining Agreement, Defendant's Exhibit H, has a grievance arbitration process, correct? A It does. O Are you claiming that any of also has a provision in the management rights article,
2 3 Q 4 A 5 6 7 Q 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 A 16 17	I think we're going back and forth on this. Aaron Petitt is part of the CPPA. Correct. To answer your question, I'm looking at him as a member, so I am looking at it as far as the CPPA it was violated. I understand. So let's talk about the other two claims. The procedural and substantive due process. Let's talk about the procedural due process. How are you claiming, if you are, that the CPPA's procedural due process rights were violated by Defendant City of Cleveland? MR. KLEBANOW: Objection. Go ahead. It's our position that his texts should not have made it into a discipline, administrative disciplinary hearing, or go into a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 (12 13 14 4 15 (16 17	know if that is a true and accurate copy of the current Collective Bargaining Agreement between the CPPA and the City of Cleveland. Take your time. A Yes, it appears to be all here. So, we can turn to Article 1. This is the recognition clause. This states whom the CPPA represents for Collective Bargaining Agreement purposes; is that correct? A Correct. O This Collective Bargaining Agreement, Defendant's Exhibit H, has a grievance arbitration process, correct? A It does. O Are you claiming that any of also has a provision in the management rights article, Article 4, which indicates that discipline
2 3 Q 4 A 5 6 7 Q 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 A 16 17 18	I think we're going back and forth on this. Aaron Petitt is part of the CPPA. Correct. To answer your question, I'm looking at him as a member, so I am looking at it as far as the CPPA it was violated. I understand. So let's talk about the other two claims. The procedural and substantive due process. Let's talk about the procedural due process. How are you claiming, if you are, that the CPPA's procedural due process rights were violated by Defendant City of Cleveland? MR. KLEBANOW: Objection. Go ahead. It's our position that his texts should not have made it into a discipline, administrative disciplinary hearing, or go into a disciplinary hearing.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 (12 13 14 4 15 (16 17 18	know if that is a true and accurate copy of the current Collective Bargaining Agreement between the CPPA and the City of Cleveland. Take your time. A Yes, it appears to be all here. Q So, we can turn to Article 1. This is the recognition clause. This states whom the CPPA represents for Collective Bargaining Agreement purposes; is that correct? A Correct. Q This Collective Bargaining Agreement, Defendant's Exhibit H, has a grievance arbitration process, correct? A It does. Q Are you claiming that any of also has a provision in the management rights article, Article 4, which indicates that discipline should be imposed for just cause; is that correct?
2 3 Q 4 A 5 6 7 Q 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 A 16 17 18 19 Q	I think we're going back and forth on this. Aaron Petitt is part of the CPPA. Correct. To answer your question, I'm looking at him as a member, so I am looking at it as far as the CPPA it was violated. I understand. So let's talk about the other two claims. The procedural and substantive due process. Let's talk about the procedural due process. How are you claiming, if you are, that the CPPA's procedural due process rights were violated by Defendant City of Cleveland? MR. KLEBANOW: Objection. Go ahead. It's our position that his texts should not have made it into a discipline, administrative disciplinary hearing, or go into a disciplinary hearing. So, are you claiming that the once again,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 (12 13 14 15 (16 17 18 19	know if that is a true and accurate copy of the current Collective Bargaining Agreement between the CPPA and the City of Cleveland. Take your time. A Yes, it appears to be all here. Q So, we can turn to Article 1. This is the recognition clause. This states whom the CPPA represents for Collective Bargaining Agreement purposes; is that correct? A Correct. Q This Collective Bargaining Agreement, Defendant's Exhibit H, has a grievance arbitration process, correct? A It does. Q Are you claiming that any of also has a provision in the management rights article, Article 4, which indicates that discipline should be imposed for just cause; is that correct? A Correct.
2 3 Q 4 A 5 6 7 Q 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 A 16 17 18 19 Q 20	I think we're going back and forth on this. Aaron Petitt is part of the CPPA. Correct. To answer your question, I'm looking at him as a member, so I am looking at it as far as the CPPA it was violated. I understand. So let's talk about the other two claims. The procedural and substantive due process. Let's talk about the procedural due process. How are you claiming, if you are, that the CPPA's procedural due process rights were violated by Defendant City of Cleveland? MR. KLEBANOW: Objection. Go ahead. It's our position that his texts should not have made it into a discipline, administrative disciplinary hearing, or go into a disciplinary hearing. So, are you claiming that the once again, the CPPA's procedural, 14th Amendment	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 (12 13 14 15 (16 17 18 19 20	know if that is a true and accurate copy of the current Collective Bargaining Agreement between the CPPA and the City of Cleveland. Take your time. A Yes, it appears to be all here. Q So, we can turn to Article 1. This is the recognition clause. This states whom the CPPA represents for Collective Bargaining Agreement purposes; is that correct? A Correct. Q This Collective Bargaining Agreement, Defendant's Exhibit H, has a grievance arbitration process, correct? A It does. Q Are you claiming that any of also has a provision in the management rights article, Article 4, which indicates that discipline should be imposed for just cause; is that correct? A Correct.
2 3 Q 4 A 5 6 7 Q 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 A 16 17 18 19 Q 20 21	I think we're going back and forth on this. Aaron Petitt is part of the CPPA. Correct. To answer your question, I'm looking at him as a member, so I am looking at it as far as the CPPA it was violated. I understand. So let's talk about the other two claims. The procedural and substantive due process. Let's talk about the procedural due process. How are you claiming, if you are, that the CPPA's procedural due process rights were violated by Defendant City of Cleveland? MR. KLEBANOW: Objection. Go ahead. It's our position that his texts should not have made it into a discipline, administrative disciplinary hearing, or go into a disciplinary hearing. So, are you claiming that the once again, the CPPA's procedural, 14th Amendment procedural due process rights were violated,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 (12 13 14 4 15 (16 17 18 19 20 4	know if that is a true and accurate copy of the current Collective Bargaining Agreement between the CPPA and the City of Cleveland. Take your time. A Yes, it appears to be all here. So, we can turn to Article 1. This is the recognition clause. This states whom the CPPA represents for Collective Bargaining Agreement purposes; is that correct? A Correct. This Collective Bargaining Agreement, Defendant's Exhibit H, has a grievance arbitration process, correct? It does. Are you claiming that any of also has a provision in the management rights article, Article 4, which indicates that discipline should be imposed for just cause; is that correct? Correct. A Correct. A Correct. Are you claiming that any I'm trying to get
2 3 Q 4 A 5 6 7 Q 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 A 16 17 18 19 Q 20 21 22	I think we're going back and forth on this. Aaron Petitt is part of the CPPA. Correct. To answer your question, I'm looking at him as a member, so I am looking at it as far as the CPPA it was violated. I understand. So let's talk about the other two claims. The procedural and substantive due process. Let's talk about the procedural due process. How are you claiming, if you are, that the CPPA's procedural due process rights were violated by Defendant City of Cleveland? MR. KLEBANOW: Objection. Go ahead. It's our position that his texts should not have made it into a discipline, administrative disciplinary hearing. So, are you claiming that the once again, the CPPA's procedural, 14th Amendment procedural due process rights were violated, or are you claiming just that Aaron Petitt's procedural due process rights were violated?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 (12 13 14 15 (16 17 18 19 20 4 21 (22	know if that is a true and accurate copy of the current Collective Bargaining Agreement between the CPPA and the City of Cleveland. Take your time. A Yes, it appears to be all here. So, we can turn to Article 1. This is the recognition clause. This states whom the CPPA represents for Collective Bargaining Agreement purposes; is that correct? A Correct. This Collective Bargaining Agreement, Defendant's Exhibit H, has a grievance arbitration process, correct? It does. Are you claiming that any of also has a provision in the management rights article, Article 4, which indicates that discipline should be imposed for just cause; is that correct? A Correct. A Correct. A Correct. Are you claiming that any I'm trying to get an understanding of how you are claiming the
2 3 Q 4 A 5 6 7 Q 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 A 16 17 18 19 Q 20 21 22 23	I think we're going back and forth on this. Aaron Petitt is part of the CPPA. Correct. To answer your question, I'm looking at him as a member, so I am looking at it as far as the CPPA it was violated. I understand. So let's talk about the other two claims. The procedural and substantive due process. Let's talk about the procedural due process. How are you claiming, if you are, that the CPPA's procedural due process rights were violated by Defendant City of Cleveland? MR. KLEBANOW: Objection. Go ahead. It's our position that his texts should not have made it into a discipline, administrative disciplinary hearing, or go into a disciplinary hearing. So, are you claiming that the once again, the CPPA's procedural, 14th Amendment procedural due process rights were violated, or are you claiming just that Aaron Petitt's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 4 15 (16 17 18 19 20 4 21 (22 23	know if that is a true and accurate copy of the current Collective Bargaining Agreement between the CPPA and the City of Cleveland. Take your time. A Yes, it appears to be all here. So, we can turn to Article 1. This is the recognition clause. This states whom the CPPA represents for Collective Bargaining Agreement purposes; is that correct? A Correct. This Collective Bargaining Agreement, Defendant's Exhibit H, has a grievance arbitration process, correct? It does. Are you claiming that any of also has a provision in the management rights article, Article 4, which indicates that discipline should be imposed for just cause; is that correct? A Correct. Are you claiming that any I'm trying to get an understanding of how you are claiming the City violated Aaron Petitt's procedural due

Case: 1:18-cv-01678-JG Doc #: 21 Filed: 01/28/19 6 of 24. PageID #: 226
Aaron Petitt, et al. v.

City of Cleveland

Jeff Follmer
January 17, 2019

Cit	y of	Cleveland			January 17, 2019
		Page 17			Page 19
1		pending regarding the six day suspension which	1		was previously marked for identification
2		the City imposed upon Aaron Petitt on May 3,	2		purposes as Defendant's Exhibits B and C?
3		2017.		A	I do.
4		MR. KLEBANOW: '18.		Q	B has the three specifications which resulted
5		MR. MENZALORA: Mike, can you grab	5	~	in the disposition letter by Chief of Police
6		his copy real quick, so I have my dates	6		Calvin Williams, which is reflected in
7		correct. Hold on.	7		Defendant's Exhibit C; is that correct?
8		(Defendant's Exhibit I marked for		A	Correct.
9		identification.)	9	Q	C is the letter which actually imposed the six
10	Q	I'll hand you what I'm marking for	10	~	day suspension?
11	~	identification purposes as Defendant's		A	Correct.
12		Exhibit I. Maybe this will speed things		Q	He was found guilty of all three of the
13		along.	13	V	specifications which are referenced in
14		Is this a true and accurate copy of the	14		Defendant's Exhibit B, correct?
15		grievance the CPPA filed on Aaron Petitt's		A	Correct.
16		behalf regarding the discipline that he		Q	The first specification, once again, to close
17		received from the City of Cleveland, six day	17	V	this loop, is the one that is the basis of the
18		suspension on or about May 10, 2018?	18		CPPA and Officer Petitt's allegation that his
19	Δ	Correct.	19		First Amendment rights were violated, correct?
20		This challenges the six day suspension that he		A	Correct.
21	Q	got, correct?		Q	So for purposes of this lawsuit, the CPPA is
	A	It does.	22	Q	not contesting the validity of the finding of
	Q	This challenges the entire suspension,	23		guilt for Specification 2 and Specification 3?
24	Ų	meaning are you familiar that Aaron Petitt		A	Can you say it again?
25		was found guilty of three specifications?		Q	For purposes of this lawsuit, the CPPA is not
25		was found guilty of three specifications:	25	Ų	For purposes of this fawsuit, the CLLA is not
		Page 18			Page 20
1	A	I am, yes.	1		contesting the validity of the finding of
	Q	The first specification is the one that is	2		guilt by the City of Cleveland for
3	~	essentially the subject of your First	3		Specification 2 and 3. The only specification
4		Amendment claim, and Petitt's First Amendment	4		at issue in this lawsuit is Specification 1?
5		claim?		A	It is.
6	A	I don't have the specifications in front of	6	Q	What was marked for identification purposes as
7		me, but if you are telling me that is Spec 1,	7	~	Defendant's Exhibit I, that is challenging the
8		I will not disagree with you.	8		entire disposition letter that was marked for
9	Q	I'll hand you what has been marked for	9		identification purposes as Defendant's
10	~	identification purposes during Officer	10		Exhibit C?
11		Petitt's deposition as Defendant's Exhibit B.		A	Correct.
12		If you can take a look.		Q	Not to go through in great detail for the
13		MR. PIKE: Mine is marked	13	~	record but once the CPPA files a grievance,
14		though.	14		the grievance procedure that is referenced in
15		MR. KLEBANOW: Are you looking for	15		Defendant's Exhibit H comes into play and the
16		the charge letter?	16		grievance procedure is Article 22; is that
17		MR. MENZALORA: Yeah, the charge	17		correct?
18		letter.		A	Correct.
19		MR. KLEBANOW: I've got it.		Q	So there is a grievance trail. You file a
20		MR. MENZALORA: Exhibit B and C, give	20	~	grievance and it goes through various steps,
21		them to Jeff, please.	21		2, 3, 4, with the last step being arbitration,
22		MR. KLEBANOW: There is C. Here is	22		correct?
		B.		A	Correct.
23		~ ·			
23 24	Bv	Mr. Menzalora:	24	\mathbf{O}	We are currently at the arbitration level for
23 24 25	•	Mr. Menzalora: Okay, Jeff, do you have in front of you what	24 25	Q	We are currently at the arbitration level for purposes of the Collective Bargaining

Case: 1:18-cv-01678-JG Doc #: 21 Filed: 01/28/19 7 of 24. PageID #: 227

Aaron Petitt, et al. v.

Jeff Follmer

January 17, 2019

Cit	y of	Cleveland			January 17, 2019
		Page 21			Page 23
1		Agreement marked for identification purposes	1		discipline process, through going into the
2		as Defendant's Exhibit H, correct?	2		phone on a criminal investigation where it
	A	Correct.	3		went outside the scope to Officer Petitt's
4	_	The arbitration is currently on stay, pending	4		private phone. Private text message, I'm
5	V	the resolution of this lawsuit; is that	5		sorry.
6		correct?	6	Q	So the grievance process, like I said, the
7		MR. KLEBANOW: If you know.	7	V	last step in the grievance procedure between
	A	I don't know that.	8		the City of Cleveland and the CPPA which is
9	11	MR. MENZALORA: Off the record.	9		referenced in the document marked for
10		(Discussion had off the record.)	10		identification purposes as Defendant's
11	BY	Mr. Menzalora:	11		Exhibit H, the last step is arbitration,
12	Q	Jeff, so back to my last question. The	12		correct?
13	~	grievance that has been marked for	13	Α	Correct.
14		identification purposes as Defendant's	14		Go through an arbitration. I'll say it's
15		Exhibit I has reach the arbitration level and	15		similar to a bench trial. We sit in a
16		currently the CPPA and the City have agreed to	16		conference room, before a court reporter, both
17		put the arbitration in abeyance, pending the	17		sides get to put on, similar to a disciplinary
18		resolution of this lawsuit; is that correct?	18		hearing, both sides get to put on evidence,
	A	Correct.	19		witnesses, whatever they want to support their
20	Q	So, is the CPPA contending that any Officer	20		case. Then an arbitrator makes a written
21		Petitt or the CPPA's procedural due process	21		opinion, sometimes after the parties submit
22		rights contained within the grievance	22		their post arbitration hearing briefs, is that
23		procedure have been violated? Or is it just	23		a fair explanation of the process?
24		the complaint is about the discipline that was	24	A	Yes, it is.
25		issued, the basis of the discipline that was	25	Q	So, in this case, I honestly don't know if
		Page 22			Page 24
1		issued to Officer Petitt by the City of	1		we've been assigned an arbitrator yet, or if
2		Cleveland back on May 10, 2018?	2		we are still waiting for an arbitrator to be
3		MR. KLEBANOW: Objection. Go ahead	3		assigned. Once an arbitrator is assigned, we
4		though.	4		have an arbitration hearing, an arbitrator can
5	A	It would be the discipline.	5		do a number of things with the CPPA's
6	Q	For First Amendment purposes then again so	6		grievance.
7		the entire basis of the CPPA's procedural due	7		They can say the City had just cause
8		process is that Officer Petitt's procedural	8		for just Specification 1, but not
9		due process rights were violated by issuing	9		Specifications 2 and 3. The arbitrator can
10		discipline based upon text messages that were	10		say the City had just cause to impose
11		contained on his phone?	11		discipline for Specification 2, but not
12		MR. KLEBANOW: Objection. Go ahead.	12		Specifications 1 and 3. The arbitrator can
13	Q	Is that correct?	13		hold that the City had just cause for imposing
14	A	Correct.	14		discipline for Specification 3, but not 1 and
15	Q	Is that his I honestly don't know sitting	15		2, or some combination of those
16		here, is that his personal cell phone, or his	16		specifications. An arbitrator could exonerate
17		City issued cell phone?	17		Petitt for all three specifications, or the
18	A	Personal.	18		arbitrator can find Petitt guilty of all three
19	Q	Regarding the I suspect your answers will	19		specifications; is that an accurate statement?
20		be the same regarding the 14th Amendment	20	_	Correct.
21		substantive due process claim, how are you	21	Q	If an arbitrator exonerates Petitt for all
22		claiming that the CPPA's substantive due	22		three Specifications, he or she may award

process rights have been violated?

MR. KLEBANOW: Objection. Go ahead.

It would be the same answer. Through the

23

24

25 A

23

24

25 A

Correct.

Petitt back pay for the six day suspension he

has already served; is that correct?

Case: 1:18-cv-01678-JG Doc #: 21 Filed: 01/28/19 8 of 24. PageID #: 228
Aaron Petitt, et al. v.
City of Cleveland

Jeff Follmer
January 17, 2019

Page 25	D 07
	Page 27
1 Q That is accurate for the record that Officer 1 the grievance,	, let's look at Defendant's
	the CPPA asking for any
	than the return of pay for
	s six day suspension?
5 Q An arbitrator could also award whatever other 5 A It's about pay	• •
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ay suspension?
7 Petitt entitled to under the Collective 7 A Correct.	
	nication have you had with Officer
	of the presence of outside
	ce of legal counsel regarding
11 A Correct. 11 this lawsuit?	or of legal counsel regulating
	EBANOW: Just to be clear too,
	orneys representing you, not
	I. If there were other
	sent representing you, Bill,
16 MR. KLEBANOW: Objection. Go ahead, 16 correct me if 1	
	NZALORA: No, you are correct.
	EBANOW: Nothing about those
19 arbitrator? 19 conversations	C
	sations have you had with Officer
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ng his lawsuit outside of
	EBANOW: No lawyers.
	d or Avery, or any of the CPPA's
	usual attorneys?
with a search warrant unable to be challenged 25 A Really none.	It's my job. I wasn't at his
Page 26	Page 28
1 in court because it is stating 1 disciplinary h	earing.
	f the other CPPA was it one of
texts, conversations, while they are going 3 the other two	f the other CPPA was it one of CPPA officers who are full time
texts, conversations, while they are going outside the scope of that original the other two release from to	f the other CPPA was it one of CPPA officers who are full time he Division of Police work that
texts, conversations, while they are going outside the scope of that original the other two investigation. 3 the other two release from to was at Petitt's	the other CPPA was it one of CPPA officers who are full time the Division of Police work that disciplinary hearing then?
texts, conversations, while they are going outside the scope of that original outside the scope of that original investigation. The other two departments of the	f the other CPPA was it one of CPPA officers who are full time he Division of Police work that
texts, conversations, while they are going outside the scope of that original outside the scope of that original investigation. Did the criminal investigation involve Officer Petitt? the other two are lease from to be was at Petitt's A Correct. It w there was con	f the other CPPA was it one of CPPA officers who are full time the Division of Police work that disciplinary hearing then? as Officer Medina. I believe versation of prepping for his
texts, conversations, while they are going outside the scope of that original investigation. Did the criminal investigation involve Officer Petitt? Here was con R A It did not. The other two release from to the other two release from to the other two the other two A Correct. It was at Petitt's there was con disciplinary here.	the other CPPA was it one of CPPA officers who are full time the Division of Police work that disciplinary hearing then? The as Officer Medina. I believe versation of prepping for his earing. I don't recall what
texts, conversations, while they are going outside the scope of that original outside the scope of that original investigation. Q Did the criminal investigation involve Officer Petitt? A It did not. Q Who did it involve? 3 the other two release from to 5 was at Petitt's 6 A Correct. It w 7 there was con 8 disciplinary how 9 those converse	the other CPPA was it one of CPPA officers who are full time he Division of Police work that disciplinary hearing then? as Officer Medina. I believe versation of prepping for his earing. I don't recall what ations were, but it would be
texts, conversations, while they are going outside the scope of that original investigation. Q Did the criminal investigation involve Officer Petitt? Reference of that original outside the scope of that original was at Petitt's A Correct. It w there was con disciplinary h outside the scope of that original the other two outside the scope of that original outside the scope of the outside the ou	the other CPPA was it one of CPPA officers who are full time the Division of Police work that disciplinary hearing then? The as Officer Medina. I believe versation of prepping for his earing. I don't recall what
texts, conversations, while they are going outside the scope of that original investigation. Q Did the criminal investigation involve Officer Petitt? Retitt? Retitt.	the other CPPA was it one of CPPA officers who are full time he Division of Police work that disciplinary hearing then? as Officer Medina. I believe versation of prepping for his earing. I don't recall what ations were, but it would be
texts, conversations, while they are going outside the scope of that original investigation. Did the criminal investigation involve Officer Petitt? Here was con Reference A Correct. It was at Petitt's A It did not. Reference A Correct. It was at Petitt's A It did not. Reference A Correct. It was at Petitt's A Correct. It was at Peti	the other CPPA was it one of CPPA officers who are full time the Division of Police work that disciplinary hearing then? Tas Officer Medina. I believe versation of prepping for his earing. I don't recall what ations were, but it would be the if there was any to little
texts, conversations, while they are going outside the scope of that original investigation. Did the criminal investigation involve Officer Petitt? Here was con Reference A Correct. It was at Petitt's A It did not. Reference A Correct. It was at Petitt's A It did not. Reference A Correct. It was at Petitt's A Correct. It was at Peti	the other CPPA was it one of CPPA officers who are full time the Division of Police work that disciplinary hearing then? The cast officer Medina. I believe eversation of prepping for his earing. I don't recall what ations were, but it would be the if there was any to little it would be over the
texts, conversations, while they are going outside the scope of that original investigation. Did the criminal investigation involve Officer Petitt? A It did not. Q Who did it involve? A Sean Gorman. Sean Gorman. Sean Gorman. Sean Gorman. Li Q Is that Sean with S-E-A-N, or S-H-A-W-N, or something else? A It's S-E-A-N and Gorman is G-O-R-M-A-N. The other two release from the converse of the other two descriptions of the other two release from the converse of the converse	the other CPPA was it one of CPPA officers who are full time the Division of Police work that disciplinary hearing then? Tas Officer Medina. I believe eversation of prepping for his earing. I don't recall what ations were, but it would be the if there was any to little it would be over the
texts, conversations, while they are going outside the scope of that original investigation. Q Did the criminal investigation involve Officer Petitt? R A It did not. Q Who did it involve? Q Who did it involve? Q Who did it involve? Q Is that Sean with S-E-A-N, or S-H-A-W-N, or something else? R A It's S-E-A-N and Gorman is G-O-R-M-A-N. Q But you don't dispute that an arbitrator has, 10 the other two release from the release	the other CPPA was it one of CPPA officers who are full time the Division of Police work that disciplinary hearing then? The sas Officer Medina. I believe versation of prepping for his earing. I don't recall what ations were, but it would be the if there was any to little it would be over the agoing forward towards the
texts, conversations, while they are going outside the scope of that original investigation. Q Did the criminal investigation involve Officer Petitt? Retitt? May be a lit did not. Q Who did it involve? Rear Gorman. Q Is that Sean with S-E-A-N, or S-H-A-W-N, or something else? Retitt's conversation, as pecifications	the other CPPA was it one of CPPA officers who are full time the Division of Police work that disciplinary hearing then? Tas Officer Medina. I believe versation of prepping for his earing. I don't recall what ations were, but it would be the if there was any to little it would be over the going forward towards the ave been with you and Petitt or ve been with Medina and Petitt?
texts, conversations, while they are going outside the scope of that original investigation. Did the criminal investigation involve Officer Petitt? Here was con Reference and the scope of that original Reference and the scope of that original Reference and the other two release from the scope of that original Reference and the other two release from the scope of that original Reference and the other two release from the scope of that original Reference and the other two release from the scope of that original Reference and Petitt's Reference a	the other CPPA was it one of CPPA officers who are full time the Division of Police work that disciplinary hearing then? The sas Officer Medina. I believe the versation of prepping for his earing. I don't recall what ations were, but it would be the if there was any to little it would be over the going forward towards the ave been with you and Petitt or
texts, conversations, while they are going outside the scope of that original investigation. Did the criminal investigation involve Officer Petitt? Here was con Reference and the scope of that original Reference and the scope of that original Reference and the other two release from the scope of that original Reference and the other two release from the scope of that original Reference and the other two release from the scope of that original Reference and Petitt's Reference and A Correct. It was con Reference and disciplinary by those converse those converse Reference and the other two release from the scope of that original Reference and Petitt's Referen	the other CPPA was it one of CPPA officers who are full time the Division of Police work that disciplinary hearing then? Tas Officer Medina. I believe versation of prepping for his earing. I don't recall what ations were, but it would be the if there was any to little it would be over the going forward towards the ave been with you and Petitt or ve been with Medina and Petitt? It of discipline, so we always in before they to go their
texts, conversations, while they are going outside the scope of that original investigation. Q Did the criminal investigation involve Officer Petitt? R A It did not. Q Who did it involve? R A Sean Gorman. Q Is that Sean with S-E-A-N, or S-H-A-W-N, or something else? R A It's S-E-A-N and Gorman is G-O-R-M-A-N. R A It did not. R A Correct. It were a condition involve Officer has at least part of the conversation, specifications of the conversation of the conversation, specifications of the conversation, specifications of the conversation of the convers	the other CPPA was it one of CPPA officers who are full time the Division of Police work that disciplinary hearing then? The sas Officer Medina. I believe the versation of prepping for his earing. I don't recall what ations were, but it would be the if there was any to little it would be over the the going forward towards the save been with you and Petitt or the versation of prepping for his earing. I don't recall what ations were, but it would be the if there was any to little it would be over the save been with you and Petitt or the properties of discipline, so we always to before they to go their ight have been present. It
texts, conversations, while they are going outside the scope of that original investigation. Q Did the criminal investigation involve Officer Petitt? R A It did not. Q Who did it involve? R A Sean Gorman. Q Is that Sean with S-E-A-N, or S-H-A-W-N, or something else? R A It's S-E-A-N and Gorman is G-O-R-M-A-N. R A It's S-E-A-N and Gorman is G-O-R-M-A-N. R A It's S-E-A-N and Gorman is G-O-R-M-A-N. R CPPA Grievance 24-18 marked for identification purposes as Defendant's Exhibit I, has complete authority to give Officer Petitt back the other two release from to re	the other CPPA was it one of CPPA officers who are full time the Division of Police work that disciplinary hearing then? Tas Officer Medina. I believe versation of prepping for his earing. I don't recall what ations were, but it would be the if there was any to little it would be over the agoing forward towards the ave been with you and Petitt or ve been with Medina and Petitt? It of discipline, so we always the before they to go their light have been present. It een Medina for sure. Joe Delguyd
texts, conversations, while they are going outside the scope of that original investigation. Did the criminal investigation involve Officer Petitt? Refitt? Refitts? Refitts? Refitts? Refitts? Refitts. Re	the other CPPA was it one of CPPA officers who are full time the Division of Police work that disciplinary hearing then? Tas Officer Medina. I believe versation of prepping for his earing. I don't recall what ations were, but it would be the if there was any to little it would be over the going forward towards the ave been with you and Petitt or ve been with Medina and Petitt? It of discipline, so we always in before they to go their light have been present. It een Medina for sure.
texts, conversations, while they are going outside the scope of that original investigation. Did the criminal investigation involve Officer Petitt? Retitt? Retitt. Retitt	the other CPPA was it one of CPPA officers who are full time the Division of Police work that disciplinary hearing then? Tas Officer Medina. I believe versation of prepping for his earing. I don't recall what ations were, but it would be the if there was any to little it would be over the agoing forward towards the ave been with you and Petitt or ve been with Medina and Petitt? It of discipline, so we always in before they to go their light have been present. It een Medina for sure. Joe Delguyd for sure.
texts, conversations, while they are going outside the scope of that original investigation. Did the criminal investigation involve Officer Petitt? Here was con Reference and the was at Petitt's Reference and the conversion involve Officer Reference and Petitus And Correct. It we disciplinary the conversion involve Officer Reference and Petitus And Correct. It we disciplinary the conversion involve Officer Reference and Petitus And Correct. It we disciplinary the conversion involve Officer Reference and Petitus And Correct. It we disciplinary the conversion involve Officer Reference and Petitus And Correct. It we disciplinate the observed in	the other CPPA was it one of CPPA officers who are full time the Division of Police work that disciplinary hearing then? Tas Officer Medina. I believe versation of prepping for his earing. I don't recall what ations were, but it would be the if there was any to little it would be over the going forward towards the ave been with you and Petitt or ve been with Medina and Petitt? It of discipline, so we always in before they to go their light have been present. It een Medina for sure.
texts, conversations, while they are going doutside the scope of that original investigation. Did the criminal investigation involve Officer Petitt? Hetitt? Retitt's Retit's Retitt's Retitt's Retitt's Retitt's Retit's Retitt's Retit's Retitt's Retitt's Retitt's Retitt's Retitt's Retit'	the other CPPA was it one of CPPA officers who are full time the Division of Police work that disciplinary hearing then? The sas Officer Medina. I believe eversation of prepping for his earing. I don't recall what ations were, but it would be the e if there was any to little it would be over the going forward towards the ave been with you and Petitt or ve been with Medina and Petitt? It of discipline, so we always in before they to go their light have been present. It een Medina for sure. Joe Delguyd for sure. Unications outside, when no present. Let me rephrase the
texts, conversations, while they are going doutside the scope of that original investigation. Did the criminal investigation involve Officer Petitt? Reflective Mark Sean Gorman. In Q Is that Sean with S-E-A-N, or S-H-A-W-N, or In Q But you don't dispute that an arbitrator has, whatever Arbitrator is assigned to rule on CPPA Grievance 24-18 marked for identification mostly over the state would have be done whatever other benefits may be at issue under the Collective Bargaining Agreement between the City and the CPPA; is that correct? The work of that original the other two release from the was at Petitt's period. The other two release from the vas at Petitt's was at Petitt's period. The other two release from the release from the vas at Petitt's period. The other two release from the vas at Petitt's was at Petitt's was at Petitt's was at Petitt's period. There was con disciplinary here was condisciplinary here was convers. There was a Petitt's parket period. There was a Petitt's was at Petitt's parket period. There was at Petitt's was at Petitt's period. There was con disciplinary here was condisciplinary here was a period. The other two release from the vas at Petitt's period. The other two disciplinary here was a period. The other two disciplinary here wa	the other CPPA was it one of CPPA officers who are full time the Division of Police work that disciplinary hearing then? Tas Officer Medina. I believe versation of prepping for his earing. I don't recall what ations were, but it would be the if there was any to little it would be over the agoing forward towards the ave been with you and Petitt or ve been with Medina and Petitt? It of discipline, so we always in before they to go their light have been present. It een Medina for sure. Joe Delguyd for sure.

Case: 1:18-cv-01678-JG Doc #: 21 Filed: 01/28/19 9 of 24. PageID #: 229
Aaron Petitt, et al. v.
City of Cleveland

Jeff Follmer
January 17, 2019

Page 29	Page 31
this lawsuit outside the presence of either 1 Q Circling back, one brief thing.	How would you
2 Avery Friedman, Jared, or any of the CPPA's 2 let's go back to the beginning	
3 usual attorneys? 3 you characterize, during every	
4 A No. 4 Bargaining Agreement or I gue	
5 Q Has Officer Medina had any conversations with 5 necessarily line up because the	-
6 Officer Petitt regarding this lawsuit outside 6 Bargaining Agreement doesn't	
of the presence of any of the CPPA's normal 7 three year cycle that the CPPA	
8 legal counsel, or Jared, or Avery? 8 on, correct?	
9 MR. KLEBANOW: If you know. 9 A Correct.	
10 A No. 10 Q It's off by about three, four mo	nths, correct?
11 Q Putting aside the First Amendment issue 11 A Correct.	
well, let me ask you a series of questions.	esignate for
Putting aside the First Amendment 13 assignment to the CPPA hall ar	_
issue, are you of the opinion that Officer do CPPA work essentially, corn	ect?
Petitt is guilty of Specification 1 in the 15 A Correct.	
charge letter that is marked for 16 Q You are not out fighting crimes	s, writing
identification purposes as Defendant's traffic tickets, or you as a detec	
18 Exhibit B? 18 out investigating crimes. I don'	t know Medina
MR. KLEBANOW: Objection. Go ahead. 19 or Flint, what departments, dist	
20 Q I ask you to put aside any First Amendment 20 units they come from, you are r	not fighting
21 issues. 21 crime when you are CPPA office	cers, correct?
22 A Right. I have no idea what haji meant. I got 22 A Correct.	
educated a little bit through this 23 Q You are largely administering	the labor
process. Truthfully I don't know if that's 24 contract that is the Collective B	
25 offensive to an Arabic male or not. 25 Agreement between the City of	Cleveland and
Page 30	Page 32
1 Q Are you familiar with the circumstances in 1 the CPPA, correct?	
which the term was used when he was had 2 A Correct.	
interaction attempting to arrest, however you 3 Q How would you characterize y	
4 want to characterize it, the Arab American 4 responsibilities on behalf of the	
5 male on April 27, 2017? 5 than administering the labor co	
6 A I am. 6 A We have a lot of responsibilities	es.
7 Q You believe on behalf of the CPPA that Officer 7 Q Okay.	
8 Petitt's use of the term was offensive? 8 A You want me to go through all	
9 MR. KLEBANOW: Objection. Go ahead. 9 responsibilities we take care of	
10 A I believe it was offensive? 10 Q Right. You reference let's lo	
11 Q Yes. 11 3. It talks about your charter. I	
12 A I said I didn't know. 12 at paragraph 107 on page 17 of	Defendant's
13 Q Sitting here today, do you have an opinion one 13 Exhibit A.	
way or the other? 14 So is the basis of the CPPA	_
15 A I do not. 15 party plaintiff to this case is its	charter;
16 Q So, sitting here today, you cannot offer an 16 is that correct?	
opinion whether or not you think Officer 17 A Correct.	
Petitt is guilty of Specification 1 marked for 18 Q It's not the Collective Bargaini	ng Agreement,
identification purposes as Defendant's correct, or is it both?	
20 Exhibit B? 20 A Both.	• .
21 A I don't know if the word haji is disparaging. 21 Q So you are through this lawsuit	
That would actually come down to an advance the purposes of the Co	
arbitrator. I don't know enough about this. 23 Bargaining Agreement with the	
	OIL are also
I don't know enough about the Arab culture, if haji is a negative word to them. 24 Cleveland and the CPPA, and y trying to advance the objectives	l l

Case: 1:18-cv-01678-JG Doc #: 21 Filed: 01/28/19 10 of 24. PageID #: 230
Aaron Petitt, et al. v.

City of Cleveland

Jeff Follmer
January 17, 2019

	Page 33		Page 35
1	charter; is that correct?	1	other discipline of text messaging that I know
2 A	Correct.	2	of right now.
3 Q	So what you do mean for Count 3 of Defendant's	3 Q	So you are not aware of anybody that is
4	Exhibit A, the Complaint, starts with	4	similarly situated to Officer Petitt who was
5	paragraph 100, goes through paragraph 128; is	5	not disciplined by the City of Cleveland, is
6	that correct?	6	that an accurate statement?
7 A	Yes.	7 A	That is correct. That is correct. I'd have
_	Looking at paragraph most of the paragraphs	8	to do some kind of public record request
	speak for themselves. When you say in	9	through Internal Affairs to see on their
9	paragraph 103 CPPA exists for the purposes of	10	investigations if there is anything like that.
10	providing mutual aid and protection, and for	11 Q	Paragraph 108, what do you mean by Plaintiff
11	the education and general wherefore of the	_	CPPA also has its purpose under its charter to
12		12	represent its members in matters of policy,
13	police officer members, is that a charter	13	<u> </u>
14	based statement, or a Collective Bargaining	14	what do you mean by that?
15	Agreement based statement?	15 A	That we are obligated as a bargaining unit to
16	MR. KLEBANOW: Objection. Go ahead. I would say it could fall in both.	16	uphold the Collective Bargaining legal laws
17 A	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	17	and Constitutional rights. Anything else?
18 Q	Skipping to paragraph 107. What do you, we talked shout due process claims being made by	18 Q	
19	talked about due process claims being made by	19 A	No, not off the top of my head.
20	the CPPA. What do you mean by equal protection?	20 Q	Let's jump to paragraph 126. You say
21		21	Plaintiff CPPA has been deprived of the
22	MR. KLEBANOW: Objection. Go ahead.	22	ability to protect, defend, and educate
23 Q	How are you claiming either the CPPA's equal protection rights have been violated, or	23	relative to you list a whole list, fair
24	Officer Petitt's equal protection rights have	24	notice, warning, or hearing.
25	Officer Fetht's equal protection rights have	25	To protect and defend Officer Petitt in
	Page 34		Page 36
1	Page 34 been violated?	1	Page 36 this case, you would be able to do it through
1 2 A	been violated?	1 2	
	-		this case, you would be able to do it through
2 A	been violated? Through the conversation picked up through the text messaging, is that what you are referring	2	this case, you would be able to do it through the grievance process in the Collective
2 A 3	been violated? Through the conversation picked up through the	2	this case, you would be able to do it through the grievance process in the Collective Bargaining Agreement between the City of
2 A 3 4	been violated? Through the conversation picked up through the text messaging, is that what you are referring to? That's how I'm looking at it, that the	2 3 4	this case, you would be able to do it through the grievance process in the Collective Bargaining Agreement between the City of Cleveland and Officer Petitt; isn't that
2 A 3 4 5	been violated? Through the conversation picked up through the text messaging, is that what you are referring to? That's how I'm looking at it, that the City went outside of its scope.	2 3 4 5	this case, you would be able to do it through the grievance process in the Collective Bargaining Agreement between the City of Cleveland and Officer Petitt; isn't that correct? MR. KLEBANOW: Objection. Go ahead.
2 A 3 4 5 6 Q	been violated? Through the conversation picked up through the text messaging, is that what you are referring to? That's how I'm looking at it, that the City went outside of its scope. Are you claiming that there is anybody similarly situated to Petitt who did not get	2 3 4 5 6 7 A	this case, you would be able to do it through the grievance process in the Collective Bargaining Agreement between the City of Cleveland and Officer Petitt; isn't that correct? MR. KLEBANOW: Objection. Go ahead. Say the question one more time.
2 A 3 4 5 6 Q 7	been violated? Through the conversation picked up through the text messaging, is that what you are referring to? That's how I'm looking at it, that the City went outside of its scope. Are you claiming that there is anybody similarly situated to Petitt who did not get disciplined for sending a similar, same or	2 3 4 5 6 7 A	this case, you would be able to do it through the grievance process in the Collective Bargaining Agreement between the City of Cleveland and Officer Petitt; isn't that correct? MR. KLEBANOW: Objection. Go ahead.
2 A 3 4 5 6 Q 7 8	been violated? Through the conversation picked up through the text messaging, is that what you are referring to? That's how I'm looking at it, that the City went outside of its scope. Are you claiming that there is anybody similarly situated to Petitt who did not get	2 3 4 5 6 7 A 8 Q	this case, you would be able to do it through the grievance process in the Collective Bargaining Agreement between the City of Cleveland and Officer Petitt; isn't that correct? MR. KLEBANOW: Objection. Go ahead. Say the question one more time. Wouldn't you be able to protect and defend
2 A 3 4 5 6 Q 7 8	been violated? Through the conversation picked up through the text messaging, is that what you are referring to? That's how I'm looking at it, that the City went outside of its scope. Are you claiming that there is anybody similarly situated to Petitt who did not get disciplined for sending a similar, same or similar text message as Officer Petitt? Could you explain that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 A 8 Q 9	this case, you would be able to do it through the grievance process in the Collective Bargaining Agreement between the City of Cleveland and Officer Petitt; isn't that correct? MR. KLEBANOW: Objection. Go ahead. Say the question one more time. Wouldn't you be able to protect and defend Officer Petitt through the grievance process
2 A 3 4 5 6 Q 7 8 9	been violated? Through the conversation picked up through the text messaging, is that what you are referring to? That's how I'm looking at it, that the City went outside of its scope. Are you claiming that there is anybody similarly situated to Petitt who did not get disciplined for sending a similar, same or similar text message as Officer Petitt?	2 3 4 5 6 7 A 8 Q 9	this case, you would be able to do it through the grievance process in the Collective Bargaining Agreement between the City of Cleveland and Officer Petitt; isn't that correct? MR. KLEBANOW: Objection. Go ahead. Say the question one more time. Wouldn't you be able to protect and defend Officer Petitt through the grievance process as contained in the Collective Bargaining
2 A 3 4 5 6 Q 7 8 9 10 A 11 Q	been violated? Through the conversation picked up through the text messaging, is that what you are referring to? That's how I'm looking at it, that the City went outside of its scope. Are you claiming that there is anybody similarly situated to Petitt who did not get disciplined for sending a similar, same or similar text message as Officer Petitt? Could you explain that? There is a legal difference between an equal	2 3 4 5 6 7 A 8 Q 9 10	this case, you would be able to do it through the grievance process in the Collective Bargaining Agreement between the City of Cleveland and Officer Petitt; isn't that correct? MR. KLEBANOW: Objection. Go ahead. Say the question one more time. Wouldn't you be able to protect and defend Officer Petitt through the grievance process as contained in the Collective Bargaining Agreement that has been marked for
2 A 3 4 5 6 Q 7 8 9 10 A 11 Q	been violated? Through the conversation picked up through the text messaging, is that what you are referring to? That's how I'm looking at it, that the City went outside of its scope. Are you claiming that there is anybody similarly situated to Petitt who did not get disciplined for sending a similar, same or similar text message as Officer Petitt? Could you explain that? There is a legal difference between an equal protection claim and a due process claim. You	2 3 4 5 6 7 A 8 Q 9 10 11	this case, you would be able to do it through the grievance process in the Collective Bargaining Agreement between the City of Cleveland and Officer Petitt; isn't that correct? MR. KLEBANOW: Objection. Go ahead. Say the question one more time. Wouldn't you be able to protect and defend Officer Petitt through the grievance process as contained in the Collective Bargaining Agreement that has been marked for identification purposes as Defendant's
2 A 3 4 5 6 Q 7 8 9 10 A 11 Q 12	been violated? Through the conversation picked up through the text messaging, is that what you are referring to? That's how I'm looking at it, that the City went outside of its scope. Are you claiming that there is anybody similarly situated to Petitt who did not get disciplined for sending a similar, same or similar text message as Officer Petitt? Could you explain that? There is a legal difference between an equal protection claim and a due process claim. You claim an equal protection claim, which usually	2 3 4 5 6 7 A 8 Q 9 10 11 12	this case, you would be able to do it through the grievance process in the Collective Bargaining Agreement between the City of Cleveland and Officer Petitt; isn't that correct? MR. KLEBANOW: Objection. Go ahead. Say the question one more time. Wouldn't you be able to protect and defend Officer Petitt through the grievance process as contained in the Collective Bargaining Agreement that has been marked for identification purposes as Defendant's Exhibit H?
2 A 3 4 5 6 Q 7 8 9 10 A 11 Q 12 13 14	been violated? Through the conversation picked up through the text messaging, is that what you are referring to? That's how I'm looking at it, that the City went outside of its scope. Are you claiming that there is anybody similarly situated to Petitt who did not get disciplined for sending a similar, same or similar text message as Officer Petitt? Could you explain that? There is a legal difference between an equal protection claim and a due process claim. You claim an equal protection claim, which usually means that you are claiming that somebody similarly situated to Petitt didn't get	2 3 4 5 6 7 A 8 Q 9 10 11 12 13 14 A	this case, you would be able to do it through the grievance process in the Collective Bargaining Agreement between the City of Cleveland and Officer Petitt; isn't that correct? MR. KLEBANOW: Objection. Go ahead. Say the question one more time. Wouldn't you be able to protect and defend Officer Petitt through the grievance process as contained in the Collective Bargaining Agreement that has been marked for identification purposes as Defendant's Exhibit H? I would disagree. How so?
2 A 3 4 5 6 Q 7 8 9 10 A 11 Q 12 13 14 15	been violated? Through the conversation picked up through the text messaging, is that what you are referring to? That's how I'm looking at it, that the City went outside of its scope. Are you claiming that there is anybody similarly situated to Petitt who did not get disciplined for sending a similar, same or similar text message as Officer Petitt? Could you explain that? There is a legal difference between an equal protection claim and a due process claim. You claim an equal protection claim, which usually means that you are claiming that somebody	2 3 4 5 6 7 A 8 Q 9 10 11 12 13 14 A 15 Q	this case, you would be able to do it through the grievance process in the Collective Bargaining Agreement between the City of Cleveland and Officer Petitt; isn't that correct? MR. KLEBANOW: Objection. Go ahead. Say the question one more time. Wouldn't you be able to protect and defend Officer Petitt through the grievance process as contained in the Collective Bargaining Agreement that has been marked for identification purposes as Defendant's Exhibit H? I would disagree. How so? I disagree that the issue of the text messages
2 A 3 4 5 6 Q 7 8 9 10 A 11 Q 12 13 14 15	been violated? Through the conversation picked up through the text messaging, is that what you are referring to? That's how I'm looking at it, that the City went outside of its scope. Are you claiming that there is anybody similarly situated to Petitt who did not get disciplined for sending a similar, same or similar text message as Officer Petitt? Could you explain that? There is a legal difference between an equal protection claim and a due process claim. You claim an equal protection claim, which usually means that you are claiming that somebody similarly situated to Petitt didn't get disciplined.	2 3 4 5 6 7 A 8 Q 9 10 11 12 13 14 A 15 Q 16 A	this case, you would be able to do it through the grievance process in the Collective Bargaining Agreement between the City of Cleveland and Officer Petitt; isn't that correct? MR. KLEBANOW: Objection. Go ahead. Say the question one more time. Wouldn't you be able to protect and defend Officer Petitt through the grievance process as contained in the Collective Bargaining Agreement that has been marked for identification purposes as Defendant's Exhibit H? I would disagree. How so?
2 A 3 4 5 6 Q 7 8 9 10 A 11 Q 12 13 14 15 16 17	been violated? Through the conversation picked up through the text messaging, is that what you are referring to? That's how I'm looking at it, that the City went outside of its scope. Are you claiming that there is anybody similarly situated to Petitt who did not get disciplined for sending a similar, same or similar text message as Officer Petitt? Could you explain that? There is a legal difference between an equal protection claim and a due process claim. You claim an equal protection claim, which usually means that you are claiming that somebody similarly situated to Petitt didn't get disciplined. What I'm trying to figure out is, are	2 3 4 5 6 7 A 8 Q 9 10 11 12 13 14 A 15 Q 16 A	this case, you would be able to do it through the grievance process in the Collective Bargaining Agreement between the City of Cleveland and Officer Petitt; isn't that correct? MR. KLEBANOW: Objection. Go ahead. Say the question one more time. Wouldn't you be able to protect and defend Officer Petitt through the grievance process as contained in the Collective Bargaining Agreement that has been marked for identification purposes as Defendant's Exhibit H? I would disagree. How so? I disagree that the issue of the text messages through an arbitrator would not through an
2 A 3 4 5 6 Q 7 8 9 10 A 11 Q 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	been violated? Through the conversation picked up through the text messaging, is that what you are referring to? That's how I'm looking at it, that the City went outside of its scope. Are you claiming that there is anybody similarly situated to Petitt who did not get disciplined for sending a similar, same or similar text message as Officer Petitt? Could you explain that? There is a legal difference between an equal protection claim and a due process claim. You claim an equal protection claim, which usually means that you are claiming that somebody similarly situated to Petitt didn't get disciplined. What I'm trying to figure out is, are you actually claiming that somebody similarly	2 3 4 5 6 7 A 8 Q 9 10 11 12 13 14 A 15 Q 16 A 17	this case, you would be able to do it through the grievance process in the Collective Bargaining Agreement between the City of Cleveland and Officer Petitt; isn't that correct? MR. KLEBANOW: Objection. Go ahead. Say the question one more time. Wouldn't you be able to protect and defend Officer Petitt through the grievance process as contained in the Collective Bargaining Agreement that has been marked for identification purposes as Defendant's Exhibit H? I would disagree. How so? I disagree that the issue of the text messages through an arbitrator would not through an arbitrator hearing would not touch on these
2 A 3 4 5 6 Q 7 8 9 10 A 11 Q 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	been violated? Through the conversation picked up through the text messaging, is that what you are referring to? That's how I'm looking at it, that the City went outside of its scope. Are you claiming that there is anybody similarly situated to Petitt who did not get disciplined for sending a similar, same or similar text message as Officer Petitt? Could you explain that? There is a legal difference between an equal protection claim and a due process claim. You claim an equal protection claim, which usually means that you are claiming that somebody similarly situated to Petitt didn't get disciplined. What I'm trying to figure out is, are you actually claiming that somebody similarly situated to Officer Petitt did not get	2 3 4 5 6 7 A 8 Q 9 10 11 12 13 14 A 15 Q 16 A 17 18	this case, you would be able to do it through the grievance process in the Collective Bargaining Agreement between the City of Cleveland and Officer Petitt; isn't that correct? MR. KLEBANOW: Objection. Go ahead. Say the question one more time. Wouldn't you be able to protect and defend Officer Petitt through the grievance process as contained in the Collective Bargaining Agreement that has been marked for identification purposes as Defendant's Exhibit H? I would disagree. How so? I disagree that the issue of the text messages through an arbitrator would not through an arbitrator hearing would not touch on these issues. I think we would be touching more on
2 A 3 4 5 6 Q 7 8 9 10 A 11 Q 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	been violated? Through the conversation picked up through the text messaging, is that what you are referring to? That's how I'm looking at it, that the City went outside of its scope. Are you claiming that there is anybody similarly situated to Petitt who did not get disciplined for sending a similar, same or similar text message as Officer Petitt? Could you explain that? There is a legal difference between an equal protection claim and a due process claim. You claim an equal protection claim, which usually means that you are claiming that somebody similarly situated to Petitt didn't get disciplined. What I'm trying to figure out is, are you actually claiming that somebody similarly situated to Officer Petitt did not get disciplined, or is this case really about the	2 3 4 5 6 7 A 8 Q 9 10 11 12 13 14 A 15 Q 16 A 17 18 19 20	this case, you would be able to do it through the grievance process in the Collective Bargaining Agreement between the City of Cleveland and Officer Petitt; isn't that correct? MR. KLEBANOW: Objection. Go ahead. Say the question one more time. Wouldn't you be able to protect and defend Officer Petitt through the grievance process as contained in the Collective Bargaining Agreement that has been marked for identification purposes as Defendant's Exhibit H? I would disagree. How so? I disagree that the issue of the text messages through an arbitrator would not through an arbitrator hearing would not touch on these issues. I think we would be touching more on his six day suspension.
2 A 3 4 5 6 Q 7 8 9 10 A 11 Q 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	been violated? Through the conversation picked up through the text messaging, is that what you are referring to? That's how I'm looking at it, that the City went outside of its scope. Are you claiming that there is anybody similarly situated to Petitt who did not get disciplined for sending a similar, same or similar text message as Officer Petitt? Could you explain that? There is a legal difference between an equal protection claim and a due process claim. You claim an equal protection claim, which usually means that you are claiming that somebody similarly situated to Petitt didn't get disciplined. What I'm trying to figure out is, are you actually claiming that somebody similarly situated to Officer Petitt did not get disciplined, or is this case really about the two due process violations we talked about and	2 3 4 5 6 7 A 8 Q 9 10 11 12 13 14 A 15 Q 16 A 17 18 19 20 21 Q	this case, you would be able to do it through the grievance process in the Collective Bargaining Agreement between the City of Cleveland and Officer Petitt; isn't that correct? MR. KLEBANOW: Objection. Go ahead. Say the question one more time. Wouldn't you be able to protect and defend Officer Petitt through the grievance process as contained in the Collective Bargaining Agreement that has been marked for identification purposes as Defendant's Exhibit H? I would disagree. How so? I disagree that the issue of the text messages through an arbitrator would not through an arbitrator hearing would not touch on these issues. I think we would be touching more on his six day suspension. Are you telling me an arbitrator cannot rule
2 A 3 4 5 6 Q 7 8 9 10 A 11 Q 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	been violated? Through the conversation picked up through the text messaging, is that what you are referring to? That's how I'm looking at it, that the City went outside of its scope. Are you claiming that there is anybody similarly situated to Petitt who did not get disciplined for sending a similar, same or similar text message as Officer Petitt? Could you explain that? There is a legal difference between an equal protection claim and a due process claim. You claim an equal protection claim, which usually means that you are claiming that somebody similarly situated to Petitt didn't get disciplined. What I'm trying to figure out is, are you actually claiming that somebody similarly situated to Officer Petitt did not get disciplined, or is this case really about the two due process violations we talked about and the First Amendment violation that we talked	2 3 4 5 6 7 A 8 Q 9 10 11 12 13 14 A 15 Q 16 A 17 18 19 20 21 Q 22	this case, you would be able to do it through the grievance process in the Collective Bargaining Agreement between the City of Cleveland and Officer Petitt; isn't that correct? MR. KLEBANOW: Objection. Go ahead. Say the question one more time. Wouldn't you be able to protect and defend Officer Petitt through the grievance process as contained in the Collective Bargaining Agreement that has been marked for identification purposes as Defendant's Exhibit H? I would disagree. How so? I disagree that the issue of the text messages through an arbitrator would not through an arbitrator hearing would not touch on these issues. I think we would be touching more on his six day suspension. Are you telling me an arbitrator cannot rule on issues of violations of the United States
2 A 3 4 5 6 Q 7 8 9 10 A 11 Q 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	been violated? Through the conversation picked up through the text messaging, is that what you are referring to? That's how I'm looking at it, that the City went outside of its scope. Are you claiming that there is anybody similarly situated to Petitt who did not get disciplined for sending a similar, same or similar text message as Officer Petitt? Could you explain that? There is a legal difference between an equal protection claim and a due process claim. You claim an equal protection claim, which usually means that you are claiming that somebody similarly situated to Petitt didn't get disciplined. What I'm trying to figure out is, are you actually claiming that somebody similarly situated to Officer Petitt did not get disciplined, or is this case really about the two due process violations we talked about and the First Amendment violation that we talked about?	2 3 4 5 6 7 A 8 Q 9 10 11 12 13 14 A 15 Q 16 A 17 18 19 20 21 Q 22 23	this case, you would be able to do it through the grievance process in the Collective Bargaining Agreement between the City of Cleveland and Officer Petitt; isn't that correct? MR. KLEBANOW: Objection. Go ahead. Say the question one more time. Wouldn't you be able to protect and defend Officer Petitt through the grievance process as contained in the Collective Bargaining Agreement that has been marked for identification purposes as Defendant's Exhibit H? I would disagree. How so? I disagree that the issue of the text messages through an arbitrator would not through an arbitrator hearing would not touch on these issues. I think we would be touching more on his six day suspension. Are you telling me an arbitrator cannot rule on issues of violations of the United States Constitution?

Case: 1:18-cv-01678-JG Doc #: 21 Filed: 01/28/19 11 of 24. PageID #: 231
Aaron Petitt, et al. v.
City of Cleveland

Jeff Follmer
January 17, 2019

<u> </u>	i Cieveianu			January 17, 2019
	Page 37			Page 39
1 Q	I'm curious about the next paragraph, the last	1 (,	Were they I don't know. Were they having
2	one here, it says as a result of the acts and	2	_	separate conversations with Gorman, or were
	conduct of the Defendant City of Cleveland,	3		they a group?
3	Plaintiff CPPA's members will suffer			• • •
4		4 A		Separate.
5	discipline, loss of reputation, loss of wages,	5 (_	Gorman was having a text message conversation
6	hedonic damages and a whole host of other	6		with Kraynik on one day and he was having text
7	damages.	7		message conversations with Plaintiff Aaron
8	So, then are you bringing this	8	F	Petitt on a different day; is that correct?
9	complaint then on behalf of all CPPA members,	9 A	A (Correct.
10	or just on behalf of Officer Petitt?	10 Ç	ζ,	Who was the other person that you who was
11 A	This could affect all CPPA members.	11	t	the second person?
12 Q	It could. But has the incidents that are the	12 A	A :	Steve Fedorko.
13	basis of this lawsuit affected CPPA members?	13 ()	Who is Steve? Can you spell that for Connie?
14	MR. KLEBANOW: Objection. Go ahead.	14 A		F-A-R-D-A-R-K-O.
15 A	It could. That's the best answer. If there	15 Q		F-E or F-A?
16	is another investigation where	16 A	_	F-A.
	Could means going forward. I'm asking you	17		
17 Q			т	MR. KLEBANOW: I think it might be
18	sitting here today, or at the time you filed	18		F-E-D-O-R-K-A, I think.
19	the Complaint, have the actions that resulted	19 (_	Is it Steve S-T-E-V-E, or S-T-E-P-H-E-N?
20	in the discipline to Officer Aaron Petitt	20 A	A ;	S-T-E-V-E.
21	affected any other CPPA bargaining unit	21		MR. KLEBANOW: V-E-N, yeah.
22	members other than Aaron Petitt?	22 Ç	_	So what is I'm not familiar with that name,
23 A	Yes.	23	Ċ	doesn't ring a bell, what did he do?
24 Q	Who?	24 A	A]	It will be. His was an accusation where he
25 A	John Kraynik. Then there is Steve Fedorko.	25	9	got hurt fighting with somebody. Through a
	Page 38			Page 40
				•
1	I'm not sure of the timing of his to this one.	1		search warrant of his phone, and text messages
2	I'm not sure of the timing of his to this one. So I don't know which one came first or	2	V	search warrant of his phone, and text messages with other people, the City brought charges
2	I'm not sure of the timing of his to this one. So I don't know which one came first or second.	2	v a	search warrant of his phone, and text messages with other people, the City brought charges against Steve for things that were found in
2	I'm not sure of the timing of his to this one. So I don't know which one came first or second. Who is John Kraynik and if you could spell	2 3 4	v a h	search warrant of his phone, and text messages with other people, the City brought charges against Steve for things that were found in his phone.
2 3 4 Q 5	I'm not sure of the timing of his to this one. So I don't know which one came first or second. Who is John Kraynik and if you could spell that for Connie?	2	v a h Q	search warrant of his phone, and text messages with other people, the City brought charges against Steve for things that were found in his phone. What was the first part, you said he got
2 3 4 Q 5 6 A	I'm not sure of the timing of his to this one. So I don't know which one came first or second. Who is John Kraynik and if you could spell that for Connie? K-R-A-	2 3 4	v a h Q i	search warrant of his phone, and text messages with other people, the City brought charges against Steve for things that were found in his phone. What was the first part, you said he got injured or
2 3 4 Q 5	I'm not sure of the timing of his to this one. So I don't know which one came first or second. Who is John Kraynik and if you could spell that for Connie?	2 3 4 5 C	v a h Q i	search warrant of his phone, and text messages with other people, the City brought charges against Steve for things that were found in his phone. What was the first part, you said he got
2 3 4 Q 5 6 A	I'm not sure of the timing of his to this one. So I don't know which one came first or second. Who is John Kraynik and if you could spell that for Connie? K-R-A-	2 3 4 5 6	v a h Q i A	search warrant of his phone, and text messages with other people, the City brought charges against Steve for things that were found in his phone. What was the first part, you said he got injured or
2 3 4 Q 5 6 A 7 Q	I'm not sure of the timing of his to this one. So I don't know which one came first or second. Who is John Kraynik and if you could spell that for Connie? K-R-A-Y-N-I-K?	2 3 4 5 6 7	v a h	search warrant of his phone, and text messages with other people, the City brought charges against Steve for things that were found in his phone. What was the first part, you said he got injured or Fighting with a he broke a toe while
2 3 4 Q 5 6 A 7 Q 8 A	I'm not sure of the timing of his to this one. So I don't know which one came first or second. Who is John Kraynik and if you could spell that for Connie? K-R-A- Y-N-I-K? Yeah.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	v a h Q i A f Q I	search warrant of his phone, and text messages with other people, the City brought charges against Steve for things that were found in his phone. What was the first part, you said he got injured or Fighting with a he broke a toe while fighting with a suspect.
2 3 4 Q 5 6 A 7 Q 8 A 9 Q	I'm not sure of the timing of his to this one. So I don't know which one came first or second. Who is John Kraynik and if you could spell that for Connie? K-R-A- Y-N-I-K? Yeah. Who is he? He also received discipline through this Sean	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	v a h	search warrant of his phone, and text messages with other people, the City brought charges against Steve for things that were found in his phone. What was the first part, you said he got injured or Fighting with a he broke a toe while fighting with a suspect. How does that have relationship for him being disciplined for text messages on his phone?
2 3 4 Q 5 6 A 7 Q 8 A 9 Q 10 A	I'm not sure of the timing of his to this one. So I don't know which one came first or second. Who is John Kraynik and if you could spell that for Connie? K-R-A- Y-N-I-K? Yeah. Who is he? He also received discipline through this Sean Gorman investigation.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0	v a h	search warrant of his phone, and text messages with other people, the City brought charges against Steve for things that were found in his phone. What was the first part, you said he got injured or Fighting with a he broke a toe while fighting with a suspect. How does that have relationship for him being disciplined for text messages on his phone? Can you close that gap for me. I'm not
2 3 4 Q 5 6 A 7 Q 8 A 9 Q 10 A 11 12 Q	I'm not sure of the timing of his to this one. So I don't know which one came first or second. Who is John Kraynik and if you could spell that for Connie? K-R-A- Y-N-I-K? Yeah. Who is he? He also received discipline through this Sean Gorman investigation. What kind of discipline did Kraynik receive?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 10 11 12	Q in the second of the second	search warrant of his phone, and text messages with other people, the City brought charges against Steve for things that were found in his phone. What was the first part, you said he got injured or Fighting with a he broke a toe while fighting with a suspect. How does that have relationship for him being disciplined for text messages on his phone? Can you close that gap for me. I'm not understanding that.
2 3 4 Q 5 6 A 7 Q 8 A 9 Q 10 A 11 12 Q 13 A	I'm not sure of the timing of his to this one. So I don't know which one came first or second. Who is John Kraynik and if you could spell that for Connie? K-R-A- Y-N-I-K? Yeah. Who is he? He also received discipline through this Sean Gorman investigation. What kind of discipline did Kraynik receive? I believe it was a letter of re-instruction.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	y a h	search warrant of his phone, and text messages with other people, the City brought charges against Steve for things that were found in his phone. What was the first part, you said he got injured or Fighting with a he broke a toe while fighting with a suspect. How does that have relationship for him being disciplined for text messages on his phone? Can you close that gap for me. I'm not understanding that. Somehow there was an accusation that maybe he
2 3 4 Q 5 6 A 7 Q 8 A 9 Q 10 A 11 12 Q 13 A	I'm not sure of the timing of his to this one. So I don't know which one came first or second. Who is John Kraynik and if you could spell that for Connie? K-R-A- Y-N-I-K? Yeah. Who is he? He also received discipline through this Sean Gorman investigation. What kind of discipline did Kraynik receive? I believe it was a letter of re-instruction. I can't remember if he had other charges	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 A	V aa h l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l	search warrant of his phone, and text messages with other people, the City brought charges against Steve for things that were found in his phone. What was the first part, you said he got injured or Fighting with a he broke a toe while fighting with a suspect. How does that have relationship for him being disciplined for text messages on his phone? Can you close that gap for me. I'm not understanding that. Somehow there was an accusation that maybe he didn't hurt his toe by the suspect kicking
2 3 4 Q 5 6 A 7 Q 8 A 9 Q 10 A 11 12 Q 13 A 14 15	I'm not sure of the timing of his to this one. So I don't know which one came first or second. Who is John Kraynik and if you could spell that for Connie? K-R-A- Y-N-I-K? Yeah. Who is he? He also received discipline through this Sean Gorman investigation. What kind of discipline did Kraynik receive? I believe it was a letter of re-instruction. I can't remember if he had other charges though.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 10 11 12 13 4 14	vv aa aa h h h h h h h h h h h h h h h h	search warrant of his phone, and text messages with other people, the City brought charges against Steve for things that were found in his phone. What was the first part, you said he got injured or Fighting with a he broke a toe while fighting with a suspect. How does that have relationship for him being disciplined for text messages on his phone? Can you close that gap for me. I'm not understanding that. Somehow there was an accusation that maybe he didn't hurt his toe by the suspect kicking him. That maybe he hurt his toe by somebody
2 3 4 Q 5 6 A 7 Q 8 A 9 Q 10 A 11 12 Q 13 A 14 15 16 Q	I'm not sure of the timing of his to this one. So I don't know which one came first or second. Who is John Kraynik and if you could spell that for Connie? K-R-A- Y-N-I-K? Yeah. Who is he? He also received discipline through this Sean Gorman investigation. What kind of discipline did Kraynik receive? I believe it was a letter of re-instruction. I can't remember if he had other charges though. It was a letter of re-instruction for what?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	a a b b c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c	search warrant of his phone, and text messages with other people, the City brought charges against Steve for things that were found in his phone. What was the first part, you said he got injured or Fighting with a he broke a toe while fighting with a suspect. How does that have relationship for him being disciplined for text messages on his phone? Can you close that gap for me. I'm not understanding that. Somehow there was an accusation that maybe he didn't hurt his toe by the suspect kicking him. That maybe he hurt his toe by somebody throwing an object away from the bad guy,
2 3 4 Q 5 6 A 7 Q 8 A 9 Q 10 A 11 12 Q 13 A 14 15 16 Q	I'm not sure of the timing of his to this one. So I don't know which one came first or second. Who is John Kraynik and if you could spell that for Connie? K-R-A- Y-N-I-K? Yeah. Who is he? He also received discipline through this Sean Gorman investigation. What kind of discipline did Kraynik receive? I believe it was a letter of re-instruction. I can't remember if he had other charges though. It was a letter of re-instruction for what? What was he alleged to have done wrong?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	vy aa he	search warrant of his phone, and text messages with other people, the City brought charges against Steve for things that were found in his phone. What was the first part, you said he got injured or Fighting with a he broke a toe while fighting with a suspect. How does that have relationship for him being disciplined for text messages on his phone? Can you close that gap for me. I'm not understanding that. Somehow there was an accusation that maybe he didn't hurt his toe by the suspect kicking him. That maybe he hurt his toe by somebody throwing an object away from the bad guy, hitting his toe.
2 3 4 Q 5 6 A 7 Q 8 A 9 Q 10 A 11 12 Q 13 A 14 15 16 Q 17 18 A	I'm not sure of the timing of his to this one. So I don't know which one came first or second. Who is John Kraynik and if you could spell that for Connie? K-R-A- Y-N-I-K? Yeah. Who is he? He also received discipline through this Sean Gorman investigation. What kind of discipline did Kraynik receive? I believe it was a letter of re-instruction. I can't remember if he had other charges though. It was a letter of re-instruction for what? What was he alleged to have done wrong? The same disparaging remarks, same as the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 A 14 15 16 17 18 (vy aa he	search warrant of his phone, and text messages with other people, the City brought charges against Steve for things that were found in his phone. What was the first part, you said he got injured or Fighting with a he broke a toe while fighting with a suspect. How does that have relationship for him being disciplined for text messages on his phone? Can you close that gap for me. I'm not understanding that. Somehow there was an accusation that maybe he didn't hurt his toe by the suspect kicking him. That maybe he hurt his toe by somebody throwing an object away from the bad guy, hitting his toe. Also there was at least at some point that he
2 3 4 Q 5 6 A 7 Q 8 A 9 Q 10 A 11 12 Q 13 A 14 15 16 Q 17 18 A 19	I'm not sure of the timing of his to this one. So I don't know which one came first or second. Who is John Kraynik and if you could spell that for Connie? K-R-A- Y-N-I-K? Yeah. Who is he? He also received discipline through this Sean Gorman investigation. What kind of discipline did Kraynik receive? I believe it was a letter of re-instruction. I can't remember if he had other charges though. It was a letter of re-instruction for what? What was he alleged to have done wrong? The same disparaging remarks, same as the Specification for 1.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 0	waa aa	search warrant of his phone, and text messages with other people, the City brought charges against Steve for things that were found in his phone. What was the first part, you said he got injured or Fighting with a he broke a toe while fighting with a suspect. How does that have relationship for him being disciplined for text messages on his phone? Can you close that gap for me. I'm not understanding that. Somehow there was an accusation that maybe he didn't hurt his toe by the suspect kicking him. That maybe he hurt his toe by somebody throwing an object away from the bad guy, hitting his toe. Also there was at least at some point that he broke his toe because the suspect kicked his
2 3 4 Q 5 6 A 7 Q 8 A 9 Q 10 A 11 12 Q 13 A 14 15 16 Q 17 18 A 19 20 Q	I'm not sure of the timing of his to this one. So I don't know which one came first or second. Who is John Kraynik and if you could spell that for Connie? K-R-A- Y-N-I-K? Yeah. Who is he? He also received discipline through this Sean Gorman investigation. What kind of discipline did Kraynik receive? I believe it was a letter of re-instruction. I can't remember if he had other charges though. It was a letter of re-instruction for what? What was he alleged to have done wrong? The same disparaging remarks, same as the Specification for 1. Was he having a conversation with Petitt, or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 (19 20	waa aa	search warrant of his phone, and text messages with other people, the City brought charges against Steve for things that were found in his phone. What was the first part, you said he got injured or Fighting with a he broke a toe while fighting with a suspect. How does that have relationship for him being disciplined for text messages on his phone? Can you close that gap for me. I'm not understanding that. Somehow there was an accusation that maybe he didn't hurt his toe by the suspect kicking him. That maybe he hurt his toe by somebody throwing an object away from the bad guy, hitting his toe. Also there was at least at some point that he broke his toe because the suspect kicked his foot?
2 3 4 Q 5 6 A 7 Q 8 A 9 Q 10 A 11 12 Q 13 A 14 15 16 Q 17 18 A 19 20 Q 21	I'm not sure of the timing of his to this one. So I don't know which one came first or second. Who is John Kraynik and if you could spell that for Connie? K-R-A- Y-N-I-K? Yeah. Who is he? He also received discipline through this Sean Gorman investigation. What kind of discipline did Kraynik receive? I believe it was a letter of re-instruction. I can't remember if he had other charges though. It was a letter of re-instruction for what? What was he alleged to have done wrong? The same disparaging remarks, same as the Specification for 1. Was he having a conversation with Petitt, or was it a separate incident?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	v a a h h h h h h h h h h h h h h h h h	search warrant of his phone, and text messages with other people, the City brought charges against Steve for things that were found in his phone. What was the first part, you said he got injured or Fighting with a he broke a toe while fighting with a suspect. How does that have relationship for him being disciplined for text messages on his phone? Can you close that gap for me. I'm not understanding that. Somehow there was an accusation that maybe he didn't hurt his toe by the suspect kicking him. That maybe he hurt his toe by somebody throwing an object away from the bad guy, hitting his toe. Also there was at least at some point that he broke his toe because the suspect kicked his foot? Correct.
2 3 4 Q 5 6 A 7 Q 8 A 9 Q 10 A 11 12 Q 13 A 14 15 16 Q 17 18 A 19 20 Q	I'm not sure of the timing of his to this one. So I don't know which one came first or second. Who is John Kraynik and if you could spell that for Connie? K-R-A- Y-N-I-K? Yeah. Who is he? He also received discipline through this Sean Gorman investigation. What kind of discipline did Kraynik receive? I believe it was a letter of re-instruction. I can't remember if he had other charges though. It was a letter of re-instruction for what? What was he alleged to have done wrong? The same disparaging remarks, same as the Specification for 1. Was he having a conversation with Petitt, or was it a separate incident? His was a conversation with Sean Gorman. So	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 4 22 0	waa aa	search warrant of his phone, and text messages with other people, the City brought charges against Steve for things that were found in his phone. What was the first part, you said he got injured or Fighting with a he broke a toe while fighting with a suspect. How does that have relationship for him being disciplined for text messages on his phone? Can you close that gap for me. I'm not understanding that. Somehow there was an accusation that maybe he didn't hurt his toe by the suspect kicking him. That maybe he hurt his toe by somebody throwing an object away from the bad guy, hitting his toe. Also there was at least at some point that he broke his toe because the suspect kicked his foot? Correct. Go ahead.
2 3 4 Q 5 6 A 7 Q 8 A 9 Q 10 A 11 12 Q 13 A 14 15 16 Q 17 18 A 19 20 Q 21	I'm not sure of the timing of his to this one. So I don't know which one came first or second. Who is John Kraynik and if you could spell that for Connie? K-R-A- Y-N-I-K? Yeah. Who is he? He also received discipline through this Sean Gorman investigation. What kind of discipline did Kraynik receive? I believe it was a letter of re-instruction. I can't remember if he had other charges though. It was a letter of re-instruction for what? What was he alleged to have done wrong? The same disparaging remarks, same as the Specification for 1. Was he having a conversation with Petitt, or was it a separate incident? His was a conversation with Sean Gorman. So both Petitt and Kraynik came from Sean	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	waa aa	search warrant of his phone, and text messages with other people, the City brought charges against Steve for things that were found in his phone. What was the first part, you said he got injured or Fighting with a he broke a toe while fighting with a suspect. How does that have relationship for him being disciplined for text messages on his phone? Can you close that gap for me. I'm not understanding that. Somehow there was an accusation that maybe he didn't hurt his toe by the suspect kicking him. That maybe he hurt his toe by somebody throwing an object away from the bad guy, hitting his toe. Also there was at least at some point that he broke his toe because the suspect kicked his foot? Correct. Go ahead. So there is a question about how he broke his
2 3 4 Q 5 6 A 7 Q 8 A 9 Q 10 A 11 12 Q 13 A 14 15 16 Q 17 18 A 19 20 Q 21 22 A	I'm not sure of the timing of his to this one. So I don't know which one came first or second. Who is John Kraynik and if you could spell that for Connie? K-R-A- Y-N-I-K? Yeah. Who is he? He also received discipline through this Sean Gorman investigation. What kind of discipline did Kraynik receive? I believe it was a letter of re-instruction. I can't remember if he had other charges though. It was a letter of re-instruction for what? What was he alleged to have done wrong? The same disparaging remarks, same as the Specification for 1. Was he having a conversation with Petitt, or was it a separate incident? His was a conversation with Sean Gorman. So	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 4 22 0	waa aa	search warrant of his phone, and text messages with other people, the City brought charges against Steve for things that were found in his phone. What was the first part, you said he got injured or Fighting with a he broke a toe while fighting with a suspect. How does that have relationship for him being disciplined for text messages on his phone? Can you close that gap for me. I'm not understanding that. Somehow there was an accusation that maybe he didn't hurt his toe by the suspect kicking him. That maybe he hurt his toe by somebody throwing an object away from the bad guy, hitting his toe. Also there was at least at some point that he broke his toe because the suspect kicked his foot? Correct. Go ahead. So there is a question about how he broke his toe I guess. I never seen the search warrant.
2 3 4 Q 5 6 A 7 Q 8 A 9 Q 10 A 11 12 Q 13 A 14 15 16 Q 17 18 A 19 20 Q 21 22 A	I'm not sure of the timing of his to this one. So I don't know which one came first or second. Who is John Kraynik and if you could spell that for Connie? K-R-A- Y-N-I-K? Yeah. Who is he? He also received discipline through this Sean Gorman investigation. What kind of discipline did Kraynik receive? I believe it was a letter of re-instruction. I can't remember if he had other charges though. It was a letter of re-instruction for what? What was he alleged to have done wrong? The same disparaging remarks, same as the Specification for 1. Was he having a conversation with Petitt, or was it a separate incident? His was a conversation with Sean Gorman. So both Petitt and Kraynik came from Sean	2 3 4 5 C 6 7 A 8 9 C 10 11 12 13 A 14 15 16 17 18 C 19 20 21 A 22 C 23 A	waa aa	search warrant of his phone, and text messages with other people, the City brought charges against Steve for things that were found in his phone. What was the first part, you said he got injured or Fighting with a he broke a toe while fighting with a suspect. How does that have relationship for him being disciplined for text messages on his phone? Can you close that gap for me. I'm not understanding that. Somehow there was an accusation that maybe he didn't hurt his toe by the suspect kicking him. That maybe he hurt his toe by somebody throwing an object away from the bad guy, hitting his toe. Also there was at least at some point that he broke his toe because the suspect kicked his foot? Correct. Go ahead. So there is a question about how he broke his

Case: 1:18-cv-01678-JG Doc #: 21 Filed: 01/28/19 12 of 24. PageID #: 232
Aaron Petitt, et al. v.
City of Cleveland

Jeff Follmer
January 17, 2019

	T Cleveland Page 41			January 17, 2019 Page 43
_			0	•
1	warrant he was observed texting, which enabled		Q	You mentioned text messages. My question is,
2	the Internal Affairs to get a search warrant	2		was he you said it was like 15
3	for his phone, which led to other charges not	3		Specifications, was he disciplined at all
4	relating to the incident.	4		for
5 Q	Was it a criminal search warrant or an		A	He was. No, nothing offensive toward another
6	administrative search warrant? Was it	6	0	individual.
7	Internal Affairs, looking for criminal	7	Q	Was it disparaging remarks about the City or
8	charges, or something else?	8	٨	the Division of Police?
9 A	They get start over. They can't get an	9	A	Correct. Policies and procedures that were
10	administrative search warrant. I know they	10	Q	Were his messages it wasn't about his
11	would like to think they can. So it was to answer your question it was a criminal	11 12		messages were disparaging about either individuals in the Division of Police, or
12	I don't do criminal law so	13		policies, procedures, that type of stuff?
13 Q 14 A	It was a criminal search warrant originally.	14	٨	Correct, and use of force. Obviously the
15 Q	Gotcha. He got disciplined for text messages	15	А	question with the toe.
16 Q	that were found on his phone too?		Q	An interesting use of force case.
17 A	Correct.		A	It was.
18 Q	Were they text messages to another CPPA	18		I don't know if we have that case. I don't
16 Q 19	officer, or were they to a civilian?	19	Y	think I have much more.
20 A	If I remember correctly, officers and	20		MR. KLEBANOW: Want to take a break
21	civilians.	21		for a minute?
22 Q	Text message of the type in this case,	22		MR. MENZALORA: Yeah.
23	offensive to an Arab American, or other	23		(Recess taken.)
24	language?	24	Bv	Mr. Menzalora:
25	MR. KLEBANOW: Objection. Go ahead	25	•	Jeff, I'm going to hand you what I marked for
	J		_	, , ,
	Page 42			Page 44
1		1		
1 2 A	if you know.	1 2		identification purposes as Defendant's
				identification purposes as Defendant's Exhibit J. Ask if you could take a look at
2 A	if you know. No, there was no offensive language issues.	2		identification purposes as Defendant's
2 A 3	if you know. No, there was no offensive language issues. Equipment, there is like 15 Specifications.	2		identification purposes as Defendant's Exhibit J. Ask if you could take a look at those and identify them for the record.
2 A 3 4 Q	if you know. No, there was no offensive language issues. Equipment, there is like 15 Specifications. Were there any was he, or was he not,	2 3 4		identification purposes as Defendant's Exhibit J . Ask if you could take a look at those and identify them for the record. Let me ask you a question a better way.
2 A 3 4 Q 5	if you know. No, there was no offensive language issues. Equipment, there is like 15 Specifications. Were there any was he, or was he not, disciplined for any offensive text messages	2 3 4 5		identification purposes as Defendant's Exhibit J . Ask if you could take a look at those and identify them for the record. Let me ask you a question a better way. Is this a true and accurate copy of the
2 A 3 4 Q 5 6	if you know. No, there was no offensive language issues. Equipment, there is like 15 Specifications. Were there any was he, or was he not, disciplined for any offensive text messages regarding Arab Americans? No. Was he disciplined for any offensive messages	2 3 4 5 6		identification purposes as Defendant's Exhibit J . Ask if you could take a look at those and identify them for the record. Let me ask you a question a better way. Is this a true and accurate copy of the Constitution and Bylaws of the CPPA that were
2 A 3 4 Q 5 6 7 A	if you know. No, there was no offensive language issues. Equipment, there is like 15 Specifications. Were there any was he, or was he not, disciplined for any offensive text messages regarding Arab Americans? No. Was he disciplined for any offensive messages involving any other nationality or race?	2 3 4 5 6 7		identification purposes as Defendant's Exhibit J . Ask if you could take a look at those and identify them for the record. Let me ask you a question a better way. Is this a true and accurate copy of the Constitution and Bylaws of the CPPA that were in effect from May 21, 2014 they were approved on either May 21, 2014 or June 18, 2014?
2 A 3 4 Q 5 6 7 A 8 Q 9	if you know. No, there was no offensive language issues. Equipment, there is like 15 Specifications. Were there any was he, or was he not, disciplined for any offensive text messages regarding Arab Americans? No. Was he disciplined for any offensive messages involving any other nationality or race? No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8		identification purposes as Defendant's Exhibit J . Ask if you could take a look at those and identify them for the record. Let me ask you a question a better way. Is this a true and accurate copy of the Constitution and Bylaws of the CPPA that were in effect from May 21, 2014 they were approved on either May 21, 2014 or June 18, 2014? Correct.
2 A 3 4 Q 5 6 7 A 8 Q 9 10 A 11 Q	if you know. No, there was no offensive language issues. Equipment, there is like 15 Specifications. Were there any was he, or was he not, disciplined for any offensive text messages regarding Arab Americans? No. Was he disciplined for any offensive messages involving any other nationality or race? No. Was it something else?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	_	identification purposes as Defendant's Exhibit J . Ask if you could take a look at those and identify them for the record. Let me ask you a question a better way. Is this a true and accurate copy of the Constitution and Bylaws of the CPPA that were in effect from May 21, 2014 they were approved on either May 21, 2014 or June 18, 2014? Correct. Have there been any changes to this document
2 A 3 4 Q 5 6 7 A 8 Q 9 10 A 11 Q 12 A	if you know. No, there was no offensive language issues. Equipment, there is like 15 Specifications. Were there any was he, or was he not, disciplined for any offensive text messages regarding Arab Americans? No. Was he disciplined for any offensive messages involving any other nationality or race? No. Was it something else? Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11		identification purposes as Defendant's Exhibit J . Ask if you could take a look at those and identify them for the record. Let me ask you a question a better way. Is this a true and accurate copy of the Constitution and Bylaws of the CPPA that were in effect from May 21, 2014 they were approved on either May 21, 2014 or June 18, 2014? Correct. Have there been any changes to this document from whenever they were approved in 2014 until
2 A 3 4 Q 5 6 7 A 8 Q 9 10 A 11 Q 12 A 13 Q	if you know. No, there was no offensive language issues. Equipment, there is like 15 Specifications. Were there any was he, or was he not, disciplined for any offensive text messages regarding Arab Americans? No. Was he disciplined for any offensive messages involving any other nationality or race? No. Was it something else? Correct. So what was is there any First Amendment	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q	identification purposes as Defendant's Exhibit J . Ask if you could take a look at those and identify them for the record. Let me ask you a question a better way. Is this a true and accurate copy of the Constitution and Bylaws of the CPPA that were in effect from May 21, 2014 they were approved on either May 21, 2014 or June 18, 2014? Correct. Have there been any changes to this document from whenever they were approved in 2014 until the present?
2 A 3 4 Q 5 6 7 A 8 Q 9 10 A 11 Q 12 A	if you know. No, there was no offensive language issues. Equipment, there is like 15 Specifications. Were there any was he, or was he not, disciplined for any offensive text messages regarding Arab Americans? No. Was he disciplined for any offensive messages involving any other nationality or race? No. Was it something else? Correct. So what was is there any First Amendment issue involving Officer Fedorko? In this case	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q	identification purposes as Defendant's Exhibit J . Ask if you could take a look at those and identify them for the record. Let me ask you a question a better way. Is this a true and accurate copy of the Constitution and Bylaws of the CPPA that were in effect from May 21, 2014 they were approved on either May 21, 2014 or June 18, 2014? Correct. Have there been any changes to this document from whenever they were approved in 2014 until the present? I do not believe so, unless something was done
2 A 3 4 Q 5 6 7 A 8 Q 9 10 A 11 Q 12 A 13 Q	if you know. No, there was no offensive language issues. Equipment, there is like 15 Specifications. Were there any was he, or was he not, disciplined for any offensive text messages regarding Arab Americans? No. Was he disciplined for any offensive messages involving any other nationality or race? No. Was it something else? Correct. So what was is there any First Amendment issue involving Officer Fedorko? In this case we're here for First and 14th Amendment	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q	identification purposes as Defendant's Exhibit J . Ask if you could take a look at those and identify them for the record. Let me ask you a question a better way. Is this a true and accurate copy of the Constitution and Bylaws of the CPPA that were in effect from May 21, 2014 they were approved on either May 21, 2014 or June 18, 2014? Correct. Have there been any changes to this document from whenever they were approved in 2014 until the present? I do not believe so, unless something was done during Loomis' presidency during those three
2 A 3 4 Q 5 6 7 A 8 Q 9 10 A 11 Q 12 A 13 Q 14 15 16	if you know. No, there was no offensive language issues. Equipment, there is like 15 Specifications. Were there any was he, or was he not, disciplined for any offensive text messages regarding Arab Americans? No. Was he disciplined for any offensive messages involving any other nationality or race? No. Was it something else? Correct. So what was is there any First Amendment issue involving Officer Fedorko? In this case we're here for First and 14th Amendment MR. KLEBANOW: Objection. Go ahead,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q A	identification purposes as Defendant's Exhibit J . Ask if you could take a look at those and identify them for the record. Let me ask you a question a better way. Is this a true and accurate copy of the Constitution and Bylaws of the CPPA that were in effect from May 21, 2014 they were approved on either May 21, 2014 or June 18, 2014? Correct. Have there been any changes to this document from whenever they were approved in 2014 until the present? I do not believe so, unless something was done during Loomis' presidency during those three years.
2 A 3 4 Q 5 6 7 A 8 Q 9 10 A 11 Q 12 A 13 Q 14 15 16 17	if you know. No, there was no offensive language issues. Equipment, there is like 15 Specifications. Were there any was he, or was he not, disciplined for any offensive text messages regarding Arab Americans? No. Was he disciplined for any offensive messages involving any other nationality or race? No. Was it something else? Correct. So what was is there any First Amendment issue involving Officer Fedorko? In this case we're here for First and 14th Amendment MR. KLEBANOW: Objection. Go ahead, you can answer if you know.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q A	identification purposes as Defendant's Exhibit J . Ask if you could take a look at those and identify them for the record. Let me ask you a question a better way. Is this a true and accurate copy of the Constitution and Bylaws of the CPPA that were in effect from May 21, 2014 they were approved on either May 21, 2014 or June 18, 2014? Correct. Have there been any changes to this document from whenever they were approved in 2014 until the present? I do not believe so, unless something was done during Loomis' presidency during those three years. So, is the basis for your belief that the CPPA
2 A 3 4 Q 5 6 7 A 8 Q 9 10 A 11 Q 12 A 13 Q 14 15 16 17 18 A	if you know. No, there was no offensive language issues. Equipment, there is like 15 Specifications. Were there any was he, or was he not, disciplined for any offensive text messages regarding Arab Americans? No. Was he disciplined for any offensive messages involving any other nationality or race? No. Was it something else? Correct. So what was is there any First Amendment issue involving Officer Fedorko? In this case we're here for First and 14th Amendment MR. KLEBANOW: Objection. Go ahead, you can answer if you know. No, I don't recall there was, but I could be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q A	identification purposes as Defendant's Exhibit J . Ask if you could take a look at those and identify them for the record. Let me ask you a question a better way. Is this a true and accurate copy of the Constitution and Bylaws of the CPPA that were in effect from May 21, 2014 they were approved on either May 21, 2014 or June 18, 2014? Correct. Have there been any changes to this document from whenever they were approved in 2014 until the present? I do not believe so, unless something was done during Loomis' presidency during those three years. So, is the basis for your belief that the CPPA is a proper party plaintiff to this lawsuit
2 A 3 4 Q 5 6 7 A 8 Q 9 10 A 11 Q 12 A 13 Q 14 15 16 17 18 A 19	if you know. No, there was no offensive language issues. Equipment, there is like 15 Specifications. Were there any was he, or was he not, disciplined for any offensive text messages regarding Arab Americans? No. Was he disciplined for any offensive messages involving any other nationality or race? No. Was it something else? Correct. So what was is there any First Amendment issue involving Officer Fedorko? In this case we're here for First and 14th Amendment MR. KLEBANOW: Objection. Go ahead, you can answer if you know. No, I don't recall there was, but I could be incorrect on that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q A	identification purposes as Defendant's Exhibit J . Ask if you could take a look at those and identify them for the record. Let me ask you a question a better way. Is this a true and accurate copy of the Constitution and Bylaws of the CPPA that were in effect from May 21, 2014 they were approved on either May 21, 2014 or June 18, 2014? Correct. Have there been any changes to this document from whenever they were approved in 2014 until the present? I do not believe so, unless something was done during Loomis' presidency during those three years. So, is the basis for your belief that the CPPA is a proper party plaintiff to this lawsuit contained in Article 1 of the charter?
2 A 3 4 Q 5 6 7 A 8 Q 9 10 A 11 Q 12 A 13 Q 14 15 16 17 18 A 19 20 Q	if you know. No, there was no offensive language issues. Equipment, there is like 15 Specifications. Were there any was he, or was he not, disciplined for any offensive text messages regarding Arab Americans? No. Was he disciplined for any offensive messages involving any other nationality or race? No. Was it something else? Correct. So what was is there any First Amendment issue involving Officer Fedorko? In this case we're here for First and 14th Amendment MR. KLEBANOW: Objection. Go ahead, you can answer if you know. No, I don't recall there was, but I could be incorrect on that. He wasn't disciplined, getting back to my last	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q A	identification purposes as Defendant's Exhibit J. Ask if you could take a look at those and identify them for the record. Let me ask you a question a better way. Is this a true and accurate copy of the Constitution and Bylaws of the CPPA that were in effect from May 21, 2014 they were approved on either May 21, 2014 or June 18, 2014? Correct. Have there been any changes to this document from whenever they were approved in 2014 until the present? I do not believe so, unless something was done during Loomis' presidency during those three years. So, is the basis for your belief that the CPPA is a proper party plaintiff to this lawsuit contained in Article 1 of the charter? Because we talked about you thought you were
2 A 3 4 Q 5 6 7 A 8 Q 9 10 A 11 Q 12 A 13 Q 14 15 16 17 18 A 19 20 Q 21	if you know. No, there was no offensive language issues. Equipment, there is like 15 Specifications. Were there any was he, or was he not, disciplined for any offensive text messages regarding Arab Americans? No. Was he disciplined for any offensive messages involving any other nationality or race? No. Was it something else? Correct. So what was is there any First Amendment issue involving Officer Fedorko? In this case we're here for First and 14th Amendment MR. KLEBANOW: Objection. Go ahead, you can answer if you know. No, I don't recall there was, but I could be incorrect on that. He wasn't disciplined, getting back to my last question, maybe you answered it, Officer	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q A	identification purposes as Defendant's Exhibit J . Ask if you could take a look at those and identify them for the record. Let me ask you a question a better way. Is this a true and accurate copy of the Constitution and Bylaws of the CPPA that were in effect from May 21, 2014 they were approved on either May 21, 2014 or June 18, 2014? Correct. Have there been any changes to this document from whenever they were approved in 2014 until the present? I do not believe so, unless something was done during Loomis' presidency during those three years. So, is the basis for your belief that the CPPA is a proper party plaintiff to this lawsuit contained in Article 1 of the charter? Because we talked about you thought you were able to bring the lawsuit on behalf of CPPA
2 A 3 4 Q 5 6 7 A 8 Q 9 10 A 11 Q 12 A 13 Q 14 15 16 17 18 A 19 20 Q 21 22	if you know. No, there was no offensive language issues. Equipment, there is like 15 Specifications. Were there any was he, or was he not, disciplined for any offensive text messages regarding Arab Americans? No. Was he disciplined for any offensive messages involving any other nationality or race? No. Was it something else? Correct. So what was is there any First Amendment issue involving Officer Fedorko? In this case we're here for First and 14th Amendment MR. KLEBANOW: Objection. Go ahead, you can answer if you know. No, I don't recall there was, but I could be incorrect on that. He wasn't disciplined, getting back to my last question, maybe you answered it, Officer Fedorko wasn't disciplined for any the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q A	identification purposes as Defendant's Exhibit J . Ask if you could take a look at those and identify them for the record. Let me ask you a question a better way. Is this a true and accurate copy of the Constitution and Bylaws of the CPPA that were in effect from May 21, 2014 they were approved on either May 21, 2014 or June 18, 2014? Correct. Have there been any changes to this document from whenever they were approved in 2014 until the present? I do not believe so, unless something was done during Loomis' presidency during those three years. So, is the basis for your belief that the CPPA is a proper party plaintiff to this lawsuit contained in Article 1 of the charter? Because we talked about you thought you were able to bring the lawsuit on behalf of CPPA bargaining unit members both under the
2 A 3 4 Q 5 6 7 A 8 Q 9 10 A 11 Q 12 A 13 Q 14 15 16 17 18 A 19 20 Q 21 22 23	if you know. No, there was no offensive language issues. Equipment, there is like 15 Specifications. Were there any was he, or was he not, disciplined for any offensive text messages regarding Arab Americans? No. Was he disciplined for any offensive messages involving any other nationality or race? No. Was it something else? Correct. So what was is there any First Amendment issue involving Officer Fedorko? In this case we're here for First and 14th Amendment MR. KLEBANOW: Objection. Go ahead, you can answer if you know. No, I don't recall there was, but I could be incorrect on that. He wasn't disciplined, getting back to my last question, maybe you answered it, Officer Fedorko wasn't disciplined for any the offensive nature of any text messages; is that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q A	identification purposes as Defendant's Exhibit J . Ask if you could take a look at those and identify them for the record. Let me ask you a question a better way. Is this a true and accurate copy of the Constitution and Bylaws of the CPPA that were in effect from May 21, 2014 they were approved on either May 21, 2014 or June 18, 2014? Correct. Have there been any changes to this document from whenever they were approved in 2014 until the present? I do not believe so, unless something was done during Loomis' presidency during those three years. So, is the basis for your belief that the CPPA is a proper party plaintiff to this lawsuit contained in Article 1 of the charter? Because we talked about you thought you were able to bring the lawsuit on behalf of CPPA bargaining unit members both under the Collective Bargaining Agreement between the
2 A 3 4 Q 5 6 7 A 8 Q 9 10 A 11 Q 12 A 13 Q 14 15 16 17 18 A 19 20 Q 21 22 23 24	if you know. No, there was no offensive language issues. Equipment, there is like 15 Specifications. Were there any was he, or was he not, disciplined for any offensive text messages regarding Arab Americans? No. Was he disciplined for any offensive messages involving any other nationality or race? No. Was it something else? Correct. So what was is there any First Amendment issue involving Officer Fedorko? In this case we're here for First and 14th Amendment MR. KLEBANOW: Objection. Go ahead, you can answer if you know. No, I don't recall there was, but I could be incorrect on that. He wasn't disciplined, getting back to my last question, maybe you answered it, Officer Fedorko wasn't disciplined for any the offensive nature of any text messages; is that a fair statement, he was not disciplined?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q A	identification purposes as Defendant's Exhibit J . Ask if you could take a look at those and identify them for the record. Let me ask you a question a better way. Is this a true and accurate copy of the Constitution and Bylaws of the CPPA that were in effect from May 21, 2014 they were approved on either May 21, 2014 or June 18, 2014? Correct. Have there been any changes to this document from whenever they were approved in 2014 until the present? I do not believe so, unless something was done during Loomis' presidency during those three years. So, is the basis for your belief that the CPPA is a proper party plaintiff to this lawsuit contained in Article 1 of the charter? Because we talked about you thought you were able to bring the lawsuit on behalf of CPPA bargaining unit members both under the Collective Bargaining Agreement between the CPPA and the City, and the CPPA's charter, do
2 A 3 4 Q 5 6 7 A 8 Q 9 10 A 11 Q 12 A 13 Q 14 15 16 17 18 A 19 20 Q 21 22 23	if you know. No, there was no offensive language issues. Equipment, there is like 15 Specifications. Were there any was he, or was he not, disciplined for any offensive text messages regarding Arab Americans? No. Was he disciplined for any offensive messages involving any other nationality or race? No. Was it something else? Correct. So what was is there any First Amendment issue involving Officer Fedorko? In this case we're here for First and 14th Amendment MR. KLEBANOW: Objection. Go ahead, you can answer if you know. No, I don't recall there was, but I could be incorrect on that. He wasn't disciplined, getting back to my last question, maybe you answered it, Officer Fedorko wasn't disciplined for any the offensive nature of any text messages; is that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q A	identification purposes as Defendant's Exhibit J . Ask if you could take a look at those and identify them for the record. Let me ask you a question a better way. Is this a true and accurate copy of the Constitution and Bylaws of the CPPA that were in effect from May 21, 2014 they were approved on either May 21, 2014 or June 18, 2014? Correct. Have there been any changes to this document from whenever they were approved in 2014 until the present? I do not believe so, unless something was done during Loomis' presidency during those three years. So, is the basis for your belief that the CPPA is a proper party plaintiff to this lawsuit contained in Article 1 of the charter? Because we talked about you thought you were able to bring the lawsuit on behalf of CPPA bargaining unit members both under the Collective Bargaining Agreement between the

Case: 1:18-cv-01678-JG Doc #: 21 Filed: 01/28/19 13 of 24. PageID #: 233
Aaron Petitt, et al. v.
City of Cleveland

Jeff Follmer
January 17, 2019

_	i Cieveianu	1		January 17, 2019
	Page 45			Page 47
1 A	I do.	1		non-disciplinary letter of re-instruction,
2	(Defendant's Exhibit J marked for	2		correct?
3	identification.)	3	٨	Correct.
	Is the basis for which you think the CPPA has		Q	
4 Q			Ų	I think you also said he received
5	the right to be a party plaintiff to the	5		non-disciplinary, he was sent to sensitivity
6	current lawsuit, is that contained in Article	6		training; is that correct?
7	1 of this document that has been marked for	7		I don't recall. It could have been though.
8	identification purposes as Defendant's		Q	That would have also been non-disciplinary if
9	Exhibit J?	9		the Division of Police had sent him to
10	MR. KLEBANOW: Objection. Go ahead,	10		sensitivity training or some other kind of
11	if you know.	11		training, or retraining, that would have been
12 A	Article 1?	12		non-disciplinary in nature too?
13 Q	Yes. Actually Article	13	A	Technically, yes. I still call it discipline.
14 A	2?	14	Q	Under the Division of Police's General Police
15 Q	2.	15		Order on discipline, letters of re-instruction
16	MR. KLEBANOW: I was wondering why	16		are non-disciplinary, correct?
17	he was asking about 1.	17	A	Correct.
18 Q	Article 2. Is the basis for which you believe	18	Q	Sending, at least under the GPO, sending the
19	the CPPA is a proper party plaintiff in	19	`	people to corrective training, or remedial
20	relationship to its charter, is that contained	20		training, however you want to call it, or
21	in Article 2 of the document that has been	21		training like that, would be non-disciplinary
22	marked for identification purposes as	22		in nature, as least as far as the GPO is
23	Defendant's Exhibit J?	23		concerned?
24	MR. KLEBANOW: Objection. Go ahead,	24	Δ	As far as the GPO, correct.
25	if you know.	25		You didn't grieve Officer Kraynik's letter of
25	ii you kilow.	25	Ų	Tou didn't grieve Officer Kraylik's letter of
	Page 46			Page 48
	Page 46			Page 48
1 A	I would agree.	1		re-instruction or his training, did you, you
1 A 2 Q	I would agree. Then looking at what has was previously marked	1 2		re-instruction or his training, did you, you being the CPPA?
	I would agree. Then looking at what has was previously marked for identification purposes as Defendant's	2		re-instruction or his training, did you, you being the CPPA? Correct, we did not.
2 Q	I would agree. Then looking at what has was previously marked	2	A Q	re-instruction or his training, did you, you being the CPPA?
2 Q 3	I would agree. Then looking at what has was previously marked for identification purposes as Defendant's	2		re-instruction or his training, did you, you being the CPPA? Correct, we did not.
2 Q 3 4	I would agree. Then looking at what has was previously marked for identification purposes as Defendant's Exhibit E , can you take a look at this. Can	2 3 4		re-instruction or his training, did you, you being the CPPA? Correct, we did not. Did you grieve Sean Gorman's discipline that
2 Q 3 4 5	I would agree. Then looking at what has was previously marked for identification purposes as Defendant's Exhibit E , can you take a look at this. Can you identify this for the record? A text	2 3 4 5	Q	re-instruction or his training, did you, you being the CPPA? Correct, we did not. Did you grieve Sean Gorman's discipline that we were talking about earlier, the CPPA, did
2 Q 3 4 5 6	I would agree. Then looking at what has was previously marked for identification purposes as Defendant's Exhibit E , can you take a look at this. Can you identify this for the record? A text message exchange between Plaintiff Petitt and	2 3 4 5 6	Q	re-instruction or his training, did you, you being the CPPA? Correct, we did not. Did you grieve Sean Gorman's discipline that we were talking about earlier, the CPPA, did they grieve it? I don't think Sean got disciplined, unless you
2 Q 3 4 5 6 7	I would agree. Then looking at what has was previously marked for identification purposes as Defendant's Exhibit E , can you take a look at this. Can you identify this for the record? A text message exchange between Plaintiff Petitt and Patrol Officer Sean Gorman that resulted in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q	re-instruction or his training, did you, you being the CPPA? Correct, we did not. Did you grieve Sean Gorman's discipline that we were talking about earlier, the CPPA, did they grieve it?
2 Q 3 4 5 6 7 8 A	I would agree. Then looking at what has was previously marked for identification purposes as Defendant's Exhibit E , can you take a look at this. Can you identify this for the record? A text message exchange between Plaintiff Petitt and Patrol Officer Sean Gorman that resulted in Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q A Q	re-instruction or his training, did you, you being the CPPA? Correct, we did not. Did you grieve Sean Gorman's discipline that we were talking about earlier, the CPPA, did they grieve it? I don't think Sean got disciplined, unless you are going to tell me different. I don't know.
2 Q 3 4 5 6 7 8 A 9 Q	I would agree. Then looking at what has was previously marked for identification purposes as Defendant's <u>Exhibit E</u> , can you take a look at this. Can you identify this for the record? A text message exchange between Plaintiff Petitt and Patrol Officer Sean Gorman that resulted in Yes. Resulted in Specification 1 in the charge letter that was marked for identification	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q A Q A	re-instruction or his training, did you, you being the CPPA? Correct, we did not. Did you grieve Sean Gorman's discipline that we were talking about earlier, the CPPA, did they grieve it? I don't think Sean got disciplined, unless you are going to tell me different. I don't know. I think he retired before anything.
2 Q 3 4 5 6 7 8 A 9 Q 10	I would agree. Then looking at what has was previously marked for identification purposes as Defendant's Exhibit E, can you take a look at this. Can you identify this for the record? A text message exchange between Plaintiff Petitt and Patrol Officer Sean Gorman that resulted in Yes. Resulted in Specification 1 in the charge letter that was marked for identification purposes as Defendant's Exhibit	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q A Q A	re-instruction or his training, did you, you being the CPPA? Correct, we did not. Did you grieve Sean Gorman's discipline that we were talking about earlier, the CPPA, did they grieve it? I don't think Sean got disciplined, unless you are going to tell me different. I don't know.
2 Q 3 4 5 6 7 8 A 9 Q 10 11 12 A	I would agree. Then looking at what has was previously marked for identification purposes as Defendant's Exhibit E , can you take a look at this. Can you identify this for the record? A text message exchange between Plaintiff Petitt and Patrol Officer Sean Gorman that resulted in Yes. Resulted in Specification 1 in the charge letter that was marked for identification purposes as Defendant's Exhibit Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q A Q A Q	re-instruction or his training, did you, you being the CPPA? Correct, we did not. Did you grieve Sean Gorman's discipline that we were talking about earlier, the CPPA, did they grieve it? I don't think Sean got disciplined, unless you are going to tell me different. I don't know. I think he retired before anything. Discipline, or a predisciplinary hearing could be held?
2 Q 3 4 5 6 7 8 A 9 Q 10 11 12 A 13 Q	I would agree. Then looking at what has was previously marked for identification purposes as Defendant's Exhibit E , can you take a look at this. Can you identify this for the record? A text message exchange between Plaintiff Petitt and Patrol Officer Sean Gorman that resulted in Yes. Resulted in Specification 1 in the charge letter that was marked for identification purposes as Defendant's Exhibit Correct. Let me ask the question. Defendant's Exhibit	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q A Q A Q	re-instruction or his training, did you, you being the CPPA? Correct, we did not. Did you grieve Sean Gorman's discipline that we were talking about earlier, the CPPA, did they grieve it? I don't think Sean got disciplined, unless you are going to tell me different. I don't know. I think he retired before anything. Discipline, or a predisciplinary hearing could be held? If there were any charges that would have came
2 Q 3 4 5 6 7 8 A 9 Q 10 11 12 A 13 Q	I would agree. Then looking at what has was previously marked for identification purposes as Defendant's Exhibit E, can you take a look at this. Can you identify this for the record? A text message exchange between Plaintiff Petitt and Patrol Officer Sean Gorman that resulted in Yes. Resulted in Specification 1 in the charge letter that was marked for identification purposes as Defendant's Exhibit Correct. Let me ask the question. Defendant's Exhibit B?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q A Q A Q	re-instruction or his training, did you, you being the CPPA? Correct, we did not. Did you grieve Sean Gorman's discipline that we were talking about earlier, the CPPA, did they grieve it? I don't think Sean got disciplined, unless you are going to tell me different. I don't know. I think he retired before anything. Discipline, or a predisciplinary hearing could be held? If there were any charges that would have came up. I'm not sure about that.
2 Q 3 4 5 6 7 8 A 9 Q 10 11 12 A 13 Q 14 15 A	I would agree. Then looking at what has was previously marked for identification purposes as Defendant's Exhibit E, can you take a look at this. Can you identify this for the record? A text message exchange between Plaintiff Petitt and Patrol Officer Sean Gorman that resulted in Yes. Resulted in Specification 1 in the charge letter that was marked for identification purposes as Defendant's Exhibit Correct. Let me ask the question. Defendant's Exhibit B? Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q A Q A Q	re-instruction or his training, did you, you being the CPPA? Correct, we did not. Did you grieve Sean Gorman's discipline that we were talking about earlier, the CPPA, did they grieve it? I don't think Sean got disciplined, unless you are going to tell me different. I don't know. I think he retired before anything. Discipline, or a predisciplinary hearing could be held? If there were any charges that would have came up. I'm not sure about that. Looking at the document that has been marked
2 Q 3 4 5 6 7 8 A 9 Q 10 11 12 A 13 Q 14 15 A 16 Q	I would agree. Then looking at what has was previously marked for identification purposes as Defendant's Exhibit E , can you take a look at this. Can you identify this for the record? A text message exchange between Plaintiff Petitt and Patrol Officer Sean Gorman that resulted in Yes. Resulted in Specification 1 in the charge letter that was marked for identification purposes as Defendant's Exhibit Correct. Let me ask the question. Defendant's Exhibit B? Correct. Both Gorman and Petitt were on duty, correct,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q A Q A Q	re-instruction or his training, did you, you being the CPPA? Correct, we did not. Did you grieve Sean Gorman's discipline that we were talking about earlier, the CPPA, did they grieve it? I don't think Sean got disciplined, unless you are going to tell me different. I don't know. I think he retired before anything. Discipline, or a predisciplinary hearing could be held? If there were any charges that would have came up. I'm not sure about that. Looking at the document that has been marked for identification purposes Defendant's
2 Q 3 4 5 6 7 8 A 9 Q 10 11 12 A 13 Q 14 15 A 16 Q 17	I would agree. Then looking at what has was previously marked for identification purposes as Defendant's Exhibit E, can you take a look at this. Can you identify this for the record? A text message exchange between Plaintiff Petitt and Patrol Officer Sean Gorman that resulted in Yes. Resulted in Specification 1 in the charge letter that was marked for identification purposes as Defendant's Exhibit Correct. Let me ask the question. Defendant's Exhibit B? Correct. Both Gorman and Petitt were on duty, correct, at the time of this text message exchange	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q A Q A Q	re-instruction or his training, did you, you being the CPPA? Correct, we did not. Did you grieve Sean Gorman's discipline that we were talking about earlier, the CPPA, did they grieve it? I don't think Sean got disciplined, unless you are going to tell me different. I don't know. I think he retired before anything. Discipline, or a predisciplinary hearing could be held? If there were any charges that would have came up. I'm not sure about that. Looking at the document that has been marked for identification purposes Defendant's Exhibit E.
2 Q 3 4 5 6 7 8 A 9 Q 10 11 12 A 13 Q 14 15 A 16 Q 17 18	I would agree. Then looking at what has was previously marked for identification purposes as Defendant's Exhibit E, can you take a look at this. Can you identify this for the record? A text message exchange between Plaintiff Petitt and Patrol Officer Sean Gorman that resulted in Yes. Resulted in Specification 1 in the charge letter that was marked for identification purposes as Defendant's Exhibit Correct. Let me ask the question. Defendant's Exhibit B? Correct. Both Gorman and Petitt were on duty, correct, at the time of this text message exchange referenced on Defendant's Exhibit E?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q A Q A Q	re-instruction or his training, did you, you being the CPPA? Correct, we did not. Did you grieve Sean Gorman's discipline that we were talking about earlier, the CPPA, did they grieve it? I don't think Sean got disciplined, unless you are going to tell me different. I don't know. I think he retired before anything. Discipline, or a predisciplinary hearing could be held? If there were any charges that would have came up. I'm not sure about that. Looking at the document that has been marked for identification purposes Defendant's Exhibit E. MR. KLEBANOW: The text messages.
2 Q 3 4 5 6 7 8 A 9 Q 10 11 12 A 13 Q 14 15 A 16 Q 17 18 19 A	I would agree. Then looking at what has was previously marked for identification purposes as Defendant's Exhibit E, can you take a look at this. Can you identify this for the record? A text message exchange between Plaintiff Petitt and Patrol Officer Sean Gorman that resulted in Yes. Resulted in Specification 1 in the charge letter that was marked for identification purposes as Defendant's Exhibit Correct. Let me ask the question. Defendant's Exhibit B? Correct. Both Gorman and Petitt were on duty, correct, at the time of this text message exchange referenced on Defendant's Exhibit E? I'm not sure about Gorman.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q A Q A Q	re-instruction or his training, did you, you being the CPPA? Correct, we did not. Did you grieve Sean Gorman's discipline that we were talking about earlier, the CPPA, did they grieve it? I don't think Sean got disciplined, unless you are going to tell me different. I don't know. I think he retired before anything. Discipline, or a predisciplinary hearing could be held? If there were any charges that would have came up. I'm not sure about that. Looking at the document that has been marked for identification purposes Defendant's Exhibit E. MR. KLEBANOW: The text messages. The text messages, Petitt's text message at
2 Q 3 4 5 6 7 8 A 9 Q 10 11 12 A 13 Q 14 15 A 16 Q 17 18 19 A 20 Q	I would agree. Then looking at what has was previously marked for identification purposes as Defendant's Exhibit E, can you take a look at this. Can you identify this for the record? A text message exchange between Plaintiff Petitt and Patrol Officer Sean Gorman that resulted in Yes. Resulted in Specification 1 in the charge letter that was marked for identification purposes as Defendant's Exhibit Correct. Let me ask the question. Defendant's Exhibit B? Correct. Both Gorman and Petitt were on duty, correct, at the time of this text message exchange referenced on Defendant's Exhibit E? I'm not sure about Gorman. Petitt was on duty?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q A Q A Q	re-instruction or his training, did you, you being the CPPA? Correct, we did not. Did you grieve Sean Gorman's discipline that we were talking about earlier, the CPPA, did they grieve it? I don't think Sean got disciplined, unless you are going to tell me different. I don't know. I think he retired before anything. Discipline, or a predisciplinary hearing could be held? If there were any charges that would have came up. I'm not sure about that. Looking at the document that has been marked for identification purposes Defendant's Exhibit E. MR. KLEBANOW: The text messages. The text messages, Petitt's text message at 1:58 a.m. says he must be working third
2 Q 3 4 5 6 7 8 A 9 Q 10 11 12 A 13 Q 14 15 A 16 Q 17 18 19 A 20 Q 21 A	I would agree. Then looking at what has was previously marked for identification purposes as Defendant's Exhibit E , can you take a look at this. Can you identify this for the record? A text message exchange between Plaintiff Petitt and Patrol Officer Sean Gorman that resulted in Yes. Resulted in Specification 1 in the charge letter that was marked for identification purposes as Defendant's Exhibit Correct. Let me ask the question. Defendant's Exhibit B? Correct. Both Gorman and Petitt were on duty, correct, at the time of this text message exchange referenced on Defendant's Exhibit E ? I'm not sure about Gorman. Petitt was on duty? Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q A Q A Q	re-instruction or his training, did you, you being the CPPA? Correct, we did not. Did you grieve Sean Gorman's discipline that we were talking about earlier, the CPPA, did they grieve it? I don't think Sean got disciplined, unless you are going to tell me different. I don't know. I think he retired before anything. Discipline, or a predisciplinary hearing could be held? If there were any charges that would have came up. I'm not sure about that. Looking at the document that has been marked for identification purposes Defendant's Exhibit E. MR. KLEBANOW: The text messages. The text messages, Petitt's text message at 1:58 a.m. says he must be working third shift, correct? At least on the date of this
2 Q 3 4 5 6 7 8 A 9 Q 10 11 12 A 13 Q 14 15 A 16 Q 17 18 19 A 20 Q 21 A 22 Q	I would agree. Then looking at what has was previously marked for identification purposes as Defendant's Exhibit E, can you take a look at this. Can you identify this for the record? A text message exchange between Plaintiff Petitt and Patrol Officer Sean Gorman that resulted in Yes. Resulted in Specification 1 in the charge letter that was marked for identification purposes as Defendant's Exhibit Correct. Let me ask the question. Defendant's Exhibit B? Correct. Both Gorman and Petitt were on duty, correct, at the time of this text message exchange referenced on Defendant's Exhibit E? I'm not sure about Gorman. Petitt was on duty? Correct. So Gorman you don't know if he was on duty?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q A Q A Q Q	re-instruction or his training, did you, you being the CPPA? Correct, we did not. Did you grieve Sean Gorman's discipline that we were talking about earlier, the CPPA, did they grieve it? I don't think Sean got disciplined, unless you are going to tell me different. I don't know. I think he retired before anything. Discipline, or a predisciplinary hearing could be held? If there were any charges that would have came up. I'm not sure about that. Looking at the document that has been marked for identification purposes Defendant's Exhibit E. MR. KLEBANOW: The text messages. The text messages, Petitt's text message at 1:58 a.m. says he must be working third shift, correct? At least on the date of this incident?
2 Q 3 4 5 6 7 8 A 9 Q 10 11 12 A 13 Q 14 15 A 16 Q 17 18 19 A 20 Q 21 A 22 Q 23 A	I would agree. Then looking at what has was previously marked for identification purposes as Defendant's Exhibit E, can you take a look at this. Can you identify this for the record? A text message exchange between Plaintiff Petitt and Patrol Officer Sean Gorman that resulted in Yes. Resulted in Specification 1 in the charge letter that was marked for identification purposes as Defendant's Exhibit Correct. Let me ask the question. Defendant's Exhibit B? Correct. Both Gorman and Petitt were on duty, correct, at the time of this text message exchange referenced on Defendant's Exhibit E? I'm not sure about Gorman. Petitt was on duty? Correct. So Gorman you don't know if he was on duty? Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q A Q A Q Q A	re-instruction or his training, did you, you being the CPPA? Correct, we did not. Did you grieve Sean Gorman's discipline that we were talking about earlier, the CPPA, did they grieve it? I don't think Sean got disciplined, unless you are going to tell me different. I don't know. I think he retired before anything. Discipline, or a predisciplinary hearing could be held? If there were any charges that would have came up. I'm not sure about that. Looking at the document that has been marked for identification purposes Defendant's Exhibit E. MR. KLEBANOW: The text messages. The text messages, Petitt's text message at 1:58 a.m. says he must be working third shift, correct? At least on the date of this incident? I think he works like a four platoon.
2 Q 3 4 5 6 7 8 A 9 Q 10 11 12 A 13 Q 14 15 A 16 Q 17 18 19 A 20 Q 21 A 22 Q 23 A 24 Q	I would agree. Then looking at what has was previously marked for identification purposes as Defendant's Exhibit E, can you take a look at this. Can you identify this for the record? A text message exchange between Plaintiff Petitt and Patrol Officer Sean Gorman that resulted in Yes. Resulted in Specification 1 in the charge letter that was marked for identification purposes as Defendant's Exhibit Correct. Let me ask the question. Defendant's Exhibit B? Correct. Both Gorman and Petitt were on duty, correct, at the time of this text message exchange referenced on Defendant's Exhibit E? I'm not sure about Gorman. Petitt was on duty? Correct. So Gorman you don't know if he was on duty? Correct. Officer Kraynik, when we were talking about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q A Q A Q A Q	re-instruction or his training, did you, you being the CPPA? Correct, we did not. Did you grieve Sean Gorman's discipline that we were talking about earlier, the CPPA, did they grieve it? I don't think Sean got disciplined, unless you are going to tell me different. I don't know. I think he retired before anything. Discipline, or a predisciplinary hearing could be held? If there were any charges that would have came up. I'm not sure about that. Looking at the document that has been marked for identification purposes Defendant's Exhibit E. MR. KLEBANOW: The text messages. The text messages, Petitt's text message at 1:58 a.m. says he must be working third shift, correct? At least on the date of this incident? I think he works like a four platoon. Overnight for purposes of the record?
2 Q 3 4 5 6 7 8 A 9 Q 10 11 12 A 13 Q 14 15 A 16 Q 17 18 19 A 20 Q 21 A 22 Q 23 A	I would agree. Then looking at what has was previously marked for identification purposes as Defendant's Exhibit E, can you take a look at this. Can you identify this for the record? A text message exchange between Plaintiff Petitt and Patrol Officer Sean Gorman that resulted in Yes. Resulted in Specification 1 in the charge letter that was marked for identification purposes as Defendant's Exhibit Correct. Let me ask the question. Defendant's Exhibit B? Correct. Both Gorman and Petitt were on duty, correct, at the time of this text message exchange referenced on Defendant's Exhibit E? I'm not sure about Gorman. Petitt was on duty? Correct. So Gorman you don't know if he was on duty? Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q A Q A Q A Q	re-instruction or his training, did you, you being the CPPA? Correct, we did not. Did you grieve Sean Gorman's discipline that we were talking about earlier, the CPPA, did they grieve it? I don't think Sean got disciplined, unless you are going to tell me different. I don't know. I think he retired before anything. Discipline, or a predisciplinary hearing could be held? If there were any charges that would have came up. I'm not sure about that. Looking at the document that has been marked for identification purposes Defendant's Exhibit E. MR. KLEBANOW: The text messages. The text messages, Petitt's text message at 1:58 a.m. says he must be working third shift, correct? At least on the date of this incident? I think he works like a four platoon.

Case: 1:18-cv-01678-JG Doc #: 21 Filed: 01/28/19 14 of 24. PageID #: 234

Aaron Petitt, et al. v.

City of Cleveland

Jeff Follmer

January 17, 2019

City	ot (Cleveland			January 17, 2019
		Page 49			Page 51
1 (Q	You and I know what platoons are. For	1		improper?
2	`	purposes of the record, it's an overnight	2	A	
3		shift?	3	Q	You've never stated to any media outlet your
4	A	Exactly. After the midnight hour.	4	_	opinion on whether or not this text message is
5 (Q	So, Petitt's text message never, at 1:58 a.m.,	5		either offensive or in-offensive or proper or
6	-	never a bother to tune up some haji, haha, do	6		improper?
7		you have an opinion whether or not that is	7	A	To any media outlet?
8		offensive or in-offensive, or proper or	8	Q	Correct.
9		improper?	9	A	I'm not sure. I don't recall what I've said
10		MR. KLEBANOW: Objection. Go ahead.	10		to the media.
11	A	I don't have an opinion because I'm not	11	Q	You don't recall saying to somebody that you
12		educated on the word haji, as far as how it	12		didn't condone this text message sent by
13		pertains to the Arab culture.	13		Officer Petitt at 1:58 a.m.?
14 (Q	How about the rest of the text message? It	14	A	I'm not sure if I was referring to Petitt or
15		says tune up. You understand what the word	15		not on that one, unless you are going to tell
16		tune up means?	16		me I said his name specifically.
17	A	I do.	17	Q	I'm just asking you sitting here today do you
18 (Q	That means violence, correct?	18		remember?
19		MR. KLEBANOW: Objection. You can	19	A	I do not.
20		answer.	20		MR. MENZALORA: Off the record.
21		It mean impose violence on somebody else?	21		(Recess taken.)
22	A	That's a little far-fetched. I don't think	22		y Mr. Menzalora:
23		police officers in this day and age can tune		Q	•
24		up anybody, because everything is on video. I	24		understand how somebody could be offended by
25		don't think that	25		the text messages sent by Officer Petitt at
		Page 50			Page 52
1 (Q	What do you understand the word tune up to	1		1:58 a.m. on Defendant's Exhibit E?
2	-	mean?	2	A	Do I understand, is that what you said?
3 .	A	As I'm reading this coming from a police	3	Q	Yes. Do you understand how somebody would
4		officer, I wouldn't say it was something	4		find that offensive?
5		violent.	5	A	Somebody could find it offensive I guess. I'm
6 (Q	You don't think he intended any violence with	6		not really on haji, what haji means, if that
7		the use of the word tune up?	7		is offensive. I guess to answer your question
8		MR. KLEBANOW: Objection.	8		I really don't know what haji is referring to.
9 .	A	He could have, but he knows he can't. A	9		From my little bit of education, it's a leader
10		police officer on duty with a video camera.	10	_	in the Arab community, if I have that right.
11		The word tune up was something he said that he		Q	When you first saw this text message, what did
12		knew he couldn't follow, or couldn't do an act	12		you think of it?
13	0	of violence on somebody down there.		A	I had no idea why he was going up on charges,
	Q	Putting that aside. Doesn't the general use,	14	^	that's what I thought.
15		general meaning of the word tune up mean to		Q	For this text message?
16		beat up?		A	Correct. Plus, because it was between two
17		MR. KLEBANOW: Objection. Go ahead.	17		people in a private conversation, a private
18		One could look at it that way.	18	0	text conversation.
	Q	So you are not offering any opinion whether or	19	Q	•
20		not this text message as a whole, from	20		in the Arab American community, are you saying
21		beginning to end, is offensive or in-offensive?	21		you don't understand how what is contained in
22	Δ	Correct.	22		this text message at 1:58 a.m. in Defendant's Exhibit E would be offensive to them?
23 2		You are not offering an opinion whether the	23 24		MR. KLEBANOW: Objection. Go ahead.
25	V	text message from beginning to end is		A	Correct. I would have to get more actually
2.3		continessage from organing to end is	2.3	11	correct. I would have to get more actually
L			1		

Case: 1:18-cv-01678-JG Doc #: 21 Filed: 01/28/19 15 of 24. PageID #: 235
Aaron Petitt, et al. v.
City of Cleveland

Jeff Follmer
January 17, 2019

	or Cleveland Page 53		January 17, 201
	-		Č
1	have to probably talk to somebody in the	1	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
2	Arabic community to find out if that is	2	eastern types, and at the bottom, never a
3	offensive.	3	bother to tune up some haji, haha. You are
4 Q	You don't think this text message in	4	stating you don't understand how somebody in
5	Defendant's Exhibit E at 1:58 a.m. by Officer	5	the Arab American community would find that
6	Petitt is offensive?	6	offensive?
7 A	I don't have an opinion on it just because I'm		A Say it one more time. I'm stating what?
8	not educated on that word haji.	8	
_	So Jeff, let's look at this thing from top to	9	text message, never a bother to tune up some
_	bottom, Defendant's Exhibit E. At the top of		
10		10	haji, haha, would be offensive to somebody in
11	the exchange there is a text message from	11	the Arab American community?
12	Gorman to Petitt, correct?		A I'm not sure if it would be.
13 A	Correct.		Q So you don't know one way or the other?
14 Q	Stop down to Hustler means the Hustler strip		A Correct.
15	club in the Flats in the City of Cleveland,		Q LOL response by Gorman is short for laugh our
16	correct?	16	loud?
17 A	Correct.	17	A Correct.
18 Q	Stop down to Hustler, apparently there is some	18	MR. MENZALORA: I have nothing
19	middle eastern types giving people a hard	19	further. You want to advise him of his rights?
20	time. We are in route, correct?	20	MR. KLEBANOW: We will read.
21 A	Correct.	21	(Deposition concluded at 2:37 p.m.)
22 Q	Did I read that correctly?	22	(Signature not waived.)
23 A	Correct.	23	
24 Q	That's at 1:32 a.m.?	24	
25 À	Correct.	25	
	Page 54		Page 50
1 Q	They means Gorman and his partner?	1	SIGNATURE PAGE
2	MR. KLEBANOW: Objection. Go ahead.	2	
2 3 A	I know it's Gorman so I would stand corrected	3	In Re: Aaron Petitt, et al. vs. City of Cleveland
		4	
4			Case Number: 1:18-CV-01678
- 0	now I recall that he was working.	5	
5 Q	Once again, what I'm talking about the we,	5	Deponent: Jeff Follmer
6	Once again, what I'm talking about the we, does we mean Gorman and his partner or was	6	
_	Once again, what I'm talking about the we, does we mean Gorman and his partner or was Gorman in a single response car and we mean	6 7	Deponent: Jeff Follmer Date: 1-17-19
6	Once again, what I'm talking about the we, does we mean Gorman and his partner or was Gorman in a single response car and we mean Gorman and some other patrol cars, if you	6 7 8	Deponent: Jeff Follmer Date: 1-17-19 To the Reporter:
6 7	Once again, what I'm talking about the we, does we mean Gorman and his partner or was Gorman in a single response car and we mean Gorman and some other patrol cars, if you know?	6 7 8 9	Deponent: Jeff Follmer Date: 1-17-19 To the Reporter: I have read the entire transcript of my
6 7 8 9	Once again, what I'm talking about the we, does we mean Gorman and his partner or was Gorman in a single response car and we mean Gorman and some other patrol cars, if you	6 7 8	Deponent: Jeff Follmer Date: 1-17-19 To the Reporter: I have read the entire transcript of my
6 7 8 9	Once again, what I'm talking about the we, does we mean Gorman and his partner or was Gorman in a single response car and we mean Gorman and some other patrol cars, if you know?	6 7 8 9	Deponent: Jeff Follmer Date: 1-17-19 To the Reporter: I have read the entire transcript of my Deposition taken in the captioned matter or the same
6 7 8 9 LO A L1 Q	Once again, what I'm talking about the we, does we mean Gorman and his partner or was Gorman in a single response car and we mean Gorman and some other patrol cars, if you know? I don't know.	6 7 8 9 10	Deponent: Jeff Follmer Date: 1-17-19 To the Reporter: I have read the entire transcript of my Deposition taken in the captioned matter or the same has been read to me. I request that the following
6 7 8 9 10 A 11 Q	Once again, what I'm talking about the we, does we mean Gorman and his partner or was Gorman in a single response car and we mean Gorman and some other patrol cars, if you know? I don't know. It says, packing up, be there soon. What is	6 7 8 9 10	Deponent: Jeff Follmer Date: 1-17-19 To the Reporter: I have read the entire transcript of my Deposition taken in the captioned matter or the same has been read to me. I request that the following
6 7 8 9 10 A 11 Q 12	Once again, what I'm talking about the we, does we mean Gorman and his partner or was Gorman in a single response car and we mean Gorman and some other patrol cars, if you know? I don't know. It says, packing up, be there soon. What is your understanding of what Officer Petitt	6 7 8 9 10 11	Deponent: Jeff Follmer Date: 1-17-19 To the Reporter: I have read the entire transcript of my Deposition taken in the captioned matter or the same has been read to me. I request that the following changes be entered upon the record for the reasons indicated.
6 7 8 9 10 A 11 Q 12 13	Once again, what I'm talking about the we, does we mean Gorman and his partner or was Gorman in a single response car and we mean Gorman and some other patrol cars, if you know? I don't know. It says, packing up, be there soon. What is your understanding of what Officer Petitt meant by packing up? Was he at the scene of	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Deponent: Jeff Follmer Date: 1-17-19 To the Reporter: I have read the entire transcript of my Deposition taken in the captioned matter or the same has been read to me. I request that the following changes be entered upon the record for the reasons indicated. I have signed my name to the Errata Sheet and the
6 7 8 9 10 A 11 Q 12 13	Once again, what I'm talking about the we, does we mean Gorman and his partner or was Gorman in a single response car and we mean Gorman and some other patrol cars, if you know? I don't know. It says, packing up, be there soon. What is your understanding of what Officer Petitt meant by packing up? Was he at the scene of another police incident he was responding to? I don't know.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Deponent: Jeff Follmer Date: 1-17-19 To the Reporter: I have read the entire transcript of my Deposition taken in the captioned matter or the same has been read to me. I request that the following changes be entered upon the record for the reasons indicated. I have signed my name to the Errata Sheet and the
6 7 8 9 LO A L1 Q L2 L3 L4 L5 A L6 Q	Once again, what I'm talking about the we, does we mean Gorman and his partner or was Gorman in a single response car and we mean Gorman and some other patrol cars, if you know? I don't know. It says, packing up, be there soon. What is your understanding of what Officer Petitt meant by packing up? Was he at the scene of another police incident he was responding to? I don't know. You do know that Officer Petitt was on duty at	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Deponent: Jeff Follmer Date: 1-17-19 To the Reporter: I have read the entire transcript of my Deposition taken in the captioned matter or the same has been read to me. I request that the following changes be entered upon the record for the reasons indicated. I have signed my name to the Errata Sheet and the appropriate Certificate and authorize you to attach
6 7 8 9 10 A 11 Q 12 13 14 15 A 16 Q	Once again, what I'm talking about the we, does we mean Gorman and his partner or was Gorman in a single response car and we mean Gorman and some other patrol cars, if you know? I don't know. It says, packing up, be there soon. What is your understanding of what Officer Petitt meant by packing up? Was he at the scene of another police incident he was responding to? I don't know. You do know that Officer Petitt was on duty at the time of the text message reflected on	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Deponent: Jeff Follmer Date: 1-17-19 To the Reporter: I have read the entire transcript of my Deposition taken in the captioned matter or the same has been read to me. I request that the following changes be entered upon the record for the reasons indicated. I have signed my name to the Errata Sheet and the appropriate Certificate and authorize you to attach
6 7 8 9 LO A L1 Q L2 L3 L4 L5 A L6 Q	Once again, what I'm talking about the we, does we mean Gorman and his partner or was Gorman in a single response car and we mean Gorman and some other patrol cars, if you know? I don't know. It says, packing up, be there soon. What is your understanding of what Officer Petitt meant by packing up? Was he at the scene of another police incident he was responding to? I don't know. You do know that Officer Petitt was on duty at the time of the text message reflected on Exhibit E, correct?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Deponent: Jeff Follmer Date: 1-17-19 To the Reporter: I have read the entire transcript of my Deposition taken in the captioned matter or the same has been read to me. I request that the following changes be entered upon the record for the reasons indicated. I have signed my name to the Errata Sheet and th appropriate Certificate and authorize you to attach
6 7 8 9 10 A 11 Q 12 13 14 15 A 16 Q 17	Once again, what I'm talking about the we, does we mean Gorman and his partner or was Gorman in a single response car and we mean Gorman and some other patrol cars, if you know? I don't know. It says, packing up, be there soon. What is your understanding of what Officer Petitt meant by packing up? Was he at the scene of another police incident he was responding to? I don't know. You do know that Officer Petitt was on duty at the time of the text message reflected on Exhibit E, correct? Correct.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Deponent: Jeff Follmer Date: 1-17-19 To the Reporter: I have read the entire transcript of my Deposition taken in the captioned matter or the same has been read to me. I request that the following changes be entered upon the record for the reasons indicated. I have signed my name to the Errata Sheet and the appropriate Certificate and authorize you to attack both to the original transcript.
6 7 8 9 10 A 11 Q 12 13 14 15 A 16 Q 17 18 19 A 20 Q	Once again, what I'm talking about the we, does we mean Gorman and his partner or was Gorman in a single response car and we mean Gorman and some other patrol cars, if you know? I don't know. It says, packing up, be there soon. What is your understanding of what Officer Petitt meant by packing up? Was he at the scene of another police incident he was responding to? I don't know. You do know that Officer Petitt was on duty at the time of the text message reflected on Exhibit E, correct? Correct. Gorman responds take your time. Responds	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Deponent: Jeff Follmer Date: 1-17-19 To the Reporter: I have read the entire transcript of my Deposition taken in the captioned matter or the same has been read to me. I request that the following changes be entered upon the record for the reasons indicated. I have signed my name to the Errata Sheet and the appropriate Certificate and authorize you to attach both to the original transcript. Jeff Follmer
6 7 8 9 10 A 11 Q 12 13 14 15 A 16 Q 17 18 19 A 20 Q	Once again, what I'm talking about the we, does we mean Gorman and his partner or was Gorman in a single response car and we mean Gorman and some other patrol cars, if you know? I don't know. It says, packing up, be there soon. What is your understanding of what Officer Petitt meant by packing up? Was he at the scene of another police incident he was responding to? I don't know. You do know that Officer Petitt was on duty at the time of the text message reflected on Exhibit E, correct? Correct. Gorman responds take your time. Responds again, 20 minutes later, sorry for bothering	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Deponent: Jeff Follmer Date: 1-17-19 To the Reporter: I have read the entire transcript of my Deposition taken in the captioned matter or the same has been read to me. I request that the following changes be entered upon the record for the reasons indicated. I have signed my name to the Errata Sheet and the appropriate Certificate and authorize you to attack both to the original transcript.
6 7 8 9 10 A 11 Q 12 13 14 15 A 16 Q 17 18 19 A 20 Q 21	Once again, what I'm talking about the we, does we mean Gorman and his partner or was Gorman in a single response car and we mean Gorman and some other patrol cars, if you know? I don't know. It says, packing up, be there soon. What is your understanding of what Officer Petitt meant by packing up? Was he at the scene of another police incident he was responding to? I don't know. You do know that Officer Petitt was on duty at the time of the text message reflected on Exhibit E, correct? Correct. Gorman responds take your time. Responds again, 20 minutes later, sorry for bothering you, sir. Petitt and Gorman are both patrol	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Deponent: Jeff Follmer Date: 1-17-19 To the Reporter: I have read the entire transcript of my Deposition taken in the captioned matter or the same has been read to me. I request that the following changes be entered upon the record for the reasons indicated. I have signed my name to the Errata Sheet and the appropriate Certificate and authorize you to attach both to the original transcript.
6 7 8 9 10 A 11 Q 12 13 14 15 A 16 Q 17 18 19 A 20 Q 21 22 23	Once again, what I'm talking about the we, does we mean Gorman and his partner or was Gorman in a single response car and we mean Gorman and some other patrol cars, if you know? I don't know. It says, packing up, be there soon. What is your understanding of what Officer Petitt meant by packing up? Was he at the scene of another police incident he was responding to? I don't know. You do know that Officer Petitt was on duty at the time of the text message reflected on Exhibit E, correct? Correct. Gorman responds take your time. Responds again, 20 minutes later, sorry for bothering you, sir. Petitt and Gorman are both patrol officers; is that correct?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Deponent: Jeff Follmer Date: 1-17-19 To the Reporter: I have read the entire transcript of my Deposition taken in the captioned matter or the same has been read to me. I request that the following changes be entered upon the record for the reasons indicated. I have signed my name to the Errata Sheet and the appropriate Certificate and authorize you to attach both to the original transcript. Jeff Follmer Subscribed and sworn to before me this
6 7 8	Once again, what I'm talking about the we, does we mean Gorman and his partner or was Gorman in a single response car and we mean Gorman and some other patrol cars, if you know? I don't know. It says, packing up, be there soon. What is your understanding of what Officer Petitt meant by packing up? Was he at the scene of another police incident he was responding to? I don't know. You do know that Officer Petitt was on duty at the time of the text message reflected on Exhibit E, correct? Correct. Gorman responds take your time. Responds again, 20 minutes later, sorry for bothering you, sir. Petitt and Gorman are both patrol	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Deponent: Jeff Follmer Date: 1-17-19 To the Reporter: I have read the entire transcript of my Deposition taken in the captioned matter or the same has been read to me. I request that the following changes be entered upon the record for the reasons indicated. I have signed my name to the Errata Sheet and the appropriate Certificate and authorize you to attach both to the original transcript. Jeff Follmer Subscribed and sworn to before me this

Jeff Follmer City of Cleveland January 17, 2019

```
Page 57
 1
          I have read the foregoing transcript from page 1
   through page 59 and note the following corrections:
    PAGE-LINE
                 REQUESTED CHANGE
                                          REASON FOR CHANGE
 5
 6
 7
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25 Jeff Follmer
                                                    Page 58
 1 State of Ohio,
                              SS: CERTIFICATE
    County of Cuyahoga.
           I, Constance Versagi, Court Reporter and
 4 Notary Public in and for the State of Ohio, duly
 5
   commissioned and qualified, do hereby certify that
 6 the within named witness, Jeff Follmer,
 7 was by me first duly sworn to testify the truth, the
 8 whole truth, and nothing but the truth in the cause
 9
   aforesaid; that the testimony then given by him was
10 by me reduced to stenotypy/computer in the presence
11 of said witness, afterward transcribed, and that the
12 foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the
   testimony so given by him as aforesaid.
14
           I do further certify that this deposition was
15
   taken at the time and place in the foregoing caption
16
   specified, and was completed without adjournment.
17
          I do further certify that I am not a relative,
18 counsel, or attorney of either party, or otherwise
19
   Interested in the event of this action.
20
           IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my
21 hand and affixed my seal of office at Cleveland,
    Ohio, on this 18th day of January, 2019.
23
           Constance Versagi, Court Reporter and
Notary Public in and for the State of Ohio.
My Commission expires January 14, 2023.
24
25
```

A
Aaron (16) 10:21;12:20,24;13:4 16,21;14:2,22;16:23: 17:2,15,24;28:25; 37:20,22;39:7
abeyance (1) 21:17 ability (1)
35:22 able (3) 36:1,8;44:21 academy (1) 5:9
accurate (7) 6:2;16:1;17:14; 24:19;25:1;35:6;44:5 accusation (2)
39:24;40:13 act (1) 50:12
actions (1) 37:19 acts (1) 37:2
actually (6) 19:9;25:21;30:22; 34:18;45:13;52:25
administering (2) 31:23;32:5 administrative (3) 14:16;41:6,10
administratively (1) 26:2 advance (2)
32:22,25 advise (1) 55:19 Affairs (3)
35:9;41:2,7 affect (1) 37:11
affected (2) 37:13,21 affecting (1) 13:9
afternoon (1) 4:7 again (10) 6:4;13:1,11;14:19;
15:1;19:16,24;22:6; 54:5,21 against (4)
7:3,13,25;40:3 age (2) 4:2;49:23 ago (2)
5:11;46:25 agree (3) 13:19;26:23;46:1 agreed (1)
<i>∂</i> (−/

21:16	
Agreement (18)	
6:15;15:6,22;16:2,8,	
11;21:1;25:8;26:21; 31:4,6,25;32:18,23;	
33:15;36:3,11;44:23	
ahead (22)	
8:20;12:9;14:14; 22:3,12,24;25:16;	
29:19;30:9;33:16,22;	
36:6;37:14;40:22;	
41:25;42:16;45:10,24;	
49:10;50:17;52:24; 54:2	
aid (1)	
33:11	
allegation (1) 19:18	
allege (1)	
11:24	
alleged (1) 38:17	
36:17 along (2)	
10:13;17:13	
always (2)	
8:12;28:16 Amendment (25)	
11:5,13,14,23;12:8,	
17,21;13:3,5,13,16,21,	
24;14:20;18:4,4;19:19; 22:6,20;29:11,13,20;	
34:22;42:13,15	
American (5)	
30:4;41:23;52:20; 55:5,11	
Americans (1)	
42:6	
answered (1)	
42:21 apparently (1)	
53:18	
appears (1)	
16:5 approved (2)	L
44:8,12	
April (3)	t.
15:11,14;30:5 Arab (9)	
30:4,24;41:23;42:6;	
49:13;52:10,20;55:5,	
11	
Arabic (2) 29:25;53:2	
arbitration (11)	
16:13;20:21,24;21:4,	
15,17;23:11,14,22; 24:4;25:14	
24:4;23:14 arbitrations (1)	
4:12	
arbitrator (19)	
23:20;24:1,2,3,4,9, 12,16,18,21;25:5,13,	
19;26:14,15;30:23;	
	1

36:17,18,21
arise (1) 15:1
arrest (1)
30:3
Article (10) 16:6,16,17;20:16;
44:19;45:6,12,13,18,21 aside (5)
29:11,13,20;50:14;
52:19 aspect (1)
28:25
assert (1) 12:20
assigned (4)
24:1,3,3;26:15 assignment (1)
31:13
Association (3) 5:14;6:1;15:24
assume (1)
52:19 assuming (1)
40:25
attempt (1) 12:19
attempting (1)
30:3 attorney (2)
28:20,22 attorneys (4)
27:13,15,24;29:3
authority (1) 26:18
Avery (4)
27:14,23;29:2,8 award (3)
24:22;25:5,13
aware (1) 35:3
away (1)
40:16
В
back (11)
5:23;13:12;14:1;
21:12;22:2;24:23; 26:18;31:1,2;42:20;
51:23 bad (1)
40:16
Badge (1) 4:25
Bargaining (23)
6:15;12:22;15:6,22;
16:2,8,11;20:25;25:8; 26:21;31:4,6,24;32:18,
23;33:14;35:15,16; 36:3 10:37:21:44:22

	22.10.22.14.15
	22:10;33:14,15 basis (8)
	19:17;21:25;22:7; 32:14;37:13;44:17;
	45:4,18
	beat (1)
	50:16
ı	Beck (1)
L	5:24
	beginning (3) 31:2;50:21,25
	behalf (9)
	10:20;11:16;13:16;
	17:16;30:7;32:4;37:9, 10;44:21
	belief (1)
	44:17
	bell (1)
	39:23
	bench (1)
	23:15
	benefits (4)
	25:6;26:20,24;27:3
	best (2)
	4:16;37:15
	better (1)
	44:4
	Bill (1)
	27:15
	bit (2)
	29:23;52:9
	Bob (1)
	5:23
	both (9)
	23:16,18;32:19,20;
	33:17;38:23;44:22;
	46:16;54:22
	bother (3)
	49:6;55:3,9
	bothering (1)
	54:21
	bottom (4)
	9:10;53:10;55:1,2
	break (1)
	43:20
	brief (1)
	31:1
	briefs (1)
	23:22
	bring (3)
	13:15;28:17;44:21
	bringing (1)
	37:8
	broke (3)
	40:7,19,23
	brought (1)
	40:2
	Bylaws (1)
,	44:6
	~
	C
•	

Jeff Follmer January 17, 2019
27:24;47:13,20 called (1)
9:17
Calvin (2)
9:1;19:6
came (3) 38:2,23;48:13
camera (1)
50:10
Can (21) 4:23;10:5,12;15:21;
16:6;17:5;18:12;19:24;
24:4,7,9,12,18;39:13;
40:11;41:11;42:17;
46:4,4;49:19,23 Captain (1)
9:11
car (1)
54:7 care (1)
32:9
cars (1)
54:8
case (11) 10:23;12:19;23:20,
25;32:15;34:20;36:1;
41:22;42:14;43:16,18
categorize (1) 13:8
cause (4)
16:18;24:7,10,13
cell (2) 22:16,17
certain (1)
8:14 certified (1)
4:3
chain (1)
8:24 challenged (1)
25:25
challenges (2)
17:20,23 challenging (1)
20:7
changes (1) 44:11
characterize (5)
8:18;13:6;30:4;31:3;
32:3 characterized (1)
10:16
charge (4)
18:16,17;29:16;46:9 charges (6)
38:14;40:2;41:3,8;
48:13;52:13
charter (8) 32:11,15;33:1,13;
35:12;44:19,24;45:20
Chief (7)
 8:25;9:3,4,10,10,11; 19:5
17.5

36:3,10;37:21;44:22,

call (3)

23

based (3)

City of Cleveland		1		January 17, 2019
Chiefs (1)	9:11,16	11:4,5,10;32:10;33:3	17:1,17,20;19:10;	34:8,16,20;35:5;
9:6	Commissioner (1)	counts (4)	24:23;25:2;26:19;27:4,	40:10;41:15;42:5,8,20,
choose (1)	9:17	10:14,19,23;11:1	6;36:20;39:6,8;49:23	22,24;43:3;48:7
8:15	communication (1)	Couple (1)	days (1)	Discussion (1)
circle (1)	27:8	4:11	26:23	21:10
13:12	communications (1)	court (3)	December (4)	disparaging (4)
Circling (1)	28:21	4:15;23:16;26:1	5:18;6:8;7:5,16	30:21;38:18;43:7,11
31:1	community (5)	CPPA (74)	decision (1)	disposition (2)
circumstances (1)	52:10,20;53:2;55:5,	5:21;6:7,11,16,18,22,	25:14	19:5;20:8
30:1	11	25;7:3,8,11,15,19,23;	deem (1)	dispute (1)
City (36)	Complaint (9)	8:1,8,8,15,15;9:25;	25:6	26:14
5:1,7,24;6:16;11:25;	10:9,12,14;11:7,19;	10:19,23;11:16,24;	defend (3)	districts (1)
12:1;13:14,25;14:13;	21:24;33:4;37:9,19	12:16;13:6,9,13;14:2,	35:22,25;36:8	31:19
15:5,23;16:3,23;17:2,	complete (1)	6;15:1,5;16:3,7,25;	Defendant (4)	Division (10)
17;20:2;21:16;22:1,17;	26:18	17:15;19:18,21,25;	13:14,25;14:13;37:3	5:2;7:22;8:18,25;
23:8;24:7,10,13;25:21,	concerned (1)	20:13;21:16,20;23:8;	Defendant's (39)	9:21;28:4;43:8,12;
22;26:22;31:25;32:23;	47:23	25:12;26:16,22;27:2;	10:4;11:20;15:17,20;	47:9,14
34:5;35:5;36:3;37:3;	concluded (1)	28:2,3;30:7;31:7,13,14,	16:12;17:8,11;18:11;	document (5)
40:2;43:7;44:24;53:15	55:21	21;32:1,4,14,24;33:10,	19:2,7,14;20:7,9,15;	23:9;44:11;45:7,21;
Civil (1)	condone (1)	20;35:12,21;37:9,11,	21:2,14;23:10;25:9;	48:15
5:4	51:12	13,21;41:18;44:6,17,	26:17;27:1;29:17;	done (4)
civilian (1)	conduct (1)	21,24;45:4,19;48:2,5	30:19;32:12;33:3;	25:21,22;38:17;
41:19	37:3	CPPA's (17)	36:12;44:1;45:2,8,23;	44:14
civilians (1)	conference (1)	12:7,10;13:2,24;	46:3,11,13,18;48:16;	down (8) 5:25;9:3,9;13:18;
41:21 claim (10)	23:16 Connie (2)	14:12,20;21:21;22:7, 22;24:5;27:23;29:2,7;	51:23;52:1,22;53:5,10	30:22;50:13;53:14,18
11:2,3,6;18:4,5;	38:5;39:13	32:25;33:23;37:4;	Delguyd (1) 28:19	due (17)
22:21;34:12,12,13,13	Constitution (4)	44:24	departments (1)	11:2,3,12;14:9,10,12,
claiming (17)	11:13,15;36:23;44:6	crime (1)	31:19	21,23;16:23;21:21;
12:17;13:2,4,12,23;	Constitutional (3)	31:21	deposition (6)	22:7,9,21,22;33:19;
14:11,19,22;16:15,21,	12:12;13:21;35:17	crimes (2)	4:9;10:7,10,25;	34:12,21
22;22:22;25:12;33:23;	contained (7)	31:16,18	18:11;55:21	duly (1)
34:6,14,18	21:22;22:11;36:10;	criminal (10)	deprived (1)	4:2
claims (6)	44:19;45:6,20;52:21	23:2;25:22,23,24;	35:21	during (5)
11:1,12,14;13:16;	contending (1)	26:6;41:5,7,12,13,14	Deputy (3)	6:22;18:10;31:3;
14:8;33:19	21:20	CROSS-EXAMINATION (1)	9:4,6,10	44:15,15
class (1)	contesting (2)	4:5	designate (2)	duty (6)
5:9	19:22;20:1	culture (2)	8:16;31:12	6:6;46:16,20,22;
clause (1)	contract (2)	30:24;49:13	designated (7)	50:10;54:16
16:7	31:24;32:5	curious (1)	6:17,25;7:11,18;8:7,	
clear (2)	contractual (1)	37:1	15;31:13	${f E}$
13:1;27:12	12:13	current (8)	designation (1)	
Cleveland (21)	conversation (9)	5:17;8:1,5,7;15:14;	7:22	earlier (1)
5:2,14,24,25;11:25;	12:5;28:7,11;34:2;	16:2;25:15;45:6	detail (1)	48:5
13:14,25;14:13;15:23,	38:20,22;39:5;52:17,	currently (8)	20:12	eastern (2)
24;16:3;17:17;20:2;	18	5:1,13;8:25;9:6;	detective (2)	53:19;55:2
22:2;23:8;31:25;32:24;	conversations (8)	16:25;20:24;21:4,16	5:1;31:17	educate (1)
35:5;36:4;37:3;53:15	26:3;27:19,20;28:9,	cycle (1) 31:7	difference (1)	35:22
close (2) 19:16;40:11	24;29:5;39:2,7	31./	34:11 different (2)	educated (3) 29:23;49:12;53:8
club (1)	copy (5) 10:9;16:1;17:6,14;	D	39:8;48:8	29:23;49:12;53:8 education (2)
53:15	44:5	В	disagree (3)	33:12;52:9
Collective (19)	corrected (1)	damages (4)	18:8;36:14,16	effect (1)
6:15;15:6,22;16:2,8,	54:3	25:12,18;37:6,7	disciplinary (6)	44:7
11;20:25;25:7;26:21;	corrective (1)	date (1)	14:17,18;23:17;28:1,	either (6)
31:3,5,24;32:18,22;	47:19	48:21	5,8	27:23;29:1;33:23;
33:14;35:16;36:2,10;	correctly (4)	dated (1)	discipline (21)	43:11;44:8;51:5
44:23	11:17;12:16;41:20;	15:14	14:16;16:17;17:16;	else (6)
combination (1)	53:22	dates (1)	21:24,25;22:5,10;23:1;	13:17;26:12;35:18;
24:15	counsel (2)	17:6	24:11,14;28:13,16;	41:8;42:11;49:21
coming (1)	27:10;29:8	David (1)	35:1;37:5,20;38:10,12;	enabled (1)
50:3	Count (10)	8:4	47:13,15;48:4,11	41:1
Commander (2)	10:16,16,17,18,19;	day (13)	disciplined (13)	end (2)

Case: 1:18-cv-01678-JG Doc #: 21 Filed: 01/28/19 19 of 24. PageID #: 239 City of Cleveland Jeff Follmer January 17, 2019

City of Cleveland				January 17, 2019
50:21,25	8:18;13:23;23:23;	50:12	22;53:12;54:1,3,6,7,8,	48:12
enough (2)	35:23;42:24	follows (1)	20,22;55:1,15	Hello (1)
30:23,24	fall (1)	4:4	G-O-R-M-A-N (1)	4:8
entire (3)	33:17	food (1)	26:13	hereinafter (1)
17:23;20:8;22:7	familiar (3)	8:24	Gorman's (2)	4:3
entirety (1)	17:24;30:1;39:22	foot (1)	38:24;48:4	hierarchy (1)
26:19	far (5)	40:20	Gotcha (1)	9:9
entitled (1)	5:23;14:5;47:22,24;	force (2)	41:15	hitting (1)
25:7	49:12	43:14,16	GPO (3)	40:17
equal (5)	F-A-R-D-A-R-K-O (1)	forget (1)	47:18,22,24	Hold (2)
33:20,23,25;34:11,	39:14	8:12	grab (1)	17:7;24:13
13	far-fetched (1)	forth (1)	17:5	honestly (2)
equate (1)	49:22	14:1	great (1)	22:15;23:25
9:15	F-E (1)	forward (2)	20:12	
		28:12;37:17		host (1) 37:6
Equipment (1)	39:15		grievance (18)	
42:3	F-E-D-O-R-K-A (1)	found (4)	16:12,25;17:15;	hour (1)
equivalent (1)	39:18	17:25;19:12;40:3;	20:13,14,16,19,20;	49:4
9:16	Fedorko (4)	41:16	21:13,22;23:6,7;24:6;	hundreds (1)
Eric (1)	37:25;39:12;42:14,	four (3)	25:14;26:16;27:1;36:2,	4:12
8:10	22	9:6;31:10;48:23	9	hurt (3)
essentially (2)	feel (1)	Fraternal (1)	grieve (3)	39:25;40:14,15
18:3;31:14	25:18	9:22	47:25;48:4,6	Hustler (3)
even (1)	few (1)	freedom (1)	group (1)	53:14,14,18
4:20	46:25	11:5	39:3	22.1.,1.,10
everybody's (1)	fight (1)	frequently (1)	guess (4)	I
12:14	14:24	4:20	31:4;40:24;52:5,7	•
	fighting (5)	Friedman (1)		:Jac (2)
evidence (1)			guilt (2)	idea (2)
23:18	31:16,20;39:25;40:7,	29:2	19:23;20:2	29:22;52:13
Exactly (1)	8	front (2)	guilty (5)	identification (25)
49:4	figure (1)	18:6,25	17:25;19:12;24:18;	10:4;11:20;15:18,20;
examined (1)	34:17	full (4)	29:15;30:18	17:9,11;18:10;19:1;
4:3	file (1)	4:24;7:21;8:8;28:3	guy (1)	20:6,9;21:1,14;23:10;
exchange (4)	20:19	full-time (3)	40:16	25:9;26:16;29:17;
46:6,17;53:11;54:25	filed (2)	6:17,25;7:10		30:19;36:12;44:1;45:3,
Exhibit (40)	17:15;37:18	further (1)	H	8,22;46:3,10;48:16
10:5;11:21;15:17,21;	files (1)	55:19		identify (3)
16:12;17:8,12;18:11,	20:13	future (1)	haha (3)	15:21;44:3;46:5
20;19:7,14;20:7,10,15;	find (5)	13:8	49:6;55:3,10	impose (2)
21:2,15;23:11;25:10;	24:18;52:4,5;53:2;	13.0	haji (11)	24:10;49:21
26:17;27:2;29:18;	55:5	G	29:22;30:21,25;49:6,	
	finding (2)	G		imposed (3)
30:20;32:13;33:4;		(1)	12;52:6,6,8;53:8;55:3,	16:18;17:2;19:9
36:13;44:2;45:2,9,23;	19:22;20:1	gap (1)	10	imposing (1)
46:4,11,13,18;48:17;	first (36)	40:11	hall (5)	24:13
51:23;52:1,23;53:5,10;	4:2;6:6,10,11,13,22;	general (5)	6:18,25;7:19,23;	improper (3)
54:18	7:7,7,18;8:5;11:5,14,	11:9;33:12;47:14;	31:13	49:9;51:1,6
Exhibits (1)	23;12:7,17,20;13:2,5,	50:14,15	hand (4)	incident (4)
19:2	13,15,24;18:2,3,4;	generally (2)	15:19;17:10;18:9;	38:21;41:4;48:22;
exists (1)	19:16,19;22:6;29:11,	15:8,11	43:25	54:14
33:10	13,20;34:22;38:2;40:5;	gets (1)	happens (1)	incidents (1)
exonerate (1)	42:13,15;52:11	8:15	13:7	37:12
24:16	Flats (1)	Given (1)	hard (1)	incorrect (1)
exonerates (1)	53:15	54:25	53:19	42:19
24:21	Flint (2)	giving (1)	head (2)	indicates (1)
explain (2)	8:10;31:19	53:19	4:19;35:19	16:17
11:9;34:10	F-L-I-N-T (1)	goes (3)	4.19,33.19 hearing (11)	individual (1)
				` '
explanation (1)	8:10	9:10;20:20;33:5	14:17,18;23:18,22;	43:6
23:23	flip (1)	Gonzalez (1)	24:4;28:1,5,8;35:24;	individuals (1)
	15:25	7:19	36:18;48:11	43:12
\mathbf{F}	FOLLMER (2)	Good (1)	hearings (1)	injured (1)
	4:1,25	4:7	28:18	40:6
F-A (2)	F-O-L-L-M-E-R (1)	Gorman (21)	hedonic (1)	in-offensive (3)
	4.05	12:3;26:10,13;38:11,	37:6	49:8;50:22;51:5
39:15,16	4:25	12:5;20:10,15;56:11,	37.0	49.0,30.22,31.3
39:15,16 Fair (5)	4:25 follow (1)	22;39:2,5;46:7,16,19,	held (1)	instructions (1)

Case: 1:18-cv-01678-JG Doc #: 21 Filed: 01/28/19 20 of 24. PageID #: 240

Aaron Petitt, et al. v.

Jeff Follmer

Lanuary 17, 2019

4:21				January 17, 2019
7.21	7:9	27:10;29:8;34:11;	30:18;36:11;43:25;	49:4
intended (1)	kind (4)	35:16	45:2,7,22;46:2,10;	might (2)
50:6	15:1;35:8;38:12;	letter (11)	48:15	28:18;39:17
interaction (1)	47:10	18:16,18;19:5,9;	marking (2)	Mike (1)
30:3	KLEBANOW (38)	20:8;29:16;38:13,16;	15:19;17:10	17:5
interesting (1)	8:20;12:9;14:14;	46:10;47:1,25	matters (3)	military (1)
43:16	17:4;18:15,19,22;21:7;	letters (1)	8:8,9;35:13	8:22
Internal (3)	22:3,12,24;25:16;	47:15	may (9)	Mine (1)
35:9;41:2,7	27:12,18,22;29:9,19;	level (3)	13:17;17:2,18;22:2;	18:13
into (7)	30:9;33:16,22;36:6;	9:16;20:24;21:15	24:22;25:6;26:20;44:7,	minute (2)
11:11;12:3;14:16,17;	37:14;39:17,21;41:25;	Lieutenant (1)	8	10:5;43:21
20:15;23:1;26:2	42:16;43:20;45:10,16,	9:11	Maybe (4)	minutes (2)
investigating (1)	24;48:18;49:10,19;	life (1)	17:12;40:13,15;	46:25;54:21
31:18	50:8,17;52:24;54:2;	15:8	42:21	months (1)
investigation (8)	55:20	line (1)	mean (9)	31:10
23:2;25:23,23,24;	knew (1)	31:5	33:3,20;35:11,14;	more (5)
26:5,6;37:16;38:11	50:12	list (2)	49:21;50:2,15;54:6,7	36:7,19;43:19;52:25;
investigations (1)	knows (1)	35:23,23	meaning (2)	55:7
35:10	50:9	little (4)	17:24;50:15	Most (3)
involve (2)	K-R-A- (1)	28:10;29:23;49:22;	means (7)	9:20,20;33:8
26:6,9	38:6	52:9	34:14;37:17;49:16,	mostly (1)
involving (2)	Kraynik (6)			28:10
42:9,14	37:25;38:4,12,23;	Lodge (1) 9:23	18;52:6;53:14;54:1 meant (2)	much (1)
				` ′
issue (7)	39:6;46:24	LOL (1)	29:22;54:13	43:19
20:4;25:15;26:20;	Kraynik's (1)	55:15	media (3)	must (1)
29:11,14;36:16;42:14	47:25	long (3)	51:3,7,10	48:20
issued (3)	-	4:22;5:7,11	Medina (6)	mutual (1)
21:25;22:1,17	\mathbf{L}	look (10)	8:4;28:6,15,19;29:5;	33:11
issues (5)		10:5,12;18:12;27:1;	31:18	
12:13;29:21;36:19,	labor (2)	32:10,11;44:2;46:4;	M-E-D-I-N-A (1)	N
22;42:2	31:23;32:5	50:18;53:9	8:4	
issuing (1)	language (2)	looking (9)	member (4)	name (4)
22:9	41:24;42:2	10:3;14:4,5;18:15;	12:22;13:6;14:5;	4:24,24;39:22;51:16
	largely (1)	33:8;34:4;41:7;46:2;	15:3	nationality (1)
${f J}$	31:23	48:15	members (13)	42:9
	last (7)	Loomis (2)	13:7,9,11,22;14:25;	nature (4)
January (4)	4:24;20:21;21:12;	6:3,4	33:13;35:13;37:4,9,11,	42:23,25;47:12,22
5:18;6:7;7:4,15	23:7,11;37:1;42:20	Loomis' (3)	13,22;44:22	necessarily (1)
Jared (3)	later (2)	6:6,22;44:15	members' (1)	31:5
27:23;29:2,8	15:12;54:21	loop (1)		
		100h (1)	12:14	negative (1)
JEFF (8)		19:17		negative (1) 30:25
JEFF (8) 4:1,7,25;18:21,25;	laugh (1) 55:15	19:17	12:14 mentioned (1) 43:1	30:25
4:1,7,25;18:21,25;	laugh (1) 55:15	19:17 loss (2)	mentioned (1) 43:1	30:25 neither (1)
4:1,7,25;18:21,25; 21:12;43:25;53:9	laugh (1) 55:15 law (1)	19:17 loss (2) 37:5,5	mentioned (1) 43:1 Menzalora (13)	30:25 neither (1) 10:25
4:1,7,25;18:21,25; 21:12;43:25;53:9 job (2)	laugh (1) 55:15 law (1) 41:13	19:17 loss (2) 37:5,5 lot (2)	mentioned (1) 43:1 Menzalora (13) 4:6;17:5;18:17,20,	30:25 neither (1) 10:25 next (1)
4:1,7,25;18:21,25; 21:12;43:25;53:9 job (2) 12:12;27:25	laugh (1) 55:15 law (1) 41:13 lawful (1)	19:17 loss (2) 37:5,5 lot (2) 28:16;32:6	mentioned (1) 43:1 Menzalora (13) 4:6;17:5;18:17,20, 24;21:9,11;27:17;	30:25 neither (1) 10:25 next (1) 37:1
4:1,7,25;18:21,25; 21:12;43:25;53:9 job (2) 12:12;27:25 Joe (1)	laugh (1) 55:15 law (1) 41:13 lawful (1) 4:2	19:17 loss (2) 37:5,5 lot (2) 28:16;32:6 loud (1)	mentioned (1) 43:1 Menzalora (13) 4:6;17:5;18:17,20, 24;21:9,11;27:17; 43:22,24;51:20,22;	30:25 neither (1) 10:25 next (1) 37:1 non-disciplinary (6)
4:1,7,25;18:21,25; 21:12;43:25;53:9 job (2) 12:12;27:25 Joe (1) 28:19	laugh (1) 55:15 law (1) 41:13 lawful (1) 4:2 laws (2)	19:17 loss (2) 37:5,5 lot (2) 28:16;32:6	mentioned (1) 43:1 Menzalora (13) 4:6;17:5;18:17,20, 24;21:9,11;27:17; 43:22,24;51:20,22; 55:18	30:25 neither (1) 10:25 next (1) 37:1 non-disciplinary (6) 47:1,5,8,12,16,21
4:1,7,25;18:21,25; 21:12;43:25;53:9 job (2) 12:12;27:25 Joe (1) 28:19 John (2)	laugh (1) 55:15 law (1) 41:13 lawful (1) 4:2 laws (2) 12:13;35:16	19:17 loss (2) 37:5,5 lot (2) 28:16;32:6 loud (1) 55:16	mentioned (1) 43:1 Menzalora (13) 4:6;17:5;18:17,20, 24;21:9,11;27:17; 43:22,24;51:20,22; 55:18 message (22)	30:25 neither (1) 10:25 next (1) 37:1 non-disciplinary (6) 47:1,5,8,12,16,21 none (1)
4:1,7,25;18:21,25; 21:12;43:25;53:9 job (2) 12:12;27:25 Joe (1) 28:19 John (2) 37:25;38:4	laugh (1) 55:15 law (1) 41:13 lawful (1) 4:2 laws (2) 12:13;35:16 lawsuit (15)	19:17 loss (2) 37:5,5 lot (2) 28:16;32:6 loud (1)	mentioned (1) 43:1 Menzalora (13) 4:6;17:5;18:17,20, 24;21:9,11;27:17; 43:22,24;51:20,22; 55:18 message (22) 23:4;34:9;39:5,7;	30:25 neither (1) 10:25 next (1) 37:1 non-disciplinary (6) 47:1,5,8,12,16,21 none (1) 27:25
4:1,7,25;18:21,25; 21:12;43:25;53:9 job (2) 12:12;27:25 Joe (1) 28:19 John (2) 37:25;38:4 jump (1)	laugh (1) 55:15 law (1) 41:13 lawful (1) 4:2 laws (2) 12:13;35:16 lawsuit (15) 19:21,25;20:4;21:5,	19:17 loss (2) 37:5,5 lot (2) 28:16;32:6 loud (1) 55:16	mentioned (1) 43:1 Menzalora (13) 4:6;17:5;18:17,20, 24;21:9,11;27:17; 43:22,24;51:20,22; 55:18 message (22) 23:4;34:9;39:5,7; 41:22;46:6,17;48:19;	30:25 neither (1) 10:25 next (1) 37:1 non-disciplinary (6) 47:1,5,8,12,16,21 none (1) 27:25 normal (1)
4:1,7,25;18:21,25; 21:12;43:25;53:9 job (2) 12:12;27:25 Joe (1) 28:19 John (2) 37:25;38:4 jump (1) 35:20	laugh (1) 55:15 law (1) 41:13 lawful (1) 4:2 laws (2) 12:13;35:16 lawsuit (15) 19:21,25;20:4;21:5, 18;25:15;27:11,21;	19:17 loss (2) 37:5,5 lot (2) 28:16;32:6 loud (1) 55:16 M	mentioned (1) 43:1 Menzalora (13) 4:6;17:5;18:17,20, 24;21:9,11;27:17; 43:22,24;51:20,22; 55:18 message (22) 23:4;34:9;39:5,7; 41:22;46:6,17;48:19; 49:5,14;50:20,25;51:4,	30:25 neither (1) 10:25 next (1) 37:1 non-disciplinary (6) 47:1,5,8,12,16,21 none (1) 27:25 normal (1) 29:7
4:1,7,25;18:21,25; 21:12;43:25;53:9 job (2) 12:12;27:25 Joe (1) 28:19 John (2) 37:25;38:4 jump (1) 35:20 June (1)	laugh (1) 55:15 law (1) 41:13 lawful (1) 4:2 laws (2) 12:13;35:16 lawsuit (15) 19:21,25;20:4;21:5, 18;25:15;27:11,21; 29:1,6;32:21;37:13;	19:17 loss (2) 37:5,5 lot (2) 28:16;32:6 loud (1) 55:16 M makes (1) 23:20	mentioned (1) 43:1 Menzalora (13) 4:6;17:5;18:17,20, 24;21:9,11;27:17; 43:22,24;51:20,22; 55:18 message (22) 23:4;34:9;39:5,7; 41:22;46:6,17;48:19; 49:5,14;50:20,25;51:4, 12;52:11,15,22;53:4,	30:25 neither (1) 10:25 next (1) 37:1 non-disciplinary (6) 47:1,5,8,12,16,21 none (1) 27:25 normal (1) 29:7 notice (1)
4:1,7,25;18:21,25; 21:12;43:25;53:9 job (2) 12:12;27:25 Joe (1) 28:19 John (2) 37:25;38:4 jump (1) 35:20	laugh (1) 55:15 law (1) 41:13 lawful (1) 4:2 laws (2) 12:13;35:16 lawsuit (15) 19:21,25;20:4;21:5, 18;25:15;27:11,21; 29:1,6;32:21;37:13; 44:18,21;45:6	19:17 loss (2) 37:5,5 lot (2) 28:16;32:6 loud (1) 55:16 M makes (1) 23:20 male (2)	mentioned (1) 43:1 Menzalora (13) 4:6;17:5;18:17,20, 24;21:9,11;27:17; 43:22,24;51:20,22; 55:18 message (22) 23:4;34:9;39:5,7; 41:22;46:6,17;48:19; 49:5,14;50:20,25;51:4, 12;52:11,15,22;53:4, 11;54:17,25;55:9	30:25 neither (1) 10:25 next (1) 37:1 non-disciplinary (6) 47:1,5,8,12,16,21 none (1) 27:25 normal (1) 29:7 notice (1) 35:24
4:1,7,25;18:21,25; 21:12;43:25;53:9 job (2) 12:12;27:25 Joe (1) 28:19 John (2) 37:25;38:4 jump (1) 35:20 June (1) 44:8	laugh (1) 55:15 law (1) 41:13 lawful (1) 4:2 laws (2) 12:13;35:16 lawsuit (15) 19:21,25;20:4;21:5, 18;25:15;27:11,21; 29:1,6;32:21;37:13; 44:18,21;45:6 lawyers (1)	19:17 loss (2) 37:5,5 lot (2) 28:16;32:6 loud (1) 55:16 M makes (1) 23:20 male (2) 29:25;30:5	mentioned (1) 43:1 Menzalora (13) 4:6;17:5;18:17,20, 24;21:9,11;27:17; 43:22,24;51:20,22; 55:18 message (22) 23:4;34:9;39:5,7; 41:22;46:6,17;48:19; 49:5,14;50:20,25;51:4, 12;52:11,15,22;53:4, 11;54:17,25;55:9 messages (16)	30:25 neither (1) 10:25 next (1) 37:1 non-disciplinary (6) 47:1,5,8,12,16,21 none (1) 27:25 normal (1) 29:7 notice (1) 35:24 notwithstanding (1)
4:1,7,25;18:21,25; 21:12;43:25;53:9 job (2) 12:12;27:25 Joe (1) 28:19 John (2) 37:25;38:4 jump (1) 35:20 June (1)	laugh (1) 55:15 law (1) 41:13 lawful (1) 4:2 laws (2) 12:13;35:16 lawsuit (15) 19:21,25;20:4;21:5, 18;25:15;27:11,21; 29:1,6;32:21;37:13; 44:18,21;45:6 lawyers (1) 27:22	19:17 loss (2) 37:5,5 lot (2) 28:16;32:6 loud (1) 55:16 M makes (1) 23:20 male (2) 29:25;30:5 management (1)	mentioned (1) 43:1 Menzalora (13) 4:6;17:5;18:17,20, 24;21:9,11;27:17; 43:22,24;51:20,22; 55:18 message (22) 23:4;34:9;39:5,7; 41:22;46:6,17;48:19; 49:5,14;50:20,25;51:4, 12;52:11,15,22;53:4, 11;54:17,25;55:9 messages (16) 22:10;36:16;38:25;	30:25 neither (1) 10:25 next (1) 37:1 non-disciplinary (6) 47:1,5,8,12,16,21 none (1) 27:25 normal (1) 29:7 notice (1) 35:24 notwithstanding (1) 4:21
4:1,7,25;18:21,25; 21:12;43:25;53:9 job (2) 12:12;27:25 Joe (1) 28:19 John (2) 37:25;38:4 jump (1) 35:20 June (1) 44:8	laugh (1) 55:15 law (1) 41:13 lawful (1) 4:2 laws (2) 12:13;35:16 lawsuit (15) 19:21,25;20:4;21:5, 18;25:15;27:11,21; 29:1,6;32:21;37:13; 44:18,21;45:6 lawyers (1) 27:22 leader (1)	19:17 loss (2) 37:5,5 lot (2) 28:16;32:6 loud (1) 55:16 M makes (1) 23:20 male (2) 29:25;30:5 management (1) 16:16	mentioned (1) 43:1 Menzalora (13) 4:6;17:5;18:17,20, 24;21:9,11;27:17; 43:22,24;51:20,22; 55:18 message (22) 23:4;34:9;39:5,7; 41:22;46:6,17;48:19; 49:5,14;50:20,25;51:4, 12;52:11,15,22;53:4, 11;54:17,25;55:9 messages (16) 22:10;36:16;38:25; 40:1,10;41:15,18;42:5,	30:25 neither (1) 10:25 next (1) 37:1 non-disciplinary (6) 47:1,5,8,12,16,21 none (1) 27:25 normal (1) 29:7 notice (1) 35:24 notwithstanding (1) 4:21 number (1)
4:1,7,25;18:21,25; 21:12;43:25;53:9 job (2) 12:12;27:25 Joe (1) 28:19 John (2) 37:25;38:4 jump (1) 35:20 June (1) 44:8	laugh (1) 55:15 law (1) 41:13 lawful (1) 4:2 laws (2) 12:13;35:16 lawsuit (15) 19:21,25;20:4;21:5, 18;25:15;27:11,21; 29:1,6;32:21;37:13; 44:18,21;45:6 lawyers (1) 27:22 leader (1) 52:9	19:17 loss (2) 37:5,5 lot (2) 28:16;32:6 loud (1) 55:16 M makes (1) 23:20 male (2) 29:25;30:5 management (1) 16:16 March (4)	mentioned (1) 43:1 Menzalora (13) 4:6;17:5;18:17,20, 24;21:9,11;27:17; 43:22,24;51:20,22; 55:18 message (22) 23:4;34:9;39:5,7; 41:22;46:6,17;48:19; 49:5,14;50:20,25;51:4, 12;52:11,15,22;53:4, 11;54:17,25;55:9 messages (16) 22:10;36:16;38:25; 40:1,10;41:15,18;42:5, 8,23;43:1,10,11;48:18,	30:25 neither (1) 10:25 next (1) 37:1 non-disciplinary (6) 47:1,5,8,12,16,21 none (1) 27:25 normal (1) 29:7 notice (1) 35:24 notwithstanding (1) 4:21
4:1,7,25;18:21,25; 21:12;43:25;53:9 job (2) 12:12;27:25 Joe (1) 28:19 John (2) 37:25;38:4 jump (1) 35:20 June (1) 44:8 K	laugh (1) 55:15 law (1) 41:13 lawful (1) 4:2 laws (2) 12:13;35:16 lawsuit (15) 19:21,25;20:4;21:5, 18;25:15;27:11,21; 29:1,6;32:21;37:13; 44:18,21;45:6 lawyers (1) 27:22 leader (1) 52:9 least (5)	19:17 loss (2) 37:5,5 lot (2) 28:16;32:6 loud (1) 55:16 M makes (1) 23:20 male (2) 29:25;30:5 management (1) 16:16 March (4) 5:8,12;15:12,15	mentioned (1) 43:1 Menzalora (13) 4:6;17:5;18:17,20, 24;21:9,11;27:17; 43:22,24;51:20,22; 55:18 message (22) 23:4;34:9;39:5,7; 41:22;46:6,17;48:19; 49:5,14;50:20,25;51:4, 12;52:11,15,22;53:4, 11;54:17,25;55:9 messages (16) 22:10;36:16;38:25; 40:1,10;41:15,18;42:5, 8,23;43:1,10,11;48:18, 19;51:25	30:25 neither (1) 10:25 next (1) 37:1 non-disciplinary (6) 47:1,5,8,12,16,21 none (1) 27:25 normal (1) 29:7 notice (1) 35:24 notwithstanding (1) 4:21 number (1) 24:5
4:1,7,25;18:21,25; 21:12;43:25;53:9 job (2) 12:12;27:25 Joe (1) 28:19 John (2) 37:25;38:4 jump (1) 35:20 June (1) 44:8 K kicked (1) 40:19 kicking (1)	laugh (1) 55:15 law (1) 41:13 lawful (1) 4:2 laws (2) 12:13;35:16 lawsuit (15) 19:21,25;20:4;21:5, 18;25:15;27:11,21; 29:1,6;32:21;37:13; 44:18,21;45:6 lawyers (1) 27:22 leader (1) 52:9 least (5) 10:15;40:18;47:18,	19:17 loss (2) 37:5,5 lot (2) 28:16;32:6 loud (1) 55:16 M makes (1) 23:20 male (2) 29:25;30:5 management (1) 16:16 March (4) 5:8,12;15:12,15 marked (24)	mentioned (1) 43:1 Menzalora (13) 4:6;17:5;18:17,20, 24;21:9,11;27:17; 43:22,24;51:20,22; 55:18 message (22) 23:4;34:9;39:5,7; 41:22;46:6,17;48:19; 49:5,14;50:20,25;51:4, 12;52:11,15,22;53:4, 11;54:17,25;55:9 messages (16) 22:10;36:16;38:25; 40:1,10;41:15,18;42:5, 8,23;43:1,10,11;48:18, 19;51:25 messaging (4)	30:25 neither (1) 10:25 next (1) 37:1 non-disciplinary (6) 47:1,5,8,12,16,21 none (1) 27:25 normal (1) 29:7 notice (1) 35:24 notwithstanding (1) 4:21 number (1)
4:1,7,25;18:21,25; 21:12;43:25;53:9 job (2) 12:12;27:25 Joe (1) 28:19 John (2) 37:25;38:4 jump (1) 35:20 June (1) 44:8 K kicked (1) 40:19 kicking (1)	laugh (1) 55:15 law (1) 41:13 lawful (1) 4:2 laws (2) 12:13;35:16 lawsuit (15) 19:21,25;20:4;21:5, 18;25:15;27:11,21; 29:1,6;32:21;37:13; 44:18,21;45:6 lawyers (1) 27:22 leader (1) 52:9 least (5) 10:15;40:18;47:18, 22;48:21	19:17 loss (2) 37:5,5 lot (2) 28:16;32:6 loud (1) 55:16 M makes (1) 23:20 male (2) 29:25;30:5 management (1) 16:16 March (4) 5:8,12;15:12,15 marked (24) 10:3;11:20;15:17;	mentioned (1) 43:1 Menzalora (13) 4:6;17:5;18:17,20, 24;21:9,11;27:17; 43:22,24;51:20,22; 55:18 message (22) 23:4;34:9;39:5,7; 41:22;46:6,17;48:19; 49:5,14;50:20,25;51:4, 12;52:11,15,22;53:4, 11;54:17,25;55:9 messages (16) 22:10;36:16;38:25; 40:1,10;41:15,18;42:5, 8,23;43:1,10,11;48:18, 19;51:25 messaging (4) 12:4,6;34:3;35:1	30:25 neither (1) 10:25 next (1) 37:1 non-disciplinary (6) 47:1,5,8,12,16,21 none (1) 27:25 normal (1) 29:7 notice (1) 35:24 notwithstanding (1) 4:21 number (1) 24:5
4:1,7,25;18:21,25; 21:12;43:25;53:9 job (2) 12:12;27:25 Joe (1) 28:19 John (2) 37:25;38:4 jump (1) 35:20 June (1) 44:8 K kicked (1) 40:19 kicking (1) 40:14 Kinas (1)	laugh (1) 55:15 law (1) 41:13 lawful (1) 4:2 laws (2) 12:13;35:16 lawsuit (15) 19:21,25;20:4;21:5, 18;25:15;27:11,21; 29:1,6;32:21;37:13; 44:18,21;45:6 lawyers (1) 27:22 leader (1) 52:9 least (5) 10:15;40:18;47:18, 22;48:21 led (1)	19:17 loss (2) 37:5,5 lot (2) 28:16;32:6 loud (1) 55:16 M makes (1) 23:20 male (2) 29:25;30:5 management (1) 16:16 March (4) 5:8,12;15:12,15 marked (24) 10:3;11:20;15:17; 17:8;18:9,13;19:1;	mentioned (1) 43:1 Menzalora (13) 4:6;17:5;18:17,20, 24;21:9,11;27:17; 43:22,24;51:20,22; 55:18 message (22) 23:4;34:9;39:5,7; 41:22;46:6,17;48:19; 49:5,14;50:20,25;51:4, 12;52:11,15,22;53:4, 11;54:17,25;55:9 messages (16) 22:10;36:16;38:25; 40:1,10;41:15,18;42:5, 8,23;43:1,10,11;48:18, 19;51:25 messaging (4) 12:4,6;34:3;35:1 middle (2)	30:25 neither (1) 10:25 next (1) 37:1 non-disciplinary (6) 47:1,5,8,12,16,21 none (1) 27:25 normal (1) 29:7 notice (1) 35:24 notwithstanding (1) 4:21 number (1) 24:5 O object (1)
4:1,7,25;18:21,25; 21:12;43:25;53:9 job (2) 12:12;27:25 Joe (1) 28:19 John (2) 37:25;38:4 jump (1) 35:20 June (1) 44:8 K kicked (1) 40:19 kicking (1) 40:14 Kinas (1) 7:9	laugh (1) 55:15 law (1) 41:13 lawful (1) 4:2 laws (2) 12:13;35:16 lawsuit (15) 19:21,25;20:4;21:5, 18;25:15;27:11,21; 29:1,6;32:21;37:13; 44:18,21;45:6 lawyers (1) 27:22 leader (1) 52:9 least (5) 10:15;40:18;47:18, 22;48:21 led (1) 41:3	19:17 loss (2) 37:5,5 lot (2) 28:16;32:6 loud (1) 55:16 M makes (1) 23:20 male (2) 29:25;30:5 management (1) 16:16 March (4) 5:8,12;15:12,15 marked (24) 10:3;11:20;15:17; 17:8;18:9,13;19:1; 20:6,8;21:1,13;23:9;	mentioned (1) 43:1 Menzalora (13) 4:6;17:5;18:17,20, 24;21:9,11;27:17; 43:22,24;51:20,22; 55:18 message (22) 23:4;34:9;39:5,7; 41:22;46:6,17;48:19; 49:5,14;50:20,25;51:4, 12;52:11,15,22;53:4, 11;54:17,25;55:9 messages (16) 22:10;36:16;38:25; 40:1,10;41:15,18;42:5, 8,23;43:1,10,11;48:18, 19;51:25 messaging (4) 12:4,6;34:3;35:1 middle (2) 53:19;55:1	30:25 neither (1) 10:25 next (1) 37:1 non-disciplinary (6) 47:1,5,8,12,16,21 none (1) 27:25 normal (1) 29:7 notice (1) 35:24 notwithstanding (1) 4:21 number (1) 24:5 O object (1) 40:16
4:1,7,25;18:21,25; 21:12;43:25;53:9 job (2) 12:12;27:25 Joe (1) 28:19 John (2) 37:25;38:4 jump (1) 35:20 June (1) 44:8 K kicked (1) 40:19 kicking (1) 40:14 Kinas (1)	laugh (1) 55:15 law (1) 41:13 lawful (1) 4:2 laws (2) 12:13;35:16 lawsuit (15) 19:21,25;20:4;21:5, 18;25:15;27:11,21; 29:1,6;32:21;37:13; 44:18,21;45:6 lawyers (1) 27:22 leader (1) 52:9 least (5) 10:15;40:18;47:18, 22;48:21 led (1)	19:17 loss (2) 37:5,5 lot (2) 28:16;32:6 loud (1) 55:16 M makes (1) 23:20 male (2) 29:25;30:5 management (1) 16:16 March (4) 5:8,12;15:12,15 marked (24) 10:3;11:20;15:17; 17:8;18:9,13;19:1;	mentioned (1) 43:1 Menzalora (13) 4:6;17:5;18:17,20, 24;21:9,11;27:17; 43:22,24;51:20,22; 55:18 message (22) 23:4;34:9;39:5,7; 41:22;46:6,17;48:19; 49:5,14;50:20,25;51:4, 12;52:11,15,22;53:4, 11;54:17,25;55:9 messages (16) 22:10;36:16;38:25; 40:1,10;41:15,18;42:5, 8,23;43:1,10,11;48:18, 19;51:25 messaging (4) 12:4,6;34:3;35:1 middle (2)	30:25 neither (1) 10:25 next (1) 37:1 non-disciplinary (6) 47:1,5,8,12,16,21 none (1) 27:25 normal (1) 29:7 notice (1) 35:24 notwithstanding (1) 4:21 number (1) 24:5 O object (1)

City of Cleveland				January 17, 2019
8:20;12:9;14:14;	51:4;53:7	14:25;39:10,11	12:1;14:15	15:20;35:12
22:3,12,24;25:16; 29:19;30:9;33:16,22;	opposed (1) 4:18	personal (3) 12:3;22:16,18	post (1) 23:22	purposes (29) 16:9;17:11;18:10;
36:6;37:14;41:25;	Order (2)	pertains (2)	predisciplinary (1)	19:2,21,25;20:6,9,25;
42:16;45:10,24;49:10,	9:22;47:15	10:19;49:13	48:11	21:1,14;22:6;23:10;
19;50:8,17;52:24;54:2	organization (2)	Petitt (54)	prepping (1)	25:9;26:17,25;29:17;
objectives (1)	8:19,22	10:21;12:24;13:10,	28:7	30:19;32:22;33:10;
32:25	original (1)	11,16,22;14:2;15:2;	presence (4)	36:12;44:1;45:8,22;
obligated (1)	26:4	17:2,24;21:21;22:1;	27:9,10;29:1,7	46:3,11;48:16,24;49:2
35:15	originally (1)	24:17,18,21,23;25:2,7,	present (5)	put (6)
observed (1)	41:14	13;26:7,18;27:9,21;	6:2;27:15;28:18,22;	5:9;21:17;23:17,18;
41:1	out (5)	28:14,15,25;29:6,15;	44:13	29:20;52:19
obviously (2)	31:16,18;34:17;53:2;	30:18;34:7,9,15,19;	presidency (1)	puts (1)
4:16;43:14	55:15	35:4,25;36:4,9;37:10,	44:15	7:4
Off (5)	outlet (2)	20,22;38:20,23;39:8;	President (16)	Putting (3)
21:9,10;31:10;35:19;	51:3,7	46:6,16,20;51:13,14,	5:13,17,21,25;6:6,10,	29:11,13;50:14
51:20	outside (13)	25;53:6,12;54:12,16,22	11,23;7:3,7,8,15,18;	27.11,13,30.14
offended (1)	12:2;23:3;25:18,24;	Petitt's (19)	8:2,5,11	Q
51:24				Q
	26:4;27:9,9,21;28:21;	10:7,25;12:3,20;	presidents (1)	. 1 (2)
offensive (21)	29:1,6;34:5;36:25	13:4;14:22;16:23;	6:3	quick (2)
29:25;30:8,10;41:23;	over (3)	17:15;18:4,11;19:18;	prevailed (1)	10:5;17:6
42:2,5,8,23,25;43:5;	28:10,11;41:9	22:8;23:3;27:4;28:5;	7:14	-
49:8;50:21;51:5;52:4,	Overnight (2)	30:8;33:25;48:19;49:5	previously (3)	R
5,7,23;53:3,6;55:6,10	48:24;49:2	phone (11)	10:4;19:1;46:2	
offer (1)	own (2)	22:11,16,17;23:2,4;	private (6)	race (1)
30:16	12:17;13:24	38:24;40:1,4,10;41:3,	12:5;23:4,4;26:2;	42:9
offering (2)		16	52:17,17	ran (3)
50:19,24	P	picked (1)	probably (2)	7:3,13,25
Officer (55)		34:2	4:13;53:1	rank (3)
5:5;7:10,21;8:7;	packing (2)	PIKE (1)	procedural (13)	5:4;9:3,25
9:12;10:1,7,21,24;	54:11,13	18:13	11:2,10,11;14:8,9,12,	reach (1)
12:20;13:9,11;18:10;	page (3)	plaintiff (14)	20,21,23;16:23;21:21;	21:15
19:18;21:20;22:1,8;	10:13;11:19;32:12	10:20;11:16;12:19;	22:7,8	read (4)
23:3;25:1,6,13;26:6,	paragraph (9)	13:15;28:25;32:15;	procedure (4)	11:11,16;53:22;
18;27:4,8,20;28:6;	32:12;33:5,5,8,10,	35:11,21;37:4;39:7;	20:14,16;21:23;23:7	55:20
29:5,6,14;30:7,17;	18;35:11,20;37:1	44:18;45:5,19;46:6	procedures (2)	reading (1)
			43:9,13	
33:13,25;34:9,19;35:4,	paragraphs (1)	platoon (1) 48:23		50:3
25;36:4,9;37:10,20;	33:8		process (24)	real (1)
41:19;42:14,21;46:7,	paramilitary (1)	platoons (1)	11:2,3,12;14:9,10,12,	17:6
24;47:25;50:4,10;	8:19	49:1	21,23;16:13,24;21:21;	Really (4)
51:13,25;53:5;54:12,	part (2)	play (1)	22:8,9,21,23;23:1,6,23;	27:25;34:20;52:6,8
16	14:2;40:5	20:15	29:24;33:19;34:12,21;	recall (6)
officers (12)	parties (2)	please (1)	36:2,9	28:8;42:18;47:7;
6:17,24;8:14;9:21;	15:5;23:21	18:21	promoted (2)	51:9,11;54:4
28:3;31:7,12,21;34:24;	partner (2)	Plus (1)	9:20,21	receive (1)
41:20;49:23;54:23	54:1,6	52:16	proper (4)	38:12
officers' (1)	party (5)	pm (1)	44:18;45:19;49:8;	received (3)
15:4	12:19;32:15;44:18;	55:21	51:5	17:17;38:10;47:4
once (7)	45:5,19	point (1)	protect (7)	Recess (2)
13:1,11;14:19;19:16;	Patrol (10)	40:18	12:14;15:3,4;34:24;	43:23;51:21
20:13;24:3;54:5	5:4;6:16,24;9:12;	Police (26)	35:22,25;36:8	recognition (1)
one (22)	10:1,20;12:20;46:7;	5:2,14;6:1;7:22;8:18,	protected (1)	16:7
7:10;11:2,3;14:24;	54:8,22	25;9:1,3,4,7,21,22,23;	12:13	record (13)
15:11,14;18:2;19:17;	Patrolmen's (3)	15:24;19:5;28:4;33:13;	protection (6)	4:23;11:11;13:1;
28:2,2;30:13;31:1;	5:14;6:1;15:24	34:24;43:8,12;47:9,14;	33:11,21,24,25;	20:13;21:9,10;25:1;
36:7,24;37:2;38:1,2;	pay (3)	49:23;50:3,10;54:14	34:12,13	35:8;44:3;46:5;48:24;
39:6;50:18;51:15;55:7,	24:23;27:3,5	Police's (1)	providing (1)	49:2;51:20
13		47:14	33:11	-
	pending (3)			reference (1)
only (3)	17:1;21:4,17	Policies (2)	provision (1)	32:10
10:19;20:3;40:25	people (6)	43:9,13	16:16	referenced (4)
opinion (10)	4:20;28:17;40:2;	policy (1)	public (1)	19:13;20:14;23:9;
23:21;29:14;30:13,	47:19;52:17;53:19	35:13	35:8	46:18
			/=:	
17;49:7,11;50:19,24;	person (3)	position (2)	purpose (2)	referring (3)

City of Cleveland				January 17, 2019
34:3;51:14;52:8	5:24;48:10	sent (4)	23:5;54:21	stuff (1)
			*	
reflected (2)	retraining (1)	47:5,9;51:12,25	speak (1)	43:13
19:6;54:17	47:11	separate (4)	33:9	subject (1)
regarding (9)	return (1)	12:18;38:21;39:2,4	Spec (1)	18:3
17:1,16;22:19,20;	27:3	Sergeant (1)	18:7	submit (1)
27:10,21;28:25;29:6;	right (6)	9:12	specifically (1)	23:21
42:6	14:24;29:22;32:10;	series (1)	51:16	substantive (5)
re-instruction (5)	35:2;45:5;52:10	29:12	specification (14)	11:3,12;14:8;22:21,
38:13,16;47:1,15;	rights (25)	served (2)	18:2;19:16,23,23;	22
48:1	12:8,14,15,18,22;	24:24;25:2	20:3,3,4;24:8,11,14;	suffer (1)
relating (1)	13:3,5,13,21,24;14:12,	Service (1)	29:15;30:18;38:19;	37:4
41:4	21,23;15:4,4;16:16,24;	5:4	46:9	support (1)
relationship (2)	19:19;21:22;22:9,23;	shaking (1)	specifications (13)	23:19
40:9;45:20	33:24,25;35:17;55:19	4:18	17:25;18:6;19:4,13;	sure (11)
relative (1)	ring (1)	S-H-A-W-N (1)	24:9,12,16,17,19,22;	12:12;26:23;28:19,
35:23	39:23	26:11	28:12;42:3;43:3	20;36:24;38:1;46:19;
release (4)	road (1)	shelf (1)	speech (1)	48:14;51:9,14;55:12
6:17,25;7:11;28:4	13:18	15:8	11:6	suspect (4)
remarks (2)	role (1)	shift (2)	speed (2)	22:19;40:8,14,19
38:18;43:7	13:15	48:21;49:3	10:13;17:12	suspension (11)
remedial (1)	room (1)	short (1)	spell (3)	17:1,18,20,23;19:10;
47:19	23:16	55:15	4:24;38:4;39:13	24:23;25:3;26:19;27:4,
remember (4)	route (1)	sides (2)	stand (1)	6;36:20
38:14;41:20;44:25;	53:20	23:17,18	54:3	sworn (1)
51:18	rule (2)	Signature (1)	start (1)	4:2
remind (1)	26:15;36:21	55:22	41:9	7.2
4:19	run (1)	similar (4)	starts (1)	T
rephrase (1)	31:6	23:15,17;34:8,9	33:4	1
28:22	31.0	similarly (5)	stated (1)	talk (3)
	S		51:3	, ,
reporter (2) 4:15;23:16	В	13:17;34:7,15,18; 35:4	statement (5)	14:7,9;53:1
represent (1)	same (7)	single (1)	24:19;33:14,15;35:6;	talked (4)
				33:19;34:21,22;
35:13	15:2;22:20,25;31:6;	54:7	42:24	44:20
35:13 represented (1)	15:2;22:20,25;31:6; 34:8;38:18,18	54:7 sit (1)	42:24 States (4)	44:20 talking (3)
35:13 represented (1) 9:22	15:2;22:20,25;31:6; 34:8;38:18,18 saw (1)	54:7 sit (1) 23:15	42:24 States (4) 11:14,15;16:7;36:22	44:20 talking (3) 46:24;48:5;54:5
35:13 represented (1) 9:22 representing (2)	15:2;22:20,25;31:6; 34:8;38:18,18 saw (1) 52:11	54:7 sit (1) 23:15 sitting (5)	42:24 States (4) 11:14,15;16:7;36:22 stating (4)	44:20 talking (3) 46:24;48:5;54:5 talks (1)
35:13 represented (1) 9:22 representing (2) 27:13,15	15:2;22:20,25;31:6; 34:8;38:18,18 saw (1) 52:11 saying (4)	54:7 sit (1) 23:15 sitting (5) 22:15;30:13,16;	42:24 States (4) 11:14,15;16:7;36:22 stating (4) 26:1;55:4,7,8	44:20 talking (3) 46:24;48:5;54:5 talks (1) 32:11
35:13 represented (1) 9:22 representing (2) 27:13,15 represents (2)	15:2;22:20,25;31:6; 34:8;38:18,18 saw (1) 52:11 saying (4) 6:2;26:24;51:11;	54:7 sit (1) 23:15 sitting (5) 22:15;30:13,16; 37:18;51:17	42:24 States (4) 11:14,15;16:7;36:22 stating (4) 26:1;55:4,7,8 stay (1)	44:20 talking (3) 46:24;48:5;54:5 talks (1) 32:11 targeted (1)
35:13 represented (1) 9:22 representing (2) 27:13,15 represents (2) 9:25;16:8	15:2;22:20,25;31:6; 34:8;38:18,18 saw (1) 52:11 saying (4) 6:2;26:24;51:11; 52:20	54:7 sit (1) 23:15 sitting (5) 22:15;30:13,16; 37:18;51:17 situated (5)	42:24 States (4) 11:14,15;16:7;36:22 stating (4) 26:1;55:4,7,8 stay (1) 21:4	44:20 talking (3) 46:24;48:5;54:5 talks (1) 32:11 targeted (1) 25:22
35:13 represented (1) 9:22 representing (2) 27:13,15 represents (2) 9:25;16:8 reputation (1)	15:2;22:20,25;31:6; 34:8;38:18,18 saw (1) 52:11 saying (4) 6:2;26:24;51:11; 52:20 scene (1)	54:7 sit (1) 23:15 sitting (5) 22:15;30:13,16; 37:18;51:17 situated (5) 13:17;34:7,15,19;	42:24 States (4) 11:14,15;16:7;36:22 stating (4) 26:1;55:4,7,8 stay (1) 21:4 step (3)	44:20 talking (3) 46:24;48:5;54:5 talks (1) 32:11 targeted (1) 25:22 Technically (1)
35:13 represented (1) 9:22 representing (2) 27:13,15 represents (2) 9:25;16:8 reputation (1) 37:5	15:2;22:20,25;31:6; 34:8;38:18,18 saw (1) 52:11 saying (4) 6:2;26:24;51:11; 52:20 scene (1) 54:13	54:7 sit (1) 23:15 sitting (5) 22:15;30:13,16; 37:18;51:17 situated (5) 13:17;34:7,15,19; 35:4	42:24 States (4) 11:14,15;16:7;36:22 stating (4) 26:1;55:4,7,8 stay (1) 21:4 step (3) 20:21;23:7,11	44:20 talking (3) 46:24;48:5;54:5 talks (1) 32:11 targeted (1) 25:22 Technically (1) 47:13
35:13 represented (1) 9:22 representing (2) 27:13,15 represents (2) 9:25;16:8 reputation (1) 37:5 request (1)	15:2;22:20,25;31:6; 34:8;38:18,18 saw (1) 52:11 saying (4) 6:2;26:24;51:11; 52:20 scene (1) 54:13 scope (5)	54:7 sit (1) 23:15 sitting (5) 22:15;30:13,16; 37:18;51:17 situated (5) 13:17;34:7,15,19; 35:4 six (11)	42:24 States (4) 11:14,15;16:7;36:22 stating (4) 26:1;55:4,7,8 stay (1) 21:4 step (3) 20:21;23:7,11 S-T-E-P-H-E-N (1)	44:20 talking (3) 46:24;48:5;54:5 talks (1) 32:11 targeted (1) 25:22 Technically (1) 47:13 telling (2)
35:13 represented (1) 9:22 representing (2) 27:13,15 represents (2) 9:25;16:8 reputation (1) 37:5 request (1) 35:8	15:2;22:20,25;31:6; 34:8;38:18,18 saw (1) 52:11 saying (4) 6:2;26:24;51:11; 52:20 scene (1) 54:13 scope (5) 12:2;23:3;26:4;34:5;	54:7 sit (1) 23:15 sitting (5) 22:15;30:13,16; 37:18;51:17 situated (5) 13:17;34:7,15,19; 35:4 six (11) 17:1,17,20;19:9;	42:24 States (4) 11:14,15;16:7;36:22 stating (4) 26:1;55:4,7,8 stay (1) 21:4 step (3) 20:21;23:7,11 S-T-E-P-H-E-N (1) 39:19	44:20 talking (3) 46:24;48:5;54:5 talks (1) 32:11 targeted (1) 25:22 Technically (1) 47:13 telling (2) 18:7;36:21
35:13 represented (1) 9:22 representing (2) 27:13,15 represents (2) 9:25;16:8 reputation (1) 37:5 request (1) 35:8 resolution (2)	15:2;22:20,25;31:6; 34:8;38:18,18 saw (1) 52:11 saying (4) 6:2;26:24;51:11; 52:20 scene (1) 54:13 scope (5) 12:2;23:3;26:4;34:5; 36:25	54:7 sit (1) 23:15 sitting (5) 22:15;30:13,16; 37:18;51:17 situated (5) 13:17;34:7,15,19; 35:4 six (11) 17:1,17,20;19:9; 24:23;25:2;26:19,23;	42:24 States (4) 11:14,15;16:7;36:22 stating (4) 26:1;55:4,7,8 stay (1) 21:4 step (3) 20:21;23:7,11 S-T-E-P-H-E-N (1) 39:19 stepped (1)	44:20 talking (3) 46:24;48:5;54:5 talks (1) 32:11 targeted (1) 25:22 Technically (1) 47:13 telling (2) 18:7;36:21 tenure (3)
35:13 represented (1) 9:22 representing (2) 27:13,15 represents (2) 9:25;16:8 reputation (1) 37:5 request (1) 35:8 resolution (2) 21:5,18	15:2;22:20,25;31:6; 34:8;38:18,18 saw (1) 52:11 saying (4) 6:2;26:24;51:11; 52:20 scene (1) 54:13 scope (5) 12:2;23:3;26:4;34:5; 36:25 Sean (9)	54:7 sit (1) 23:15 sitting (5) 22:15;30:13,16; 37:18;51:17 situated (5) 13:17;34:7,15,19; 35:4 six (11) 17:1,17,20;19:9; 24:23;25:2;26:19,23; 27:4,6;36:20	42:24 States (4) 11:14,15;16:7;36:22 stating (4) 26:1;55:4,7,8 stay (1) 21:4 step (3) 20:21;23:7,11 S-T-E-P-H-E-N (1) 39:19 stepped (1) 5:25	44:20 talking (3) 46:24;48:5;54:5 talks (1) 32:11 targeted (1) 25:22 Technically (1) 47:13 telling (2) 18:7;36:21 tenure (3) 5:21;6:22;7:14
35:13 represented (1) 9:22 representing (2) 27:13,15 represents (2) 9:25;16:8 reputation (1) 37:5 request (1) 35:8 resolution (2) 21:5,18 responding (1)	15:2;22:20,25;31:6; 34:8;38:18,18 saw (1) 52:11 saying (4) 6:2;26:24;51:11; 52:20 scene (1) 54:13 scope (5) 12:2;23:3;26:4;34:5; 36:25 Sean (9) 12:3;26:10,11;38:10,	54:7 sit (1) 23:15 sitting (5) 22:15;30:13,16; 37:18;51:17 situated (5) 13:17;34:7,15,19; 35:4 six (11) 17:1,17,20;19:9; 24:23;25:2;26:19,23; 27:4,6;36:20 Skipping (1)	42:24 States (4) 11:14,15;16:7;36:22 stating (4) 26:1;55:4,7,8 stay (1) 21:4 step (3) 20:21;23:7,11 S-T-E-P-H-E-N (1) 39:19 stepped (1) 5:25 steps (1)	44:20 talking (3) 46:24;48:5;54:5 talks (1) 32:11 targeted (1) 25:22 Technically (1) 47:13 telling (2) 18:7;36:21 tenure (3) 5:21;6:22;7:14 term (8)
35:13 represented (1) 9:22 representing (2) 27:13,15 represents (2) 9:25;16:8 reputation (1) 37:5 request (1) 35:8 resolution (2) 21:5,18 responding (1) 54:14	15:2;22:20,25;31:6; 34:8;38:18,18 saw (1) 52:11 saying (4) 6:2;26:24;51:11; 52:20 scene (1) 54:13 scope (5) 12:2;23:3;26:4;34:5; 36:25 Sean (9) 12:3;26:10,11;38:10, 22,23;46:7;48:4,7	54:7 sit (1) 23:15 sitting (5) 22:15;30:13,16; 37:18;51:17 situated (5) 13:17;34:7,15,19; 35:4 six (11) 17:1,17,20;19:9; 24:23;25:2;26:19,23; 27:4,6;36:20 Skipping (1) 33:18	42:24 States (4) 11:14,15;16:7;36:22 stating (4) 26:1;55:4,7,8 stay (1) 21:4 step (3) 20:21;23:7,11 S-T-E-P-H-E-N (1) 39:19 stepped (1) 5:25 steps (1) 20:20	44:20 talking (3) 46:24;48:5;54:5 talks (1) 32:11 targeted (1) 25:22 Technically (1) 47:13 telling (2) 18:7;36:21 tenure (3) 5:21;6:22;7:14 term (8) 5:17;6:12,13,13,14;
35:13 represented (1) 9:22 representing (2) 27:13,15 represents (2) 9:25;16:8 reputation (1) 37:5 request (1) 35:8 resolution (2) 21:5,18 responding (1) 54:14 responds (2)	15:2;22:20,25;31:6; 34:8;38:18,18 saw (1) 52:11 saying (4) 6:2;26:24;51:11; 52:20 scene (1) 54:13 scope (5) 12:2;23:3;26:4;34:5; 36:25 Sean (9) 12:3;26:10,11;38:10, 22,23;46:7;48:4,7 S-E-A-N (2)	54:7 sit (1) 23:15 sitting (5) 22:15;30:13,16; 37:18;51:17 situated (5) 13:17;34:7,15,19; 35:4 six (11) 17:1,17,20;19:9; 24:23;25:2;26:19,23; 27:4,6;36:20 Skipping (1) 33:18 somebody (15)	42:24 States (4) 11:14,15;16:7;36:22 stating (4) 26:1;55:4,7,8 stay (1) 21:4 step (3) 20:21;23:7,11 S-T-E-P-H-E-N (1) 39:19 stepped (1) 5:25 steps (1) 20:20 Steve (12)	44:20 talking (3) 46:24;48:5;54:5 talks (1) 32:11 targeted (1) 25:22 Technically (1) 47:13 telling (2) 18:7;36:21 tenure (3) 5:21;6:22;7:14 term (8) 5:17;6:12,13,13,14; 8:1;30:2,8
35:13 represented (1) 9:22 representing (2) 27:13,15 represents (2) 9:25;16:8 reputation (1) 37:5 request (1) 35:8 resolution (2) 21:5,18 responding (1) 54:14 responds (2) 54:20,20	15:2;22:20,25;31:6; 34:8;38:18,18 saw (1) 52:11 saying (4) 6:2;26:24;51:11; 52:20 scene (1) 54:13 scope (5) 12:2;23:3;26:4;34:5; 36:25 Sean (9) 12:3;26:10,11;38:10, 22,23;46:7;48:4,7 S-E-A-N (2) 26:11,13	54:7 sit (1) 23:15 sitting (5) 22:15;30:13,16; 37:18;51:17 situated (5) 13:17;34:7,15,19; 35:4 six (11) 17:1,17,20;19:9; 24:23;25:2;26:19,23; 27:4,6;36:20 Skipping (1) 33:18 somebody (15) 9:17;34:14,18;39:25;	42:24 States (4) 11:14,15;16:7;36:22 stating (4) 26:1;55:4,7,8 stay (1) 21:4 step (3) 20:21;23:7,11 S-T-E-P-H-E-N (1) 39:19 stepped (1) 5:25 steps (1) 20:20 Steve (12) 6:6,22,24;7:9,13,14,	44:20 talking (3) 46:24;48:5;54:5 talks (1) 32:11 targeted (1) 25:22 Technically (1) 47:13 telling (2) 18:7;36:21 tenure (3) 5:21;6:22;7:14 term (8) 5:17;6:12,13,13,14; 8:1;30:2,8 testified (2)
35:13 represented (1) 9:22 representing (2) 27:13,15 represents (2) 9:25;16:8 reputation (1) 37:5 request (1) 35:8 resolution (2) 21:5,18 responding (1) 54:14 responds (2) 54:20,20 response (2)	15:2;22:20,25;31:6; 34:8;38:18,18 saw (1) 52:11 saying (4) 6:2;26:24;51:11; 52:20 scene (1) 54:13 scope (5) 12:2;23:3;26:4;34:5; 36:25 Sean (9) 12:3;26:10,11;38:10, 22,23;46:7;48:4,7 S-E-A-N (2) 26:11,13 search (11)	54:7 sit (1) 23:15 sitting (5) 22:15;30:13,16; 37:18;51:17 situated (5) 13:17;34:7,15,19; 35:4 six (11) 17:1,17,20;19:9; 24:23;25:2;26:19,23; 27:4,6;36:20 Skipping (1) 33:18 somebody (15) 9:17;34:14,18;39:25; 40:15;49:21;50:13;	42:24 States (4) 11:14,15;16:7;36:22 stating (4) 26:1;55:4,7,8 stay (1) 21:4 step (3) 20:21;23:7,11 S-T-E-P-H-E-N (1) 39:19 stepped (1) 5:25 steps (1) 20:20 Steve (12) 6:6,22,24;7:9,13,14, 25;37:25;39:12,13,19;	44:20 talking (3) 46:24;48:5;54:5 talks (1) 32:11 targeted (1) 25:22 Technically (1) 47:13 telling (2) 18:7;36:21 tenure (3) 5:21;6:22;7:14 term (8) 5:17;6:12,13,13,14; 8:1;30:2,8 testified (2) 4:3,12
35:13 represented (1) 9:22 representing (2) 27:13,15 represents (2) 9:25;16:8 reputation (1) 37:5 request (1) 35:8 resolution (2) 21:5,18 responding (1) 54:14 responds (2) 54:20,20 response (2) 54:7;55:15	15:2;22:20,25;31:6; 34:8;38:18,18 saw (1) 52:11 saying (4) 6:2;26:24;51:11; 52:20 scene (1) 54:13 scope (5) 12:2;23:3;26:4;34:5; 36:25 Sean (9) 12:3;26:10,11;38:10, 22,23;46:7;48:4,7 S-E-A-N (2) 26:11,13 search (11) 12:2;25:25;38:24;	54:7 sit (1) 23:15 sitting (5) 22:15;30:13,16; 37:18;51:17 situated (5) 13:17;34:7,15,19; 35:4 six (11) 17:1,17,20;19:9; 24:23;25:2;26:19,23; 27:4,6;36:20 Skipping (1) 33:18 somebody (15) 9:17;34:14,18;39:25; 40:15;49:21;50:13; 51:11,24;52:3,5,19;	42:24 States (4) 11:14,15;16:7;36:22 stating (4) 26:1;55:4,7,8 stay (1) 21:4 step (3) 20:21;23:7,11 S-T-E-P-H-E-N (1) 39:19 stepped (1) 5:25 steps (1) 20:20 Steve (12) 6:6,22,24;7:9,13,14, 25;37:25;39:12,13,19; 40:3	44:20 talking (3) 46:24;48:5;54:5 talks (1) 32:11 targeted (1) 25:22 Technically (1) 47:13 telling (2) 18:7;36:21 tenure (3) 5:21;6:22;7:14 term (8) 5:17;6:12,13,13,14; 8:1;30:2,8 testified (2) 4:3,12 testimony (1)
35:13 represented (1) 9:22 representing (2) 27:13,15 represents (2) 9:25;16:8 reputation (1) 37:5 request (1) 35:8 resolution (2) 21:5,18 responding (1) 54:14 responds (2) 54:20,20 response (2) 54:7;55:15 responsibilities (3)	15:2;22:20,25;31:6; 34:8;38:18,18 saw (1) 52:11 saying (4) 6:2;26:24;51:11; 52:20 scene (1) 54:13 scope (5) 12:2;23:3;26:4;34:5; 36:25 Sean (9) 12:3;26:10,11;38:10, 22,23;46:7;48:4,7 S-E-A-N (2) 26:11,13 search (11) 12:2;25:25;38:24; 40:1,24,25;41:2,5,6,10,	54:7 sit (1) 23:15 sitting (5) 22:15;30:13,16; 37:18;51:17 situated (5) 13:17;34:7,15,19; 35:4 six (11) 17:1,17,20;19:9; 24:23;25:2;26:19,23; 27:4,6;36:20 Skipping (1) 33:18 somebody (15) 9:17;34:14,18;39:25; 40:15;49:21;50:13; 51:11,24;52:3,5,19; 53:1;55:4,10	42:24 States (4) 11:14,15;16:7;36:22 stating (4) 26:1;55:4,7,8 stay (1) 21:4 step (3) 20:21;23:7,11 S-T-E-P-H-E-N (1) 39:19 stepped (1) 5:25 steps (1) 20:20 Steve (12) 6:6,22,24;7:9,13,14, 25;37:25;39:12,13,19; 40:3 S-T-E-V-E (2)	44:20 talking (3) 46:24;48:5;54:5 talks (1) 32:11 targeted (1) 25:22 Technically (1) 47:13 telling (2) 18:7;36:21 tenure (3) 5:21;6:22;7:14 term (8) 5:17;6:12,13,13,14; 8:1;30:2,8 testified (2) 4:3,12 testimony (1) 44:25
35:13 represented (1) 9:22 representing (2) 27:13,15 represents (2) 9:25;16:8 reputation (1) 37:5 request (1) 35:8 resolution (2) 21:5,18 responding (1) 54:14 responds (2) 54:20,20 response (2) 54:7;55:15 responsibilities (3) 32:4,6,9	15:2;22:20,25;31:6; 34:8;38:18,18 saw (1) 52:11 saying (4) 6:2;26:24;51:11; 52:20 scene (1) 54:13 scope (5) 12:2;23:3;26:4;34:5; 36:25 Sean (9) 12:3;26:10,11;38:10, 22,23;46:7;48:4,7 S-E-A-N (2) 26:11,13 search (11) 12:2;25:25;38:24; 40:1,24,25;41:2,5,6,10, 14	54:7 sit (1) 23:15 sitting (5) 22:15;30:13,16; 37:18;51:17 situated (5) 13:17;34:7,15,19; 35:4 six (11) 17:1,17,20;19:9; 24:23;25:2;26:19,23; 27:4,6;36:20 Skipping (1) 33:18 somebody (15) 9:17;34:14,18;39:25; 40:15;49:21;50:13; 51:11,24;52:3,5,19; 53:1;55:4,10 somebody's (1)	42:24 States (4) 11:14,15;16:7;36:22 stating (4) 26:1;55:4,7,8 stay (1) 21:4 step (3) 20:21;23:7,11 S-T-E-P-H-E-N (1) 39:19 stepped (1) 5:25 steps (1) 20:20 Steve (12) 6:6,22,24;7:9,13,14, 25;37:25;39:12,13,19; 40:3 S-T-E-V-E (2) 39:19,20	44:20 talking (3) 46:24;48:5;54:5 talks (1) 32:11 targeted (1) 25:22 Technically (1) 47:13 telling (2) 18:7;36:21 tenure (3) 5:21;6:22;7:14 term (8) 5:17;6:12,13,13,14; 8:1;30:2,8 testified (2) 4:3,12 testimony (1) 44:25 texting (1)
35:13 represented (1) 9:22 representing (2) 27:13,15 represents (2) 9:25;16:8 reputation (1) 37:5 request (1) 35:8 resolution (2) 21:5,18 responding (1) 54:14 responds (2) 54:20,20 response (2) 54:7;55:15 responsibilities (3) 32:4,6,9 responsibility (1)	15:2;22:20,25;31:6; 34:8;38:18,18 saw (1) 52:11 saying (4) 6:2;26:24;51:11; 52:20 scene (1) 54:13 scope (5) 12:2;23:3;26:4;34:5; 36:25 Sean (9) 12:3;26:10,11;38:10, 22,23;46:7;48:4,7 S-E-A-N (2) 26:11,13 search (11) 12:2;25:25;38:24; 40:1,24,25;41:2,5,6,10, 14 second (9)	54:7 sit (1) 23:15 sitting (5) 22:15;30:13,16; 37:18;51:17 situated (5) 13:17;34:7,15,19; 35:4 six (11) 17:1,17,20;19:9; 24:23;25:2;26:19,23; 27:4,6;36:20 Skipping (1) 33:18 somebody (15) 9:17;34:14,18;39:25; 40:15;49:21;50:13; 51:11,24;52:3,5,19; 53:1;55:4,10 somebody's (1) 26:2	42:24 States (4) 11:14,15;16:7;36:22 stating (4) 26:1;55:4,7,8 stay (1) 21:4 step (3) 20:21;23:7,11 S-T-E-P-H-E-N (1) 39:19 stepped (1) 5:25 steps (1) 20:20 Steve (12) 6:6,22,24;7:9,13,14, 25;37:25;39:12,13,19; 40:3 S-T-E-V-E (2) 39:19,20 Steven (2)	44:20 talking (3) 46:24;48:5;54:5 talks (1) 32:11 targeted (1) 25:22 Technically (1) 47:13 telling (2) 18:7;36:21 tenure (3) 5:21;6:22;7:14 term (8) 5:17;6:12,13,13,14; 8:1;30:2,8 testified (2) 4:3,12 testimony (1) 44:25
35:13 represented (1) 9:22 representing (2) 27:13,15 represents (2) 9:25;16:8 reputation (1) 37:5 request (1) 35:8 resolution (2) 21:5,18 responding (1) 54:14 responds (2) 54:20,20 response (2) 54:7;55:15 responsibilities (3) 32:4,6,9	15:2;22:20,25;31:6; 34:8;38:18,18 saw (1) 52:11 saying (4) 6:2;26:24;51:11; 52:20 scene (1) 54:13 scope (5) 12:2;23:3;26:4;34:5; 36:25 Sean (9) 12:3;26:10,11;38:10, 22,23;46:7;48:4,7 S-E-A-N (2) 26:11,13 search (11) 12:2;25:25;38:24; 40:1,24,25;41:2,5,6,10, 14	54:7 sit (1) 23:15 sitting (5) 22:15;30:13,16; 37:18;51:17 situated (5) 13:17;34:7,15,19; 35:4 six (11) 17:1,17,20;19:9; 24:23;25:2;26:19,23; 27:4,6;36:20 Skipping (1) 33:18 somebody (15) 9:17;34:14,18;39:25; 40:15;49:21;50:13; 51:11,24;52:3,5,19; 53:1;55:4,10 somebody's (1)	42:24 States (4) 11:14,15;16:7;36:22 stating (4) 26:1;55:4,7,8 stay (1) 21:4 step (3) 20:21;23:7,11 S-T-E-P-H-E-N (1) 39:19 stepped (1) 5:25 steps (1) 20:20 Steve (12) 6:6,22,24;7:9,13,14, 25;37:25;39:12,13,19; 40:3 S-T-E-V-E (2) 39:19,20 Steven (2) 6:3,4	44:20 talking (3) 46:24;48:5;54:5 talks (1) 32:11 targeted (1) 25:22 Technically (1) 47:13 telling (2) 18:7;36:21 tenure (3) 5:21;6:22;7:14 term (8) 5:17;6:12,13,13,14; 8:1;30:2,8 testified (2) 4:3,12 testimony (1) 44:25 texting (1)
35:13 represented (1) 9:22 representing (2) 27:13,15 represents (2) 9:25;16:8 reputation (1) 37:5 request (1) 35:8 resolution (2) 21:5,18 responding (1) 54:14 responds (2) 54:20,20 response (2) 54:7;55:15 responsibilities (3) 32:4,6,9 responsibility (1)	15:2;22:20,25;31:6; 34:8;38:18,18 saw (1) 52:11 saying (4) 6:2;26:24;51:11; 52:20 scene (1) 54:13 scope (5) 12:2;23:3;26:4;34:5; 36:25 Sean (9) 12:3;26:10,11;38:10, 22,23;46:7;48:4,7 S-E-A-N (2) 26:11,13 search (11) 12:2;25:25;38:24; 40:1,24,25;41:2,5,6,10, 14 second (9)	54:7 sit (1) 23:15 sitting (5) 22:15;30:13,16; 37:18;51:17 situated (5) 13:17;34:7,15,19; 35:4 six (11) 17:1,17,20;19:9; 24:23;25:2;26:19,23; 27:4,6;36:20 Skipping (1) 33:18 somebody (15) 9:17;34:14,18;39:25; 40:15;49:21;50:13; 51:11,24;52:3,5,19; 53:1;55:4,10 somebody's (1) 26:2 Somehow (1) 40:13	42:24 States (4) 11:14,15;16:7;36:22 stating (4) 26:1;55:4,7,8 stay (1) 21:4 step (3) 20:21;23:7,11 S-T-E-P-H-E-N (1) 39:19 stepped (1) 5:25 steps (1) 20:20 Steve (12) 6:6,22,24;7:9,13,14, 25;37:25;39:12,13,19; 40:3 S-T-E-V-E (2) 39:19,20 Steven (2)	44:20 talking (3) 46:24;48:5;54:5 talks (1) 32:11 targeted (1) 25:22 Technically (1) 47:13 telling (2) 18:7;36:21 tenure (3) 5:21;6:22;7:14 term (8) 5:17;6:12,13,13,14; 8:1;30:2,8 testified (2) 4:3,12 testimony (1) 44:25 texting (1) 41:1
35:13 represented (1) 9:22 representing (2) 27:13,15 represents (2) 9:25;16:8 reputation (1) 37:5 request (1) 35:8 resolution (2) 21:5,18 responding (1) 54:14 responds (2) 54:20,20 response (2) 54:7;55:15 responsibilities (3) 32:4,6,9 responsibility (1) 13:20	15:2;22:20,25;31:6; 34:8;38:18,18 saw (1) 52:11 saying (4) 6:2;26:24;51:11; 52:20 scene (1) 54:13 scope (5) 12:2;23:3;26:4;34:5; 36:25 Sean (9) 12:3;26:10,11;38:10, 22,23;46:7;48:4,7 S-E-A-N (2) 26:11,13 search (11) 12:2;25:25;38:24; 40:1,24,25;41:2,5,6,10, 14 second (9) 5:21;6:12,13,14;	54:7 sit (1) 23:15 sitting (5) 22:15;30:13,16; 37:18;51:17 situated (5) 13:17;34:7,15,19; 35:4 six (11) 17:1,17,20;19:9; 24:23;25:2;26:19,23; 27:4,6;36:20 Skipping (1) 33:18 somebody (15) 9:17;34:14,18;39:25; 40:15;49:21;50:13; 51:11,24;52:3,5,19; 53:1;55:4,10 somebody's (1) 26:2 Somehow (1)	42:24 States (4) 11:14,15;16:7;36:22 stating (4) 26:1;55:4,7,8 stay (1) 21:4 step (3) 20:21;23:7,11 S-T-E-P-H-E-N (1) 39:19 stepped (1) 5:25 steps (1) 20:20 Steve (12) 6:6,22,24;7:9,13,14, 25;37:25;39:12,13,19; 40:3 S-T-E-V-E (2) 39:19,20 Steven (2) 6:3,4	44:20 talking (3) 46:24;48:5;54:5 talks (1) 32:11 targeted (1) 25:22 Technically (1) 47:13 telling (2) 18:7;36:21 tenure (3) 5:21;6:22;7:14 term (8) 5:17;6:12,13,13,14; 8:1;30:2,8 testified (2) 4:3,12 testimony (1) 44:25 texting (1) 41:1 texts (2)
35:13 represented (1) 9:22 representing (2) 27:13,15 represents (2) 9:25;16:8 reputation (1) 37:5 request (1) 35:8 resolution (2) 21:5,18 responding (1) 54:14 responds (2) 54:20,20 response (2) 54:7;55:15 responsibilities (3) 32:4,6,9 responsibility (1) 13:20 rest (2)	15:2;22:20,25;31:6; 34:8;38:18,18 saw (1) 52:11 saying (4) 6:2;26:24;51:11; 52:20 scene (1) 54:13 scope (5) 12:2;23:3;26:4;34:5; 36:25 Sean (9) 12:3;26:10,11;38:10, 22,23;46:7;48:4,7 S-E-A-N (2) 26:11,13 search (11) 12:2;25:25;38:24; 40:1,24,25;41:2,5,6,10, 14 second (9) 5:21;6:12,13,14; 7:14;8:11;15:25;38:3;	54:7 sit (1) 23:15 sitting (5) 22:15;30:13,16; 37:18;51:17 situated (5) 13:17;34:7,15,19; 35:4 six (11) 17:1,17,20;19:9; 24:23;25:2;26:19,23; 27:4,6;36:20 Skipping (1) 33:18 somebody (15) 9:17;34:14,18;39:25; 40:15;49:21;50:13; 51:11,24;52:3,5,19; 53:1;55:4,10 somebody's (1) 26:2 Somehow (1) 40:13	42:24 States (4) 11:14,15;16:7;36:22 stating (4) 26:1;55:4,7,8 stay (1) 21:4 step (3) 20:21;23:7,11 S-T-E-P-H-E-N (1) 39:19 stepped (1) 5:25 steps (1) 20:20 Steve (12) 6:6,22,24;7:9,13,14, 25;37:25;39:12,13,19; 40:3 S-T-E-V-E (2) 39:19,20 Steven (2) 6:3,4 Steve's (1)	44:20 talking (3) 46:24;48:5;54:5 talks (1) 32:11 targeted (1) 25:22 Technically (1) 47:13 telling (2) 18:7;36:21 tenure (3) 5:21;6:22;7:14 term (8) 5:17;6:12,13,13,14; 8:1;30:2,8 testified (2) 4:3,12 testimony (1) 44:25 texting (1) 41:1 texts (2) 14:15;26:3
35:13 represented (1) 9:22 representing (2) 27:13,15 represents (2) 9:25;16:8 reputation (1) 37:5 request (1) 35:8 resolution (2) 21:5,18 responding (1) 54:14 responds (2) 54:20,20 response (2) 54:7;55:15 responsibilities (3) 32:4,6,9 responsibility (1) 13:20 rest (2) 9:9;49:14	15:2;22:20,25;31:6; 34:8;38:18,18 saw (1) 52:11 saying (4) 6:2;26:24;51:11; 52:20 scene (1) 54:13 scope (5) 12:2;23:3;26:4;34:5; 36:25 Sean (9) 12:3;26:10,11;38:10, 22,23;46:7;48:4,7 S-E-A-N (2) 26:11,13 search (11) 12:2;25:25;38:24; 40:1,24,25;41:2,5,6,10, 14 second (9) 5:21;6:12,13,14; 7:14;8:11;15:25;38:3; 39:11	54:7 sit (1) 23:15 sitting (5) 22:15;30:13,16; 37:18;51:17 situated (5) 13:17;34:7,15,19; 35:4 six (11) 17:1,17,20;19:9; 24:23;25:2;26:19,23; 27:4,6;36:20 Skipping (1) 33:18 somebody (15) 9:17;34:14,18;39:25; 40:15;49:21;50:13; 51:11,24;52:3,5,19; 53:1;55:4,10 somebody's (1) 26:2 Somehow (1) 40:13 sometimes (1)	42:24 States (4) 11:14,15;16:7;36:22 stating (4) 26:1;55:4,7,8 stay (1) 21:4 step (3) 20:21;23:7,11 S-T-E-P-H-E-N (1) 39:19 stepped (1) 5:25 steps (1) 20:20 Steve (12) 6:6,22,24;7:9,13,14, 25;37:25;39:12,13,19; 40:3 S-T-E-V-E (2) 39:19,20 Steven (2) 6:3,4 Steve's (1) 7:14	44:20 talking (3) 46:24;48:5;54:5 talks (1) 32:11 targeted (1) 25:22 Technically (1) 47:13 telling (2) 18:7;36:21 tenure (3) 5:21;6:22;7:14 term (8) 5:17;6:12,13,13,14; 8:1;30:2,8 testified (2) 4:3,12 testimony (1) 44:25 texting (1) 41:1 texts (2) 14:15;26:3 third (1)
35:13 represented (1) 9:22 representing (2) 27:13,15 represents (2) 9:25;16:8 reputation (1) 37:5 request (1) 35:8 resolution (2) 21:5,18 responding (1) 54:14 responds (2) 54:20,20 response (2) 54:7;55:15 responsibilities (3) 32:4,6,9 responsibility (1) 13:20 rest (2) 9:9;49:14 result (1)	15:2;22:20,25;31:6; 34:8;38:18,18 saw (1) 52:11 saying (4) 6:2;26:24;51:11; 52:20 scene (1) 54:13 scope (5) 12:2;23:3;26:4;34:5; 36:25 Sean (9) 12:3;26:10,11;38:10, 22,23;46:7;48:4,7 S-E-A-N (2) 26:11,13 search (11) 12:2;25:25;38:24; 40:1,24,25;41:2,5,6,10, 14 second (9) 5:21;6:12,13,14; 7:14;8:11;15:25;38:3; 39:11 sending (3) 34:8;47:18,18	54:7 sit (1) 23:15 sitting (5) 22:15;30:13,16; 37:18;51:17 situated (5) 13:17;34:7,15,19; 35:4 six (11) 17:1,17,20;19:9; 24:23;25:2;26:19,23; 27:4,6;36:20 Skipping (1) 33:18 somebody (15) 9:17;34:14,18;39:25; 40:15;49:21;50:13; 51:11,24;52:3,5,19; 53:1;55:4,10 somebody's (1) 26:2 Somehow (1) 40:13 sometimes (1) 23:21	42:24 States (4) 11:14,15;16:7;36:22 stating (4) 26:1;55:4,7,8 stay (1) 21:4 step (3) 20:21;23:7,11 S-T-E-P-H-E-N (1) 39:19 stepped (1) 5:25 steps (1) 20:20 Steve (12) 6:6,22,24;7:9,13,14, 25;37:25;39:12,13,19; 40:3 S-T-E-V-E (2) 39:19,20 Steven (2) 6:3,4 Steve's (1) 7:14 still (2)	44:20 talking (3) 46:24;48:5;54:5 talks (1) 32:11 targeted (1) 25:22 Technically (1) 47:13 telling (2) 18:7;36:21 tenure (3) 5:21;6:22;7:14 term (8) 5:17;6:12,13,13,14; 8:1;30:2,8 testified (2) 4:3,12 testimony (1) 44:25 texting (1) 41:1 texts (2) 14:15;26:3 third (1) 48:20 though (4)
35:13 represented (1) 9:22 representing (2) 27:13,15 represents (2) 9:25;16:8 reputation (1) 37:5 request (1) 35:8 resolution (2) 21:5,18 responding (1) 54:14 responds (2) 54:20,20 response (2) 54:7;55:15 responsibilities (3) 32:4,6,9 responsibility (1) 13:20 rest (2) 9:9;49:14 result (1) 37:2	15:2;22:20,25;31:6; 34:8;38:18,18 saw (1) 52:11 saying (4) 6:2;26:24;51:11; 52:20 scene (1) 54:13 scope (5) 12:2;23:3;26:4;34:5; 36:25 Sean (9) 12:3;26:10,11;38:10, 22,23;46:7;48:4,7 S-E-A-N (2) 26:11,13 search (11) 12:2;25:25;38:24; 40:1,24,25;41:2,5,6,10, 14 second (9) 5:21;6:12,13,14; 7:14;8:11;15:25;38:3; 39:11 sending (3)	54:7 sit (1) 23:15 sitting (5) 22:15;30:13,16; 37:18;51:17 situated (5) 13:17;34:7,15,19; 35:4 six (11) 17:1,17,20;19:9; 24:23;25:2;26:19,23; 27:4,6;36:20 Skipping (1) 33:18 somebody (15) 9:17;34:14,18;39:25; 40:15;49:21;50:13; 51:11,24;52:3,5,19; 53:1;55:4,10 somebody's (1) 26:2 Somehow (1) 40:13 sometimes (1) 23:21 somewhere (1) 40:25	42:24 States (4) 11:14,15;16:7;36:22 stating (4) 26:1;55:4,7,8 stay (1) 21:4 step (3) 20:21;23:7,11 S-T-E-P-H-E-N (1) 39:19 stepped (1) 5:25 steps (1) 20:20 Steve (12) 6:6,22,24;7:9,13,14, 25;37:25;39:12,13,19; 40:3 S-T-E-V-E (2) 39:19,20 Steven (2) 6:3,4 Steve's (1) 7:14 still (2) 24:2;47:13	44:20 talking (3) 46:24;48:5;54:5 talks (1) 32:11 targeted (1) 25:22 Technically (1) 47:13 telling (2) 18:7;36:21 tenure (3) 5:21;6:22;7:14 term (8) 5:17;6:12,13,13,14; 8:1;30:2,8 testified (2) 4:3,12 testimony (1) 44:25 texting (1) 41:1 texts (2) 14:15;26:3 third (1) 48:20
35:13 represented (1) 9:22 representing (2) 27:13,15 represents (2) 9:25;16:8 reputation (1) 37:5 request (1) 35:8 resolution (2) 21:5,18 responding (1) 54:14 responds (2) 54:20,20 response (2) 54:7;55:15 responsibilities (3) 32:4,6,9 responsibility (1) 13:20 rest (2) 9:9;49:14 result (1) 37:2 resulted (5)	15:2;22:20,25;31:6; 34:8;38:18,18 saw (1) 52:11 saying (4) 6:2;26:24;51:11; 52:20 scene (1) 54:13 scope (5) 12:2;23:3;26:4;34:5; 36:25 Sean (9) 12:3;26:10,11;38:10, 22,23;46:7;48:4,7 S-E-A-N (2) 26:11,13 search (11) 12:2;25:25;38:24; 40:1,24,25;41:2,5,6,10, 14 second (9) 5:21;6:12,13,14; 7:14;8:11;15:25;38:3; 39:11 sending (3) 34:8;47:18,18 sense (1) 11:9	54:7 sit (1) 23:15 sitting (5) 22:15;30:13,16; 37:18;51:17 situated (5) 13:17;34:7,15,19; 35:4 six (11) 17:1,17,20;19:9; 24:23;25:2;26:19,23; 27:4,6;36:20 Skipping (1) 33:18 somebody (15) 9:17;34:14,18;39:25; 40:15;49:21;50:13; 51:11,24;52:3,5,19; 53:1;55:4,10 somebody's (1) 26:2 Somehow (1) 40:13 sometimes (1) 23:21 somewhere (1)	42:24 States (4) 11:14,15;16:7;36:22 stating (4) 26:1;55:4,7,8 stay (1) 21:4 step (3) 20:21;23:7,11 S-T-E-P-H-E-N (1) 39:19 stepped (1) 5:25 steps (1) 20:20 Steve (12) 6:6,22,24;7:9,13,14, 25;37:25;39:12,13,19; 40:3 S-T-E-V-E (2) 39:19,20 Steven (2) 6:3,4 Steve's (1) 7:14 still (2) 24:2;47:13 Stop (2) 53:14,18	44:20 talking (3) 46:24;48:5;54:5 talks (1) 32:11 targeted (1) 25:22 Technically (1) 47:13 telling (2) 18:7;36:21 tenure (3) 5:21;6:22;7:14 term (8) 5:17;6:12,13,13,14; 8:1;30:2,8 testified (2) 4:3,12 testimony (1) 44:25 texting (1) 41:1 texts (2) 14:15;26:3 third (1) 48:20 though (4) 18:14;22:4;38:15; 47:7
35:13 represented (1) 9:22 representing (2) 27:13,15 represents (2) 9:25;16:8 reputation (1) 37:5 request (1) 35:8 resolution (2) 21:5,18 responding (1) 54:14 responds (2) 54:20,20 response (2) 54:7;55:15 responsibilities (3) 32:4,6,9 responsibility (1) 13:20 rest (2) 9:9;49:14 result (1) 37:2 resulted (5) 8:1;19:4;37:19;46:7,	15:2;22:20,25;31:6; 34:8;38:18,18 saw (1) 52:11 saying (4) 6:2;26:24;51:11; 52:20 scene (1) 54:13 scope (5) 12:2;23:3;26:4;34:5; 36:25 Sean (9) 12:3;26:10,11;38:10, 22,23;46:7;48:4,7 S-E-A-N (2) 26:11,13 search (11) 12:2;25:25;38:24; 40:1,24,25;41:2,5,6,10, 14 second (9) 5:21;6:12,13,14; 7:14;8:11;15:25;38:3; 39:11 sending (3) 34:8;47:18,18 sense (1)	54:7 sit (1) 23:15 sitting (5) 22:15;30:13,16; 37:18;51:17 situated (5) 13:17;34:7,15,19; 35:4 six (11) 17:1,17,20;19:9; 24:23;25:2;26:19,23; 27:4,6;36:20 Skipping (1) 33:18 somebody (15) 9:17;34:14,18;39:25; 40:15;49:21;50:13; 51:11,24;52:3,5,19; 53:1;55:4,10 somebody's (1) 26:2 Somehow (1) 40:13 sometimes (1) 23:21 somewhere (1) 40:25 soon (1)	42:24 States (4) 11:14,15;16:7;36:22 stating (4) 26:1;55:4,7,8 stay (1) 21:4 step (3) 20:21;23:7,11 S-T-E-P-H-E-N (1) 39:19 stepped (1) 5:25 steps (1) 20:20 Steve (12) 6:6,22,24;7:9,13,14, 25;37:25;39:12,13,19; 40:3 S-T-E-V-E (2) 39:19,20 Steven (2) 6:3,4 Steve's (1) 7:14 still (2) 24:2;47:13 Stop (2)	44:20 talking (3) 46:24;48:5;54:5 talks (1) 32:11 targeted (1) 25:22 Technically (1) 47:13 telling (2) 18:7;36:21 tenure (3) 5:21;6:22;7:14 term (8) 5:17;6:12,13,13,14; 8:1;30:2,8 testified (2) 4:3,12 testimony (1) 44:25 texting (1) 41:1 texts (2) 14:15;26:3 third (1) 48:20 though (4) 18:14;22:4;38:15;

City of Cleveland				January 17, 2019
three (19)	53:19;55:2	11:24;12:18,23;13:3,	48:20;54:4	16 (1)
6:16,20,20,21;10:14,		5,14,25;14:6,13,21,23;	works (1)	11:19
15;11:1;15:8,12;17:25;	\mathbf{U}	16:23;19:19;21:23;	48:23	17 (1)
19:4,12;24:17,18,22;		22:9,23;33:24;34:1	worrying (1)	32:12
31:7,10,12;44:15	uh-uh (1)	violation (2)	13:7	18 (2)
throwing (1)	4:18	11:6;34:22	writing (1)	17:4;44:8
40:16	um-hum (2)	violations (4)	31:16	1992 (1)
tickets (1)	4:17,18	11:23;12:21;34:21;	written (1)	5:10
31:17	unable (1)	36:22	23:20	1st (1)
times (1)	25:25	violence (4)	wrong (2)	15:11
4:11	under (9)	49:18,21;50:6,13	27:16;38:17	
timing (1)	6:15;11:12,14;25:7;	violent (1)	T 7	2
38:1	26:20;35:12;44:22;	50:5	Y	2 (15)
today (6)	47:14,18	W	(2)	2 (15)
4:22;10:10;30:13,16;	union (3)	VV	year (2)	10:16,19,23;11:1,5;
37:18;51:17	9:25;14:24;15:3	(1)	15:11;31:7	19:23;20:3,21;24:9,11,
toe (7)	Union's (1)	wages (1)	years (4)	15;45:14,15,18,21
40:7,14,15,17,19,24; 43:15	12:1	37:5	5:8;15:9,12;44:16	2:37 (1)
	unit (3) 35:15;37:21;44:22	waiting (1) 24:2	Y-N-I-K (1) 38:7	55:21 20 (1)
top (5) 8:24;35:19;53:9,10;	35:15;37:21;44:22 United (3)	24:2 waived (1)	36:7	20 (1) 54:21
8:24;35:19;53:9,10; 54:25	11:14,15;36:22	waived (1) 55:22	0	2011 (1)
touch (1)	units (1)	warning (1)	U	6:8
36:18	31:20	35:24	09 (2)	2012 (1)
touching (1)	unit's (1)	warrant (11)	6:7,23	7:4
36:19	12:22	12:2;25:25;38:24;	0.7,23	2014 (5)
tour (1)	unless (3)	40:1,24;41:1,2,5,6,10,	1	7:5;44:7,8,9,12
6:6	44:14;48:7;51:15	14	1	2015 (1)
toward (1)	up (19)	watch (1)	1 (24)	7:15
43:5	6:20;11:1;31:5;34:2;	13:20	5:18;6:7;7:4,15;	2016 (1)
towards (1)	48:14;49:6,15,16,24;	way (5)	10:16,19,23;11:1,4;	15:14
28:12	50:1,7,11,15,16;52:13;	15:2;30:14;44:4;	15:14;16:6;18:7;20:4;	2017 (3)
Traffic (2)	54:11,13;55:3,9	50:18;55:13	24:8,12,14;29:15;	7:16;17:3;30:5
9:17;31:17	uphold (1)	weren't (1)	30:18;38:19;44:19;	2018 (3)
trail (1)	35:16	10:24	45:7,12,17;46:9	5:18;17:18;22:2
20:19	upon (2)	whenever (1)	1:32 (1)	2019 (1)
training (7)	17:2;22:10	44:12	53:24	15:15
47:6,10,11,19,20,21;	use (5)	wherefore (1)	1:58 (6)	2020 (1)
48:1	30:8;43:14,16;50:7,	33:12	48:20;49:5;51:13;	5:18
Treasurer (2)	14	whole (3)	52:1,22;53:5	21 (2)
8:12,13	used (2)	35:23;37:6;50:20	10 (2)	44:7,8
trial (1)	12:4;30:2	whomever (1)	17:18;22:2	22 (1)
23:15	usual (2)	8:15	100 (1)	20:16
true (3)	27:24;29:3	who's (1)	33:5	24-18 (1)
16:1;17:14;44:5	usually (1)	52:19	103 (1)	26:16
Truthfully (2)	34:13	William (1)	33:10	27 (2)
29:24;36:24	~ 7	7:19	106 (1)	5:8;30:5
try (1)	V	Williams (2)	5:10	2
10:13		9:1;19:6	107 (2)	3
trying (4)	validity (2)	within (2)	32:12;33:18	2 (12)
16:21;32:21,25;	19:22;20:1	8:14;21:22	108 (1)	3 (13)
34:17	various (1)	witnesses (1)	35:11	10:17,18,19;11:10;
tune (10)	20:20 X/ F/N/(1)	23:19	11 (1)	17:2;19:23;20:3,21;
49:6,15,16,23;50:1,7,	V-E-N (1)	wondering (1)	6:23	24:9,12,14;32:11;33:3
11,15;55:3,9	39:21	45:16	126 (1)	31 (5)
turn (1)	Vice (6)	word (9)	35:20	5:18;6:8;7:5,16;
16:6	6:10,11;7:7,18;8:5,	30:21,25;49:12,15;	128 (1)	15:15
two (5)	11	50:1,7,11,15;53:8	33:5	31st (1)
6:24;14:8;28:3;	video (2)	words (1)	14th (4)	15:12
34:21;52:16	49:24;50:10	12:4	11:13;14:20;22:20;	4
type (2) 41:22;43:13	violate (1)	work (2)	42:15	4
	12:7	28:4;31:14	15 (2)	4 (2)
types (2)	violated (18)	working (2)	42:3;43:2	4 (2)

Case: 1:18-cv-01678-JG Doc #: 21 Filed: 01/28/19 24 of 24. PageID #: 244

Aaron Petitt, et al. v.

City of Cleveland

Jeff Follmer

January 17, 2019

City of Cleveland		T	
16:17;20:21			
6	-		
	-		
654 (1) 4:25			
8			
	-		
8 (1) 9:23			
9			
92 (1)	-		
92 (1) 5:12			